Quest Journals Journal of Architecture and Civil Engineering Volume 7 ~ Issue 10 (2022) pp: 26-33 ISSN(Online) : 2321-8193 www.questjournals.org

**Research Paper** 



# Calibration of Rainfall Variety Switching to Discharge with Hec HMS Method at Binalatung Tarakan

Nanang Saiful Rizal<sup>1</sup>, Taufan Abadi<sup>2</sup>, Totok Dwi Kuryanto<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup> (Department of Civil Engineering, University Of Muhammadiyah Jember, Jember, Indonesia) Corresponding Author: Nanang Saiful Rizal

**ABSTRACT:** The approach to calculating the transfer of rainfall into discharge varies widely. The methods used to estimate the amount of river flow, such as in the Binalatung watershed, Tarakan City, North Kalimantan, are based on the assumption that during the rainy season there is excess water available and during the dry season there is a drought. Methods that can be used include HEC HMS. For HEC-HMS modeling after calibration, it is located on the rain data during when used from 10 years from 2010 to 2019, the value of the calibration is 80 and the Initial Abstraction (Ia) value is 0.5 with a correlation coefficient value of 0.85 and has a relative error percentage of 44.8%. The peak discharge from the simulation model after calibration is 3.0 m3/s and the total volume of outflow is 231836.19 mm. **KEYWORDS:** HEC HMS, diversity, rainfall, discharge, runoff.

*Received* 07 Oct., 2022; *Revised* 17 Oct., 2022; *Accepted* 19 Oct., 2022 © *The author(s)* 2022.

Published with open access at www.questjurnals.org

## I. INTRODUCTION

Hydrological planning is always related to the characteristics of the watershed (DAS). In the watershed system, various components will be found including the physical components of the watershed, vegetation, soil types, water flow and rain that interact dynamically. Rainfall and the characteristics of the watershed greatly affect the condition of the river flow discharge. Conversion of rain to flow is a model to convert rain data into discharge. Discharge data in a watershed (DAS) is needed to find out how much discharge is available in a river that is used to meet the needs of human, animal and plant life. Usually in the watershed there is a rainfall measuring station, but this is not a discharge recording station, so a model is needed that changes from rain data to discharge data. In Indonesia, the rainfall-flow simulation method that is often used is the FJ method. Mock, NRECA and Tank Models. . This method illustrates that the rain that falls on an area either on the soil or on plants will partially experience evaporation, some will become surface runoff and some will experience infiltration and percolation. FJ method. Mock is most often used especially in areas with high to moderate rainfall. In the study area of the Binalatung watershed there is a discharge recording station where it is very necessary to calibrate the simulation results. With the success of this simulation, the parameters which are So the final results of the two methods can be applied to other watersheds in the vicinity, such as the Binalatung watershed with similar characteristics to this watershed. The purpose of the implementation of this study is to determine the effect of watershed characteristics parameters in the calculation of rainfall conversion to discharge using the HEC HMS method. It is also worth mentioning that HEC-HMS has been used successfully worldwide by researchers [1], [2], [4] and [13]. Next In this background, a study on the calibration and evaluation of a watershed simulation model, namely, the Hydrological Modeling System, was developed by the US Hydrological Engineering Center HEC-HMS with the Soil Moisture Accounting (SMA) Algorithm in 2000 [5].

There will be millions of people experiencing increased water stress and flooding due to increasing population and climate change worldwide in the decades to come. Effective water resources governance is very important [11]. If the hydrological process is able to predict the watershed response as a trigger on land use, including climate change [3], [6] and [9]. There is a need for an appropriate hydrological model for efficient watershed and ecosystem management [12] enabling it to predict hydrological responses for various watersheds [7], comparative assessment of watershed models for hydrological simulations is very much limited in developing countries [8] and [10].

## II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study location is the Binalatung watershed which is located in Tarakan Regency, North Kalimantan Province. Geographically it is located at 3°14′23″-3°26′37″ North Latitude and 117°30′50″-117°40′12″ East Longitude with a watershed area of 1,500 km2 with 1 Juwata rain station located at Tarakan Regency and there is a river water level measuring instrument (AWLR). The supporting data needed are Study Supporting Data: Rainfall data, Binalatung watershed map, climatological data, watershed characteristics data, land use maps, AWLR discharge data for 2 years, topographic maps, geological maps, soil type maps.



