Research Paper

Integration of Inclusive Education Practices for Learners with Special Needs in Nigerian Public Secondary Schools: A Policy-to-Practice Analysis

Ibrahim Sani Sumaila

Department of Special Education, Nigerian Army School of Education, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria
Correspondence: ibrahim.sumaila@nase.edu.ng

Abstract
This study examines the integration of inclusive education practices for learners with special needs in Nigerian public secondary schools, focusing on the dissonance between policy formulation and implementation. Grounded in Bandura's Social Learning Theory and resilience frameworks, the research employs a mixed-methods approach to assess the extent to which national and state policies translate into effective classroom practices. Data were collected from 200 teachers and 15 school administrators across six states using questionnaires, interviews, and observational checklists. Quantitative findings reveal a significant positive correlation between policy awareness and inclusive practice adoption ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.01$), yet qualitative insights highlight persistent barriers: inadequate resources, insufficient teacher training, and deep-seated cultural stigmas. The study concludes that while policy frameworks exist, their implementation remains fragmented and under-resourced. It proposes a multi-tiered Inclusive Education Integration Model (IEIM) that synergizes policy reinforcement, teacher capacity building, community engagement, and resilient pedagogical strategies. Recommendations are offered for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders to bridge the gap between rhetoric and reality in Nigeria's inclusive education landscape.

Keywords: Inclusive Education, Special Needs Learners, Policy Implementation, Nigeria, Public Secondary Schools, Teacher Training, Cultural Stigma

I.   Introduction

Inclusive education, enshrined in international frameworks such as the UNESCO Salamanca Statement (1994) and the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD), advocates for the full participation of all learners in mainstream educational settings. In Nigeria, inclusive education is embedded in the National Policy on Education (2004, revised 2013) and the Discrimination Against Persons with Disabilities (Prohibition) Act (2018). However, the translation of these policies into effective classroom practices remains inconsistent and fraught with challenges (Isaac et al., 2025).

The Nigerian educational system, historically shaped by colonial legacies and post-independence reforms, continues to grapple with inequities in access, quality, and resource distribution (Imam, 2012). For learners with special needs—including physical, sensory, intellectual, and emotional disabilities—these inequities are exacerbated by attitudinal, structural, and pedagogical barriers. While research has documented policy gaps and implementation deficits (Adetoro, 2014; Ogunode & Yunusa, 2022), few studies have holistically examined the integration mechanisms that could facilitate effective inclusive practices in public secondary schools.

This study addresses this gap by investigating the current state of inclusive education integration in Nigerian public secondary schools. It seeks to answer the following research questions:

1.   To what extent are inclusive education policies implemented in Nigerian public secondary schools?
2.   What are the major facilitators and barriers to integrating inclusive practices for learners with special needs?
3.   How can an evidence-based model enhance the integration of inclusive education in Nigeria?

II.   Literature Review

2.1 Conceptualizing Inclusive Education

Inclusive education transcends mere physical integration; it embodies a philosophical commitment to equity, diversity, and systemic adaptation (UNESCO, 2005). It requires restructuring curricula, pedagogical approaches, and school environments to meet the diverse needs of all learners (Ainscow, 2020). In Nigeria, inclusive education is often conflated with integration or mainstreaming, which may not address the need for comprehensive systemic change (Olufunke & Oluremi, 2014).

2.2 Policy Landscape in Nigeria

Nigeria's National Policy on Education (2004, 2013) mandates inclusive education for learners with special needs. The policy advocates for free education, trained personnel, and adapted infrastructure. However, implementation has been hampered by inadequate funding, weak monitoring, and political instability (Isaac et al., 2025). The 2018 Disability Act represents a legislative milestone, yet enforcement remains lax.

2.3 Barriers to Inclusive Education

Studies consistently identify several barriers:

* Resource Constraints: Shortages of assistive devices, accessible infrastructure, and instructional materials (Egaga & Aderibigbe, 2015).
* Teacher Preparedness: Inadequate training in special education pedagogy and classroom management (Ajuwon, 2008).
* Cultural Stigmas: Negative societal attitudes toward disability, often rooted in superstition and misinformation (Adetoro, 2014).
* Policy-Implementation Gap: Disconnect between policy rhetoric and school-level execution (Ogunode & Yunusa, 2022).

2.4 Theoretical Framework

This study draws on Bandura's Social Learning Theory (1965), which posits that learning occurs through observation, imitation, and modeling within a supportive environment. In inclusive settings, teachers and peers serve as models for empathetic interaction and adaptive behavior. Additionally, insights from resilience theory (Rao et al., 2024) and emotional intelligence (Guo et al., 2021) inform the understanding of how learners and educators can develop coping strategies and emotional regulation skills in challenging environments.

III.   Methodology

3.1 Research Design

A concurrent mixed-methods design was employed, combining quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews and observations.

3.2 Participants and Sampling

* Quantitative: 200 teachers from public secondary schools in six states (Lagos, Kano, Rivers, Enugu, Niger, and Kwara) selected through stratified random sampling.
* Qualitative: 15 school administrators and 30 teachers purposively sampled for in-depth interviews and focus group discussions.

3.3 Instruments

1. Inclusive Practice Questionnaire (IPQ): A 30-item Likert-scale instrument measuring policy awareness, classroom practices, and perceived barriers (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.79$).
2. Semi-structured Interview Guides: Exploring experiences, challenges, and recommendations.
3. **Observational Checklist:** Assessing physical accessibility, resource availability, and teacher-student interactions.

3.4 Data Collection and Analysis

Data were collected over six months. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, and regression analysis in SPSS v25. Qualitative data were transcribed and subjected to thematic analysis using NVivo 12.

