Quest Journals Journal of Research in Agriculture and Animal Science Volume 8 ~ Issue 12 (2021) pp: 47-52 ISSN(Online) : 2321-9459 www.questjournals.org

Research Paper



Lettuce Cultivars Response to NPK 15:15: 15 Fertilizer Manegement Practices N Southern Nigeria

AGBA. O. A

Department of Agronomy, Cross River University of Technology, Agriculture and Forestry Faculty, Obubra, Cross River State, South – Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Field studies were conducted to determine the response of Lettuce (Lactuca sativa) cultivars to Npk 15;15:15 fertilizer management practices in 2020 and 2021 seasons in Cross River University of Technology, Teaching and Research Farm, Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture Obubra, Cross River State, Nigeria. The experimental design was 2 x5 factorial of two lettuce cultivars (Great Lake and Baby leaf mix) and 5 fertilizer RATES (0, 50, 100, 150, and 200) Kg/ha, ten treatment combination laid out in randomized complete block design with three replications. Results shown that the 2 lettuce varied significantly in their growth and yield. Baby leaf mix had taller plants, more leaves and dry matter per plant than Great lake cultivar. Higher leaf numbervalues (44.32, and 46.13) and plant height (15.57 and 16.11) cm were recorded at 200 kg/ha fertilizer at 12 weeks after planting in 2020 and 2021 seasons respectively. Lettuce cultivars varied in their growth and yield. Baby leaf mix higher growth and yield than Great lake. The highest fresh leaf yield (232.38 and 251.77)g per plant and (4.235 and 4.352) t/ha were produced in plots treated with 150 k/ha NPK 15;15;15 at 16 WAP in 2020 and 2021 seasons respectively. Farmers and researchers are advise to apply 150 or 200kg/ha NPK 15:15;15 fertilizer in cultivating Baby leaf mix Lettuce cultivar for maximum growth and fruit yield in the tropics. **KEY WORDS:** Lettuce, Cultivars NPK fertilizer, Growth, Yield

Received 16 Dec, 2021; Revised 28 Dec, 2021; Accepted 31 Dec, 2021 © *The author(s) 2021. Published with open access at www.questjournals.org*

I. INTRODUCTION

Lettuce (*Latica satica*) is one of leafy vegetables cultivated for it leaves that are use for food and medication. The plant has high nutritive value, rich in vitamins (A, carotene, C, ascorbic acid and minerals especially calcium and iron (VILLAGRA, *et al.*2012, Amanda and Ahundeniya, 2012).

The leaves are used in salad, dishes like soups, stews, and cheese. The antioxidants present n Lettuce is use in medication against some diseases (Ananda and Ahundeniya, 2012). Spain, China, United States of America, India, Egypt are among the largest world producer of lettuce (Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO, 2013).

Commercial production/cultivation of lettuce in Nigeria is restricted to Northern parts. This could partially be due to climatic, weather and soil conditions that are more suitable in the North than southern Nigeria. Lettuce is commonly cultivated in southern Nigeria where there is high demand and high cost of the produce.

Adverse weather condition and low soil fertility among others are the constrained to high commercial scale cultivation of lettuce in Cross River State South- South Nigeria.NPK fertilizers supply the nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium which are major determinant for high crop (lettuce) yield and quality (Lenamaria*et al*¹2016..)

In commercial vegetables cultivation especially lettuce, the application of NPK fertilizers is important for profitable cultivation. This is because, these nutrients play vital role in chlorophyll synthesis, process of photosynthesis and carbon dioxide assimilation (QIU *et al* ;2014).

Literature shows that application of NPK fertilizers Promote vigorous growth (leaves, branches and Plant height), also increase uptake of other essential plant nutrients, transpiration rate and improve stomata conductance (QIU, *et al*, 2014, Lenamaria*et al*¹; 2016.).

Earlier researchers have reported that crops cultivars shown significant variations in growth, form, size, color, leaf shape, color, taste and yield quality. Lettuce cultivars performance is affected by genotype and environmental conditions. The ability to select the right cultivar contribute highly to realization of increase growth and yield.