Figure 1: Binalatung Dam

Furthermore, it is necessary to use statistical tests to determine the quality and reliability of the data to be used in subsequent calculations. The tests used were Consistency Test (Multiple Period Curve Analysis and RAPS), Absence of Trend Test (rank correlation of Spearman method, Mann and Whitney test, and sign test of Cox and Stuart), Outlier Test, Stationary Test, and Persistence Test. The steps for calculating the HEC HMS method are as follows:

a. Determining the Watershed Model

- b. Determining the process of water loss
- c. Performing the hydrograph transformation of runoff units
- d. Performing basic flow Process

e. Filling Rain Data

- f. Determine the running time of the process
- g. Configure data execution
- h. Perform Model calibration
- i. Aligning rain data into discharge

The suitability analysis of the method is carried out by comparing the data of river flow discharge (AWLR) with the simulation calculation of the variation of rain by looking for the smallest deviation. The tests used are the Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency test, the Mean Absolute Error (MAE) test, the correlation coefficient test, and the coefficient of determination test. The analysis of the suitability of the selected method and according to the characteristics of the study location will then be validated with discharge data from observations for 1 year.



### **III. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION**

Hydrognomon is a free computer application to assist the analysis and processing of hydrological data, especially in the form of a time series. Hydrological data analysis is in the form of time series processing applications, such as aggregation, time steps, interpolation, regression analysis including filling in missing values, consistency testing, data filtering and graphical and tabular visualization of time series. The program also supports specialized hydrological applications such as evapotranspiration calculations, flow and sediment discharge analysis, homogeneity tests and water balance methods. This software is free software with a license from the GNU GPLv3 (General Public License). The development of Hydrognom is intended to process hydrological data, especially for data series. In this study, the distribution of data is limited to 3, namely Gamma, Pearson III and Normal. The tests performed were 2 and Kolmogorov-Smirnov. The distribution used to predict the return period is the 'ACCEPT/ACCEPTED' distribution of the two tests at the level of significance ( $\alpha$ ) = 1%. By entering the maximum annual daily rainfall data in units (mm) starting from 2000 to 2019. Press view then Add time series to graph, rain data can be displayed in the form of graphs and bar charts. Next, do a hydrological analysis, then select Pythia-Statistical analysis. Then select Log Pearson III then it looks in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Catchment Area Binalatung and Frequency distribution analysis

Followed by the Smirnov Kolmogorov Test, then select Log Pearson III, the graph will appear as below, then the Smirnov Kolmogorov test results will appear at various significant levels in %, Select Forecast, then select To Return Period (Max). Then enter Tr = 100 years, the results of the calculation of design rain with a return period of 100 years are 164,366 mm which are presented in Figure 3. The results of the calculation of planned rainfall with various return periods are presented in table 1.

