Quest Journals
Journal of Medical and Dental Science Research
Volume 11~ Issue 3 (2024) pp: 09-12
ISSN(Online) : 2394-076X ISSN (Print):2394-0751
www.questjournals.org

Research Paper

Clinical importance of control in colorimetric estimation of enzymes

[1.] Kavindra Borgaonkar
Associate professor, Department of Biochemistry, Government Medical College Latur Maharashtra India

[2.] Ranjit Patil
Professor Department of Medical Biochemistry, S.S.R Medical College Belle Rive Mauritius

Abstract
In colorimetric estimation of enzymes, controls play a crucial role in ensuring the accuracy and reliability of results. Controls are samples that do not contain the enzyme of interest but are subjected to the same experimental conditions as the test samples. By comparing the results of the controls to those of the test samples, researchers can identify and correct for any sources of error that may have affected the experimental outcomes. This emphasis on controls underscores the importance of maintaining consistency in experimental procedures to achieve meaningful and reproducible data in enzyme assays.
Keywords: *Clinical biochemistry, colorimetry, enzymes, control, variability*

R*eceived 23 Feb., 2024;* ***R****evised 02 Mar., 2024;* ***A****ccepted 04 Mar., 2024* © *The author(s) 2024.*
P*ublished with open access at* ***www.questjournals.org***

Colorimetric estimation of enzymes

Colorimetric estimation of enzymes is a commonly used technique in biochemical research to quantify the activity of enzymes. By measuring the change in color of a substrate or product in response to enzyme activity, researchers can determine the concentration of the enzyme in a sample. This method is based on the principle that the rate of enzyme-catalyzed reactions is directly proportional to the amount of enzyme present in the sample. By carefully controlling the reaction conditions and selecting appropriate substrates and detection methods, accurate and reproducible measurements can be obtained. [1]

Measuring enzyme activity in blood serum or plasma is crucial in clinical biochemistry, especially for identifying acute tissue injury. This analysis typically represents 25% of all estimations conducted by a clinical chemistry lab, with various enzymes usually evaluated regularly. An enzyme's existence is identified and its quantity is determined by observing its impact on the speed of a certain chemical reaction. Enzyme activity is determined by comparing reaction rates with and without the enzyme present in the reaction mixture. Quantification is based on comparing the reaction rate of the enzyme being tested with a known activity level expressed in units. However, measurement of enzyme activity elicits some quality control problems, the first is due to the control samples of known activity and the second as a result of method of calibration. [2]

Importance of control in ensuring accurate results

Control is essential in enzyme estimation assays to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the results obtained. Without proper controls, it can be difficult to distinguish the effects of the enzyme being studied from other factors that may influence the reaction.[3] Control samples, which do not contain the enzyme or substrate of interest, are used to establish a baseline for comparison and to account for any non-specific reactions that may occur. In addition, positive and negative controls are often included to validate the assay and confirm that the results are valid. By including controls in enzyme estimation assays, researchers can confidently interpret their findings and draw meaningful conclusions about enzyme activity and regulation.[4] For example, in a study investigating the activity of an enzyme involved in glucose metabolism, control samples without the enzyme would be used to ensure that any changes in reaction rate are due specifically to the presence of the enzyme. Positive controls with known concentrations of the enzyme can also be included to validate the assay and confirm that the results accurately reflect enzyme activity levels.[5] In this scenario, the control samples without

the enzyme would serve as a baseline for comparison, allowing researchers to isolate the effects of the enzyme on reaction rates. Additionally, the positive controls with known concentrations would provide a reference point for accurately quantifying and interpreting the results of the assay

Overview of common enzyme examples used for diagnosing liver disease

Some common examples of enzymes that are frequently studied in assays include SGOT (serum glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase) and SGPT (serum glutamic-pyruvic transaminase). These enzymes are commonly used as markers of liver function and can provide valuable information about the health of the liver. SGOT and SGPT levels can be measured in blood samples to assess liver damage or disease, with elevated levels indicating potential issues. By using these enzymes as markers in assays, researchers can gain insight into the effects of various compounds or treatments on liver function and overall health. Additionally, other enzymes such as alkaline phosphatase and bilirubin can also be measured to further assess liver health. Alkaline phosphatase is an enzyme found in various tissues throughout the body, with elevated levels often indicating liver or bone disease. By measuring a combination of these enzymes in assays, researchers can obtain a comprehensive picture of liver function and identify potential issues that may require further investigation or treatment.