There is paucity of literature information on the lettuce cultivars that is suitable for increase growth in Cross River, Southern Nigeria. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the appropriate lettuce cultivar and NPK 15:15:15 fertilizer rate require for optimum growth and yield in Cross River, South –South Nigeria.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were conducted in 2020 and 2021 rainy season at Teaching and Research Farm, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, Cross River University of Technology Obubra, Agronomy Department, Cross River State. The area is located within Longitude 08^{0} 6'' E and latitude 05^{0} 59''N in a derived savannah zone of Nigeria. The mean monthly temperature ranged between 24^{0} c and 32^{0} c. The rainfall pattern is bimodal with peaks in months of July and September.

Experimental design

The experiment was a 2X5 factorial of 2 lettuce cultivars (Great lake and Baby leaf mix) and 5 NPK 15:15:15 rates: 0; 50, 100, and 200kg/h) with ten treatment combinations laid out in Randomized complete block Design with three replications.

Land preparation

The experimental field was cleared, packed, ploughed and harrowed manually, divided into three blocks. Each block was divided into ten plots of 4x2m ($8m^2$). Blocks were separated with 1.0m and pot with 0.5from adjourning plot. Soil samples were taken at random using soil urger at depth of 0 – 15cm. These were bulk together mixed thoroughly from where a composite soil sample was collected for laboratory analysis to determine the physical and chemical properties of the site.

NurseryTechniques

Lettuce seeds of the two cultivars were collected from certified source sown in two separated well prepare nursery beds using drilling method. Beds were mulched with dry grass water regularly with watering can. Mulching materials were removed four days after seedlings germination and rearranged between drills – rows of the germinated or emerged seedlings.

They were given intensive care for 4 weeks before transplanting to main beds. Seedlings were harden for two weeks before transplanting by reducing the frequency of watering to once in two days.

They were transplanted in the evening at spacing of 40x30cm inter and intra row respectively. Plot size was 4mx3cm ($12m^2$).

Seedlings were watered (irrigated) immediately after transplanting.

Fertilizer Application

NPK 15,15, 15 was applied according to the schedule treatments (0, 50, 100, 150 and 200kg/hg) in two splits, half during one week after transplanting and other half at 4 weeks after transplanting.

Cultural Practices

Weed Control Weeding was done manually using small hole at 5, 15 weeks after planting as the need arose. Harvesting Harvesting was done at physiological maturity when the leaves were fresh, green before seed formation. It was done manually by cutting Lecture leaves at 7, 9 and 12 weeds after planting (WAP).

Data Collecting

At the beginning of data collection, four plants were tag at the middle row for measurement of growth and yield parameters on per plant bases. Data were collected on number of leaves per plant. Plant height. Girth (stem diameter).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data collected were statistically analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) procedures as outlined by Gomez and Gomez, (1986). Treatments means that showed significant differences were separated using Fishers Least Significant difference (LSD) at 0.05 probability level according to Obi, 2002.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of the Laboratory analysis of the soil of experimental site before planting shows that the soil was sandy loam, acidic, and low in organic matter, carbon, total nitrogen which could be attributed to leaching, and uptake of nutrients by plants (Table 1). Agba, *et al*; (2018) worked in similar location, they observed low soil nutrients in the area and recommended that inorganic NPK fertilizer be added to the soil to improved crop growth and yield.

The low nutrients content of the study site soil especially nitrogen(0.073 % in 2020 and 0.069 % in 2021) that is lower than the critical value of 1,00% reported by KARIMAEI, et al;(2004) for soil of humid tropical regions could be due to leaching and heavy rains associated with hum[d areas of Southern Nigeria.

Lettuce growth parameters were improved by application of mineral NPK fertilizer. Plant height and leaves numbers increased significantly with successive increment in the rate of NPK 15;15;15 fertilizer (Table 2). At all periods of measurements (7, 9, 12 Week After Planting) the fertilizer rate of 200kg/ ha recorded the highest plant height , numbers of leaves per plant, and girth (stem diameter) in 2020 and 2021 cropping seasons respectively.

Ichi, *et al* (2018) reported that the application of NPK fertilizers is highly require for most soils that are low in nutrients so as to supply those essential nutrients needed by the crops but are lacking in the soil.

The two lettuce cultivars response significantly to NPK 15:15:15 fertilizer treatments with Baby Leaves Mix cultivar showing taller plant height, more number of leaves and dry matter of plant fractions than Great Lake cultivar of Lettuce (Table 3). Dry weight of leaves, stem and root were significantly affected in the two cultivars of Lettuce by fertilizer application (table3). Plots without NPK 15:15:15 fertilizer recorded the lowest dry matter of plant fractions. While 200k/ha of NPK 15:15:15 fertilizer gave the highest dry matter yield of leaves and roots per plant in the two cropping seasons respectively.