Statistics								Statistics				
File Edit View Options F	Forecasts   Con	fidence Tes	ts					File Edit View Options Fo	ecasts Confi	idence Tests		
Distribution functions Histogra	To a proba	bility value		1				Distribution functions Histogram - I	lensity functions	Parameter evaluation - Forecasts		
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for:All		edance proba	hilitarahan	hed a	DMax ^	Select distributions to display. Use shift and/or ctrl key or	•	All data - T(Max) = 100.000 v	Value			Select distributions to display. Use shift and/or ctrl key or
Normal		period (Max)	,	08%	0.12664	drag to select many at once:		Normal	164.366	-		drag to select many at once:
Normal (L-Moments)				446%	0.12511		_	Normal (L-Moments)	165.635			
LooNormal	To a return	period (Min)		35%	0.12990	Normal A	5	LogNormal	171.115			Normal LogNormal
Galton	To a value			25%	0.12086	Galton		Galton	165.627			Galton
Exponential				27%	0.18246	Exponential Gamma		Exponental	188.730			Exponential Gamma
Exponential (L-Moments)	Hide forec	asts		81496	0.16476	PearsonIII		Exponential (L-Moments)	199.767			PearsonIII
Gamma	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	84.9102%	0.12325	LogPearsonIII Gumbel Max		Gamma	168.754			LogPearsonIII G mbel Max
Pearson III	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	82,7508%	0.12664	EV2-Max		Pearson III	164.366			EV2-Max
Log Pearson III	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	79.4447%	0.13158	Gumbel Min Weihul		Log Pearson III				Gumbel Min Welbul
EV1-Max (Gumbel)	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	61.2705%	0.15621	GEV Max		EV1-Max (Gumbel)	179.827			GEV Max
EV2-Max	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	42.7856%	0.18229	GEV Min		EV2-Max	186.486			GEV Min Pareto
EV1-Min (Gumbel)	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	34.1576%	0.19648	L-Moments Normal		EV1-Min (Gumbel)	151.317			L-Moments Normal
EV3-Min (Webull)	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	57.0360%	0.16189	L-Moments Exponential		EV3-Min (Webul)	156.810			L-Moments Exponential
GEV-Max	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	89.2296%	0.11577	L-Moments EV2-Max		GEV-Max	164.282			L-Moments EV2-Max
GEV-Min	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	86.4247%	0.12075	L-Moments EV1-Min +	•	GEV-Min	164.229			L-Moments EV1-Min
Pareto	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	95.1254%	0.10241	Reset		Pareto	154.385			Reset
GEV-Max (L-Moments)	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	89.5397%	0.11519			GEV-Max (L-Moments)	165.139			
GEV-Min (L-Moments)	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	88.0225%	0.11798	Empirical Distributions		GEV-Min (L-Moments)	165.511			Empirical Distributions
EV1-Max (Gumbel, L-Moments)	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	69.4719%	0.14532	Webull Points		EV1-Max (Gumbel, L-Moments)	184.216			Webull Points
EV2-Max (L-Momments)	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	53.3386%	0.16695	Cunnane Points		EV2-Max (L-Momments)	197.360			Blom Points
EV1-Min (Gumbel, L-Moments)	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	36.2234%	0.19289	Gringorten Points		EV1-Min (Gumbel, L-Moments)	153.613			Gringorten Points
EV3-Min (Weibull, L-Moments)	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	ACCEPT	59.6639%	0.15836 *	uningoriteh Points		EV3-Min (Weibull, L-Moments)	158.575			Gringorten Points
<					•	Logarithmic		Pareto (L-Moments)	153,830		-	Logarithmic

Figure 3: Calculation of Planned Rainfall

No	Return Periode (Year)	Planned Rainfall (mm)
1	1000	174.726
2	200	168.083
3	100	164.282
4	50	159.743
5	20	152.316
6	10	145.274
7	5	136.455
8	2	119.583

Table 1. Calculation of Planned Rainfall using Hydrognomon 4.1.0

Furthermore, the calculation of the Loss Rate Method is a way of calculating the water loss that occurs through the infiltration process. The SCS Curve Number consists of several parameters that must be inputted, namely initial loss or initial infiltration value, SCS Curve Number, and imperviousness (watertightness). For the initial infiltration value and SCS Curve Number By entering the Lag Time value to calculate the peak and hydrograph time, the SCS model will automatically form the ordinates for the hydrograph peak and the time function can be seen in Figure 4.

🚑 Subbasin Loss T	ransform Baseflow Options	🔒 Subbasin 🛛 Lo	oss Transform	Baseflow Options
	DAS BINALATUNG DAS BINALATUNG	Basin Name:	: DAS BINALAT	TUNG
Initial Abstraction (MM)	1.3	Element Name		
*Curve Number:	60		Standard (PRF	
*Impervious (%)	0.0			דטד (
		*Lag Time (MIN)	180	

Figure 4: Sub-basin Loss Rate and Sub-basin Transform

Baseflow can be interpreted as base flow, this model is used to describe the base flow that occurs during runoff so that the peak height of the hydrograph can be calculated. In the modeling, the recession method is used with the assumption that the base flow is always present and has a hydrograph peak at one time unit and has a relationship with rainfall (precipitation). The parameters used in this recession model are initial flow, recession ratio and threshold flow. Initial flow is the initial base flow value that can be calculated or from observational data, the recession ratio constant is the ratio value between the current and yesterday's flow which constantly has a value of 0 to 1. While the threshold flow is the threshold value for the separation of runoff flow and base flow. To calculate this value, the exponential method can be used or it is assumed with a large value of the peak-to-peak ratio (US Army Corps of Engineering, 2001). The design of the hydrograph should be based on recording real rainfall events. Input precipitation data or effective rainfall during a flood, it can be in the form of 5 minutes, hourly or daily. It should be noted that regional rainfall is obtained from the average rainfall of the Thiessen method by taking into account the influence of rainfall stations in the area. Control Specifications contain input time when the program starts and ends execution (running) and the desired time interval (15 minutes, 1 hour, or 1 day). The procedure used is the same as for the basin model and meteorological model. After all the input variables above are entered, to execute the modeling to run, the basin model and the meteorological model must be combined. The results of the execution of this method can be seen in the graph and the output values below. The output below is the planned flood discharge for a return period of 1 year.