Role of Controls in Colorimetric Estimation

In colorimetric assay of enzymes, controls play a crucial role in ensuring the accuracy and reliability of these measurements. Controls help to account for any variability in the assay conditions, such as changes in temperature or pH, and ensure that the color intensity is being accurately measured.[6]

Role of Control in maintaining consistency during experimental conditions

The experimental conditions such as temperature, pH, and lighting can also play a crucial role in ensuring the reliability of colorimetric assay results. By carefully controlling these variables and implementing rigorous quality assurance measures, researchers can minimize the impact of potential sources of error and increase the reproducibility of their findings. Meanwhile, controls are an important aspect of colorimetric assays, they should be used in conjunction with other best practices to ensure the accuracy and validity of the measurements obtained.[7]

Monitoring for interference from non-enzyme sources

This is also a critical component of ensuring the accuracy of colorimetric assay results. Non-enzyme sources such as contaminants, impurities, or other substances present in the sample can introduce unwanted variables that may skew the data. Additionally, implementing proper sample preparation techniques and using high-quality reagents can also help reduce the risk of interference and ensure more accurate and consistent results. During estimation of specific protein in blood samples using a colorimetric assay, researchers may encounter interference from hemolysis or lipemia present in the samples. By carefully centrifuging the samples to remove any debris before analysis and using purified reagents, they can minimize these interferences and obtain more accurate results. Additionally, running parallel controls with known concentrations can help validate the assay and ensure the accuracy of the measurements.[8]

Verifying accuracy of assay procedures

It involves the validation of the methods used, including verification of the precision and reliability of the results obtained. This can be done through the use of quality control samples, replicates, and calibration curves to ensure that the assay is performing within acceptable limits. By regularly monitoring and verifying the accuracy of assay procedures, researchers can have confidence in the reliability of their data and the validity of their conclusions.[9]

Types of Controls in enzymes assays

Positive control in enzyme assays

Positive controls typically involve using a known quantity of the enzyme or a substrate that is known to react with the enzyme in a specific way. By including positive controls in enzyme assays, it aids the experimental conditions are suitable for detecting enzyme activity and can confidently interpret their results. Additionally, positive controls can help to identify any issues with the assay procedure that may affect the accuracy of the results. For example, in a study measuring the activity of the enzyme amylase, a positive control could involve using a known concentration of amylase enzyme to confirm that the assay is able to accurately detect and measure its activity.[5]

Negative control in enzyme assays

Negative controls is the preparation which contains the sample without the enzyme of interest or with an inactive form of the enzyme to ensure that any observed changes in activity are specific to the enzyme being

studied. This helps to rule out any non-specific reactions or contaminants that could affect the results. For example, in a study examining the activity of an enzyme in cancer cells, a positive control could involve using a sample known to have high levels of the enzyme, while a negative control could involve using a sample without any active enzyme. Blank samples could be included to account for any background noise in the measurements, and standard curves could be used to quantify the enzyme activity accurately. These controls help researchers confidently attribute any observed changes in enzyme activity to the specific enzyme being studied, rather than other factors that could.[10]

Internal controls in enzyme assays

Internal controls, such as housekeeping genes or loading controls, can help ensure that any differences in enzyme activity are not due to inconsistencies in sample handling. By including these internal controls, accurate interpretation of results and can draw meaningful conclusions about the role of the enzyme in cancer cells. Additionally, proper statistical analysis should be employed to determine the significance of any observed changes in enzyme activity. While investigating the role of a specific enzyme in cancer cells, researchers may use housekeeping genes as internal controls to ensure that any changes in enzyme activity are not influenced by variations in sample preparation. By comparing the enzyme activity levels with and without the internal controls, researchers can confidently attribute any observed differences to the enzyme itself rather than experimental error. [11]

Importance of Control in liver markers enzymes assays

Control in SGOT and SGPT assays is crucial for accurately measuring liver enzyme activity. By including internal controls, researchers can ensure that any changes in enzyme levels are not due to factors such as sample preparation or experimental error. This helps to establish the validity of the results and provides confidence in the conclusions drawn from the study. The

Accurate measurement of SGOT activity is critical for distinguishing between cardiac, pulmonary, and hepatic disorders. Previous spectrophotometric MDH-coupled assays used for SGOT detection produced non-linear rates. Furthermore, activity does not correlate with the quantity of serum tested. Amador stated that removing these error factors, such as insufficient substrate concentrations and DPNH oxidation in the blank, also eliminates the ambiguous borderline data that have contributed to diagnostic ambiguity. When the substrate and coenzyme amounts given by Henry et al. [12] are used, linear reaction rates are obtained over a wide range of total activity. Additionally, measuring the "blank" enables the accurate determination of the SGOT activity. The changes resulted in a notable improvement in accuracy: duplicate measurements differ by less than one SGOT unit, and replicate measurements differ less than 1%. Small variations in activity can be detected to indicate the top limit of normal SGOT activity within well-defined statistical limitations.[13]

In Reitman and Fankel,[14] method for SGOT and SGPT estimation, Serum is added in test samples before incubation at 37 ºC and serum added in control after incubation at 37 ºC. The overall results state that the controls did not indicate significant changes in the results. If controls are not used, the optical density, rather than the change in optical density, should be plotted as the ordinate in the standard curve. An alternative would be to plot per cent of transmission with micromoles of pyruvate on semilogarithmic graph paper.