Results Lettuce yield as affected by NPK fertilizer and cultivars shown in Table 4 revealed that leaf fresh weight per plant increased with incremental rate of NPK 15;15;15 fertilizer at the early stage up to 12 WAP .However, beyond this stage , at 16 WAP, fresh leaf yield per hectare increases up to 150 kg/ha NPK 15;15;15, beyond this fertilizer rate, yield of Lettuce decreases with increase in fertilizer application.

This increased in Lettuce fresh leaves weight as NPK 15;15;15 rate increase up the of 150 kg/ha and began to decrease at fertilizer rate beyond 200kg/ha observed n this study collaborate with the findings of Ichi, *et al* (2018) who obtained similar results in lettuce cultivated with nitrogen fertilizer in Kaduna Sudan savannah. This may also be attributed to an increase in photosynthetic leaf area of Lettuce due high vegetative growth as a result of the effects of NPK 15;1515 fertilizer.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the result of this study, farmers and researchers should apply 150 or 200kg/ha NPK 15;15;15 fertilizer in cultivating Baby Leaves Mix cultivar of Lettuce for optimum growth and yield in Obubra central Cross River state South-South Nigeria humid tropical condition.

REFFERENCE

- Agba, O.A, Ubi, B.E, Chukwu, S.C and Eze, S.C (2018). Effects of Spacing and NPK 20: 10: 10 Fertilizer on the Growth and Yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus Esculentus* L.(Moench)). Vegetos: An International Journal of Plant Research Vegetos: An International Journal of Plant Research : , 31:2. DOI: 10.4172/2229-4473.1000415
- [2]. AL-GHAWAS, S.A., and AL-MAZIDI, A.K., 2004. Influence of fertigation frequency on the yield of some vegetables. Acta Horticulture (ISHS) 644, 485-494
- [3]. Amanda, M.A AND AHUMDENIYA,W.M...,((2012).Effects of different hydroponic systems and medi on growth of Lettuce under protected culture. http://www.govija.ik/orgri. Lerning.
- [4]. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO 2002). World agriculture towards 2015/2030 summary report Rome.
- [5]. Humid subtropical. Indian Tropical Grain Legume Bulletin 36: 38-39.

[6]. Gomez, K.A and Gomez, A.A (1986). Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research 2nd Edition. John Wheley and sons Inc. New York USA p. 15. https://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf_docs/PNAAR208.pdf

- [7]. IBRAHIM, R.and ZUKI, W.A.M. 2013. The Physico-Chemical Properties Of Lettuce (Lactuca Sativa 'Grand Rapid') Grown Under Different Planting Methods. Acta Hort. (ISHS) 1012:201-206. http://www.actahort.org/books/1012/1012_21.htm
- [8]. Ichi, J.O. Ibrahim, H., Osunde, A.O.Odofin, A. J and Muhammad, a.a. (2018). Responses of Lettuc (Latuca sativa) to nitrogen rates under irrigation at Kaduna. Proceedings of 5th Annual National conference of Crop Science society of Nigeria. 8-10 October, pp.175-182.
- [9]. KARIMAEI, M.S., MASSIHA, S. and MOGADDAM, M. 2004. Comparison of two nutrient solutions' effect on growth and nutrient levels of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) cultivars. Acta Hort. (ISHS) 644:69-76.
- [10]. LENA MARIA DRĂGHICI¹, ELENA DOBRIN¹, IONUȚ OVIDIU JERCA¹, IOANA MARIELA BĂRBULESCU¹ STEFANA JURCOANE¹, VIORICA LAGUNOVSCHI-LUCHIAN¹2016. Organic fertilizer effect on Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) cultivated in nutrient film technology. *Romanian Biotechnological Letters*. Vol. 21, No. 5,
- [11]. Nwite, J.C., Essien, B.A.Keke, C.I, IGWE, C.A and T.Wakatsuki (2015). Effects of different rate of fertilizer on the soil productivity improvement of rice grain yield in rice. Nigeria Advances in Research .6(2):1-17. www.scienedoman.org