Control Specifications		Summary Results for Subbasin "DAS BINALATUNG"
Name:	Control 1	Project: Rekapitulasi Kalibrasi Binal Simulation Run: Run ANALISA HIDROLOGI Subbasin: DAS BINALATUNG
Description:		Start of Run: 31Jan2018, 00:00 Basin Model: DAS BINALATUNG
*Start Date (ddMMMYYYY)	31Jan2018	End of Run: 31Jan2019, 00:00 Meteorologic Model: TR 1 Tahun Compute Time: 20Nov2021, 11:51:14 Control Specifications: Control 1
*Start Time (HH:mm)	00:00	Volume Units:  MM  1000 M3
*End Date (ddMMMYYYY)	31Jan2019	Computed Results
*End Time (HH:mm)	00:00	Peak Discharge: 2.0 (M3/S) Date/Time of Peak Discharge: 17Aug2018, 00:00
Time Interval:	1Day 🔹	Precipitation Volume:2457.60 (MM) Direct Runoff Volume: 2350.75 (MM) Loss Volume: 106.74 (MM) Baseflow Volume: 19384.13 (MM) Excess Volume: 2351.36 (MM) Discharge Volume: 21734.88 (MM)

Figure 5: Control Specifications (running process time)

After all the input variables above are entered, to execute the modeling to run, the basin model and the meteorological model must be combined. The results of the execution of this method can be seen in the graph and the output values below. The output below is the planned flood discharge for a return period of 1 year.



Figure 6: Flow Hydrograph of Binalatung Watershed

The results of the HEC-HMS model simulation for February 2018 to January 2019 are as shown in Figure 4.23 above. The peak discharge simulation model results is 2.0 m3/s and volume total outflow is 21734.88 mm with peak discharge time at August 17, 2018. For the initial stage of testing, calibration of the model was carried out based on rain data and measured discharge data in February 2017. 2018 to January 2019. The calibration is carried out based on the parameters contained in the basin model, namely the initial abstraction value, curve number, and time lag. The calibration data can be observed for model work by looking at the difference between the observed discharge and the theoretical discharge.

	Proj	ect:ANALISA HIDROLO	OGI 2 Optimization Tria	:Optimization 2	
	End of Trial:	31Jan2018, 00:00 31Jan2019, 00:00 :DATA CHANGED, REG		DAS BINALATUNG Model:TR 1 Tahun	
Statistic at Basin I	Element "DAS E	INALATUNG"			
		End of Functi Value:	First Lag Autocorrelatio tion: 31Jan2018, 00:00 ion: 31Jan2019, 00:00 -0.07 its:  MM  1000 M3	n	
Measure	•	Simulated	Observed	Difference	Percent Difference
Volume (MM)		135362.19	141557.76	-6195.57	-4.38
a lat francisk		44.8	85.4	-40.6	-47.5
Peak Flow (M3/S)					

Figure 7: Calculation of correlation coefficient and error rate

## Calibration of Rainfall Variety Switching to Discharge with Hec HMS Method at Binalatung Tarakan

Based on results of analysis of the HEC-HMS method, the peak discharge of simulation model is 2.0 m3/s and volume total outflow is 21734.88 mm with peak discharge on August 17, 2018. As for the field discharge, the results recorded in the field obtained a peak discharge of 2.1 m3/s and a total outflow volume of 2113.81 mm with the peak discharge time occurring on February 10, 2020. By simulating the simulation, at various variations of the CN values, the correlation coefficient values and relative errors were varied. After comparing the HEC-HMS experiments presented in tabular form, it was concluded that the experiment had a correlation coefficient with a value of 85.4 and a relative error percentage of 44.8% with a difference between the correlation coefficient and a relative error of -40.6. From these results, HEC-HMS modeling will be carried out to find the highest planned flood discharge value using data. The difference in entered for HEC-HMS modeling after calibration lies in rainfall data for time period used, which is for 10 years starting 2010 to 2019, the CN obtained from calibration is 80 and the Initial Abstraction (Ia) is 0. ,5. The results of the modeling after being calibrated are as follows:

Deside the D			
Project: D		Simulation Run: Run ANALIS	A HIDROLOGI
	Subbasir	h: DAS BINALATUNG	
Start of Run: 31	Dec2009, 00:00	Basin Model: [	DAS BINALATUNG
End of Run: 31	Dec2019, 00:00	Meteorologic Model: [	)ata Hujan 2010-2019
Compute Time:21	Nov2021, 19:58:50	Control Specifications:	Control 1
	Volume Units	s: 💿 MM 💿 1000 M3	
omputed Results			
omputeu Results			
Peak Discharge:	3.0 (M3/S)	Date/Time of Peak Discha	arge:03Dec2017, 00:00
		Date/Time of Peak Discha Direct Runoff Volume:	-
Peak Discharge:	ne:37953.30 (MM)	Direct Runoff Volume:	-

Figure 8: The modeling for 10 years after calibration



Based on analysis results of the HEC-HMS models, the peak discharge from simulation model after calibration is 3.0 m3/sec and volume total outflow is 231836.19 mm with peak discharge on December 3, 2017.

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

The approach to calculating the conversion of rainfall into discharge using the HEC-HMS modeling in the Binalatung watershed, Tarakan City, North Kalimantan after calibration lies in time period rain data used, which is for 10 years from 2010 to 2019, the CN obtained from the calibration is of 80 and the value of Initial Abstraction (Ia) of 0.5 with a correlation coefficient with a value of 85.4 and has a relative error percentage of 44.8% with the difference between the correlation coefficient and relative error of -40.6.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Beighley R. E. and G. E. Moglen. (2003). Adjusting measured peak discharges from an urbanizing watershed to reflect a stationary land use signal. Water Resour. Res. 39(4): 4-1 -4-11.
- Chris McColla and Graeme Aggett. (2007). Land-use forecasting and hydrologic model integration for improved land-use decision support. Journal of Environmental Management. 84: 494-512.
- [3] Emerson, H. C., Welty, C., Traver, R. G. (2005). "Scale evaluation of a system of storm water detention basins." J. Hydrol. Eng., 10(3), 237–242.
- [4] Fleming M. and Neary V. (2004). Continuous Hydrologic Modeling Study with the Hydrologic Modeling System. J. Hydrol. Eng. 9(3): 175-183.
- [5] Hydrologic Modeling System HEC-HMS. Technical Refrence Manual. 2000. US Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, 609 Second Street, Davis, CA 95616-4687 USA.
- [6] Hoblit, B. C., Liu, L., and Curtis, D. C. (2002). "Extreme rainfall estimation using radar for Tropical Storm Allison." Proc. 2002 Water Resources Planning and Management Conf., Environmental and Water Resources Institute, Washington, DC, 1–8.
- [7] Kadam, A. S. (2011), Event based rainfall-runoff simulation using HEC-HMS model, Unpublished P. G. thesis submitted to Deptt. of Soil and water Conservation Engg., CAET, Dr. PDKV, Akola.
- [8] Kumar, D. and Bhattacharya, R. (2011), Distributed Rainfall Runoff Modeling. International Journal of Earth Sciences and Engineering, 4,(6) SPL, pp 270-275.
- [9] Ogden, F. L., Garbrecht, J., Debarry, P. A., Maidment, A. R. (2001). "GIS and distributed watershed models II: Modules, interfaces, and models." J. Hydrol. Eng., 6(6), 515–523.
- [10] Putty, M. R. Y. and Prasad, R. (2000), Understanding runoff processes using a watershed model—a case study in the Western Ghats in South India, Journal of Hydrology, 228, pp 215–227.
- [11] Vörösmarty, C. J., et al. (2010). "Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity." Nature, 467(7315), 555–561.
- [12] Yener, M. K., Sorman, A. U., Sorman, A. A., Sensoy, A. and Gezgin, T. (2012), Modeling Studies with HEC-HMS And Runoff Scenarios in Yuvacik Basin, Turkiye, International Congress on River Basin Management, pp 621-634.
- [13] Yusop Z., Chan C.H. and Katimon A. (2007). Runoff characteristics and application of HEC-HMS for modelling stormflow hydrograph in an oil palm catchment Water Science and Technology. IWA Publishing. 56(8): 41-48.