3.5 Ethical Considerations

Approval was obtained from the Nigerian Army School of Education Ethics Committee. Informed consent was secured, and anonymity was guaranteed.

IV.   Results

4.1 Quantitative Findings

A strong positive correlation was found between teachers' policy awareness and their adoption of inclusive practices ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.01$). Regression analysis indicated that policy awareness, training exposure, and resource availability collectively predicted 58% of the variance in inclusive practice adoption ($R^2 = 0.58$, $F = 45.32$, $p < 0.001$). However, 72% of teachers reported inadequate training, and 85% highlighted severe resource shortages.

Table 1: Predictors of Inclusive Practice Adoption

Predictor	β	p-value
Policy Awareness	0.42	0.000
Training Exposure	0.35	0.002
Resource Availability	0.28	0.005
Cultural Attitudes	-0.19	0.032

4.2 Qualitative Themes

1. Systemic Neglect: "Policies exist on paper, but we lack the tools to implement them." (Principal, Lagos)
2. Professional Development Gap: "I was never trained to handle students with disabilities." (Teacher, Kano)
3. Community Resistance: "Parents believe inclusive classrooms will slow down their 'normal' children." (Administrator, Enugu)
4. Resilience and Adaptation: Teachers who exhibited higher emotional intelligence reported greater success in managing inclusive classrooms.

Figure 1: Proposed Inclusive Education Integration Model (IEIM)

V.   Discussion

The findings align with existing literature (Isaac et al., 2025; Adetoro, 2014) confirming a significant policy-practice gap. While policy awareness motivates inclusive practices, systemic barriers—particularly inadequate training and resources—hinder effective implementation. The negative impact of cultural stigmas underscores the need for community sensitization. The integration of resilience and emotional intelligence frameworks offers a promising lens for strengthening inclusive education. Teachers with higher emotional intelligence may better navigate the stressors of diverse classrooms, fostering resilient learning environments (Rao et al., 2024; Guo et al., 2021). This suggests that teacher training programs should incorporate emotional intelligence and resilience-building modules. The proposed Inclusive Education Integration Model (IEIM) provides a structured approach to bridging the policy-practice divide. Its four pillars—policy reinforcement, teacher capacity building, resource mobilization, and community engagement—address the multi-faceted nature of the challenge.

VI.   Recommendations

1. Policy Action: Strengthen enforcement of existing laws and allocate dedicated funding for inclusive education.

2. Teacher Training: Integrate special education, emotional intelligence, and resilience training into pre-service and in-service teacher education.
3. Resource Provision: Equip schools with assistive technologies, accessible infrastructure, and adapted learning materials.
4. Community Sensitization: Launch nationwide campaigns to combat stigma and promote disability awareness.
5. Research and Monitoring: Establish a national database on inclusive education and conduct regular implementation audits.

VII.   Conclusion

The integration of inclusive education practices in Nigerian public secondary schools remains an unrealized ideal. While policy frameworks exist, their implementation is undermined by systemic deficiencies. By adopting a holistic, multi-stakeholder approach—as envisioned in the IEIM—Nigeria can move closer to ensuring equitable, quality education for all learners, regardless of ability. Future research should explore longitudinal impacts of integrated models and the role of technology in enhancing inclusive practices.

Acknowledgement

References

[1]. Adetoro, R. A. (2014). Inclusive education in Nigeria: A myth or reality? *Creative Education*, *5*(20), 1777–1781.
[2]. Ainscow, M. (2020). Promoting inclusion and equity in education: Lessons from international experiences. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy*, *6*(1), 7–16.
[3]. Ajuwon, P. M. (2008). Inclusive education for students with disabilities in Nigeria: Benefits, challenges and policy implications. *International Journal of Special Education*, *23*(3), 11–16.
[4]. Bandura, A. (1965). Influence of models' reinforcement contingencies on the acquisition of imitative responses. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *1*(6), 589–595.
[5]. Egaga, P. I., & Aderibigbe, S. A. (2015). Efficacy of information and communication technology in enhancing learning outcomes of students with hearing impairment in Ibadan. *Journal of Education and Practice*, *6*(30), 202–205.
[6]. Federal Government of Nigeria. (2004). *National Policy on Education* (4th ed.). NERDC Press.
[7]. Federal Government of Nigeria. (2013). *National Policy on Education* (6th ed.). NERDC Press.
[8]. Guo, R., Sun, M., Zhang, C., Fan, Z., Liu, Z., & Tao, H. (2021). The role of military training in improving psychological resilience and reducing depression among college freshmen. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *12*, 641396.
[9]. Imam, H. (2012). Educational policy in Nigeria from the colonial era to the post-independence period. *Italian Journal of Sociology of Education*, *4*(1), 181–202.
[10]. Isaac, S. J., Ajiboye, S. O., & Kusika, M. E. (2025). Special need students and inclusive education in Nigeria: A rhetoric or reality? *International Journal of Sub-Saharan African Research*, *3*(4), 181–192.
[11]. Ogunode, N. J., & Yunusa, M. (2022). Inclusive education in Nigerian basic education schools: Problems and way forward. *Electronic Research Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, *4*(3), 1–11.
[12]. Olufunke, A. M., & Oluremi, O. F. (2014). Inclusive education and the development of Nigerian communities. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, *3*(1), 28–40.
[13]. Rao, G. P., Koneru, A., Nebhineni, N., & Mishra, K. K. (2024). Developing resilience and harnessing emotional intelligence. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, *66*(Suppl 2), S255–S261.
[14]. UNESCO. (1994). *The Salamanca Statement and Framework for Action on Special Needs Education*. UNESCO. UNESCO. (2005). *Guidelines for inclusion: Ensuring access to education for all*. UNESCO.