*Corresponding Author: AGBA. O. A

- [12]. Oguwale, C.I and Mera, M.U. (2010).R esponse of Roselle (Hisbicus sabdariffia L.) to rates of inorganic and farm yard fertilizers in the Sudan savanna ecological zone of Nigeria. African Journal of Agric.Research. 5;2305-2309.
- [13]. QIU, Z.P., YANG, Q.C. and LIU, W.K. (2014). Effects of nitrogen fertilizer on nutritional quality and root secretion accumulation of hydroponic lettuce. Acta Hortic. 1037, 679-686. DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2014.1037.87. htp://dx.doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2014.1037.87.
- [14]. VILLAGRA, E.L., MINERVINI, M.G., BRANDÁN, E.Z. and FERNÁNDEZ, R.R. (2012). Effects Of Mineral Nutrition And Biofertilization On Lettuce Production Under Conventional And Soilless Culture. Acta Hortic. 947, 395-400. DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2012.947.51, http://dx.doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2012.947.51
- [15]. WHO-FAO, (2005): Fruits and Vegetables for Health. Report of the joint FAO/WHO workshop, 1-3 Sept., Kobe Japan. 39 p.

Table 1. Soil physical and chemical properties of the study site before planting

Characteristics	Value						
Physical properties	2020	2021					
Sand %	76.13	78					
Slit (%)	18.34	16.5					
Clay (%)	14.42	13.29					
Textural class	SANDY loam	SANDY loam					
Chemical properties							
Ph in H2O(1:2.5)	5.42	5.37					
PH in CACl2(0.01)	4.27	4.34					
Total nitrogen (%)	0.073	0.069					
Potassium (cmol/kg)	0.87	0.76					
Organic carbon (%)	1.46	1.38					
Organic matter (%)	2.17	2.53					
Exchangeable Bases(cmol/kg/kg)	Exchangeable Bases(cmol/kg/kg)	Exchangeable Bases(cmol/kg/kg)					
change all the values below	change all the values below	change all the values below					
Calcium (cmol/kg)	3.75	3.19					
Magnesium (cmol/kg)	1.05	1.03					
Sodium	0.01	0.12					
Hydrogen (cmol/kg)	0.42	0.12					
Aluminum (cmol/kg)	1.42	1.51					

Table 2. Effects
 of NPK 15; 15; 15 fertilizer and lettuce
 cultivars
 on plant height, number of leaves per plant and girth {mm} on lettuce' in 2020 and 2021 cropping seasons

Treament	ts	Plant	- 1		U	, i	Num	bers of					Girth (Stem Diameter) (mm)							
		Heigh	nt(cm)				Leave	es per p	lant											
		7WA	P	9WA	Р	12W	AP	7WA	Р	9WAF)	12W	AP 7wap			9WA	Р	12W/	AP	
		202 202		202	202	202 202		202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	
		0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	
NDV 15:15:15 Eartilizar(kg/ba)																				
NFK 15,	NPK 15;15;15 Fertilizer(k			g/na)	$\frac{11a}{52}$		60	7.2	71	10.2	10	17	10	2.2	2.1	5.2	15	00	12	
0		5.1	5.2	3.2	2.1	0.1 6	0.2	1.5	1.1	10.5	10.	22	10.	2.2	2.1	3.2 2	4.5 o	0.2	12.	
		4	0	1	3	0	4	1	4	1	22	32	15	4	3	2	0	4	30	
50		4.2	4.1	6.4	6.3	8.2	8.3	7.1	9.2	13.4	14.	22.	23.	4.3	4.2	8.3	7.6	11.	15.	
		3	9	8	5	1	3	4	3	2	11	24	12	5	5	4	9	32	78	
100		4.6	4.5	7.3	7.4	9.3	9.4	12.	11.	17.1	16.	28.	29.	7.1	6.2	10.	10.	13.	19.	
		7	8	6	3	3	1	25	44	5	31	23	31	5	3	23	14	25	34	
150		5.1	5.2	8.4	8.3	12.	13.	14.	14.	40.2	21.	34.	32.	8.2	8.1	12.	12.	16.	23.	
		2	2	2	6	12	26	11	23	4	72	41	14	3	4	34	43	16	17	
200		5.3	5.4	9.5	9.6	15.	16.	15.	15.	25.1	27.	44.	46.	10.	10.	14.	15.	20.	27.	
		1	3	6	1	57	11	22	14	2	15	32	13	12	23	17	21	34	24	
LSD(0.05	5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.03	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	~ 1	01	01	03	2	1	2	1	2		02	04	1	2	1	2	01	02	03	
Lettuce 0	Cult	tivars									10	•								
Great La	ke :	3.13		5.3	4.5	6.4	6.4	6.2	16.	12.1	13.	20.	22.	2.4	2.3	6.4	6.3	15.	16.	
D 1 1	<u> </u>	4.00		2	9	8	3	3	23	6	34	21	12	5	/	4	5	63	24	
Baby leaf	t mi	x4.22		6.2	1.2	10.	14.	8.4	8.4	16.3	17. 16	43.	44.	3.3	3.3	9.3	9.4	21.	23.	
				3	40	74	10	4	4	24	10	14	21	3	3	3	/	33	20	
LSD(0	_			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.03	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	
.05)				01	01	02	3	4	2	0.05	2	1	3	4	2	3	4	1	4	
							-		_		-	-	-		-	-		-		