Likewise Witter and Gribbs,[15] analysed that enzyme activities for SGPT observed with the commercially prepared reagents were from 32 to 100% higher than those found with reagents freshly prepared in the laboratory These high results were due to the fact that the commercially prepared standards contained only from 66 to 81% of the expected amount of pyruvate. Control experiments showed that these low concentrations were not caused by deterioration of the commercial standards after receipt in the laboratory. Therefore, if commercially prepared reagents are to be used, it might be worthwhile to check the concentration of pyruvate in the furnished standard.

One important aspect of using controls in enzyme assays is the ability to detect and account for potential confounding variables in patient samples. These variables, such as medication use, underlying medical conditions, or lifestyle factors, can impact the levels of SGOT and SGPT in the blood, leading to inaccurate results if not properly controlled. For example, in a study investigating the impact of a new drug on liver function, researchers may include controls for factors such as age, gender, and pre-existing liver conditions to ensure that any changes in SGOT and SGPT levels are solely due to the drug's effects. Without these controls, external factors could lead to misleading results and inaccurate conclusions about the drug's efficacy.

Conclusion

Overall, by emphasizing the significance of control in colorometric estimation of enzyme efficacy, researchers can contribute to advancements in medical research and the development of more effective treatments for patients.

References

[1]. Rosalki SB. Quality Control of Enzyme Determinations. Ann Clin Biochem Int J Lab Med. 1980 Mar;17(2):74–7.
[2]. Moss DW. Dilemmas in quality control of enzyme determinations. Clin Chem. 1970;
[3]. Bisswanger H. Enzyme assays. Perspect Sci. 2014;
[4]. Sudarshana S. On the importance of controls in enzyme assays — an odd example. Biochem Mol Biol Educ. 2001 Mar;29(2):76–8.
[5]. Valls C, Rojas C, Pujadas G, Garcia-Vallve S, Mulero M. Characterization of the activity and stability of amylase from saliva and detergent: Laboratory practicals for studying the activity and stability of amylase from saliva and various commercial detergents. Biochem Mol Biol Educ. 2012 Jul;40(4):254–65.
[6]. Moss DW. Accuracy, precision, and quality control of enzyme Assays. J Clin Pathol. 1970;
[7]. Gygli G. On the reproducibility of enzyme reactions and kinetic modelling. Biol Chem. 2022 Jul;403(8–9):717–30.
[8]. Nikolac N. Lipemia: causes, interference mechanisms, detection and management. Biochem Medica. 2014;57–67.
[9]. Stauffer MT, editor. Calibration and Validation of Analytical Methods - A Sampling of Current Approaches. Calibration and Validation of Analytical Methods - A Sampling of Current Approaches. InTech; 2018.
[10]. Jones K. Enzyme assays: a practical approach. FEBS Lett. 1993 Jun;323(3):296–296.
[11]. Janssens N, Janicot M, Perera T, Bakker A. Housekeeping Genes as Internal Standards in Cancer Research. Mol Diagnosis. 2004;8(2):107–13.
[12]. Henry RJ, Chiamori N, Golub OJ, Berkman S. Revised Spectrophotometric Methods for the Determination of Glutamic-Oxalacetic Transaminase, Glutamic-Pyruvic Transaminase, and Lactic Acid Dehydrogenase. Am J Clin Pathol. 1960 Oct;34(4_ts):381–98.
[13]. Amador E, Wacker WEC. Serum Glutamic-Oxaloacetic Transaminase Activity. Clin Chem [Internet]. 1962 Aug 1;8(4):343–50. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/clinchem/article/8/4/343/5672412
[14]. Reitman S, Frankel S. A Colorimetric Method for the Determination of Serum Glutamic Oxalacetic and Glutamic Pyruvic Transaminases. Am J Clin Pathol. 1957 Jul;28(1):56–63.
[15]. Witter RF, Grubbs LM. An evaluation of the Reitman-Frankel method for the determination of serum glutamic oxalacetic transaminase. Clin Chim Acta. 1966;