	_																					
Treats		Leaf dr	y weigh	t per pl	ant(g)			Leaf Growth Rate (g/m²/day)							Growth	n Rate (g/n						
NPK		7WAP 9WAP			9WAP 12WP			9WAP 12WP		4-7W	AP	7-9W	AP	9-12\	NAP	4-7W	AP	7-9WAP		9-12WAP		
15;15;15																						
Fertilizer(
kg/ha)										í		1										
		2020	2021	202	202	202 202		202 202		202	202	202	202 202		2021	2020	2021	2020	2021			
				0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0								
				-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-								
0		4.38	4.27	7.46	7.54	12.1	11.9	0.03	0.03	1.21	1.31	2.01	2.03	0.00	0.00	0.021	0.025	1.0112	1.0133			
							5	4 5		6	3	23	211	16	18							
50		6.72	5.98	10.2	11.3	20.4	21.3	0.05	0.05	2.34	2.35	3.14	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.0764	0.0791	1.8457	1.7563			
			5 6		6	6 7		8 9		5 2		25 2		43	51							
100	8.45 9.13		9.13	13.6	13.7	25.8	24.4	0.09	0.09	9 3.14	3.23	4.25	4.31	0.00	0.00	0.0982	0.0975	2.0453	2.0524			
				4	2	5	1	7	6	6	1	31	22	69	63							
150	-	11 17 12 4		18.5	17.9	32.3	33.2	0.11	0.11	4.01	4.12	5.04	5.06	0.00	0.00	0.1216	0.1243	2.876	2,795			
			2	7	7	9	1	4	7	4	5	34	12	87	85			2.070	2			
200	-	15 56	15.0	22.2	21.0	12.6	45.5	0.12	0.15	514	5.2	6.46	6.20	0.02	0.02	0 22/17	0.2512	2 1 2 4 9	2 1 2 7			
200		13.50	13.5	25.2	21.0	45.0	43.3	0.15	6	5.14	3.2	22	0.35	6	0.02	0.5247	0.5512	5.1240	5.157			
Mana	-		4	4	•	0	1	2	0	0		25	02	0	0							
iviean	_																					
LSD(0.05)		0.52	0.54	0.92	0.94	1.11	1.23	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.31	0.41	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02			
								1	1	L				01	02							
Lettuce																						
Cultivars																						
Great		5.12	6.23	11.4	10.8	26.3	24.2	0.04	0.04	0.15	0.18	1.45	1.48	0.00	0.07	0.445	0.452	0.897	0.7545			
Lake				7	1	5	3	8	9	9		3	7	38	12							
Baby Leaf		8.51	8.79	14.6	13.9	41.4	40.1	0.08	0.08	0.32	0.41	2.76	2.83	0.00	0.09	0.6514	0.723	1.457	1.536			
Mix				5	8	7	8	8	6	4	3	5	6	523	43							
LSD(0.05)		0.61	0.70	0.31	0.42	1.31	1.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04 0.13		0.00	0.04 0.03		0.012	0.013			
	T			.				1	1		2				1							
								1 I	1	∠	2				1			1	1			

Table3. Effects of N.P.K 15;15;15 Fertilizer and cultivars on dry matter weight and growth rate ofleaf and root of Lettuce in 2020 and 2021.

Table 4. Effects of N.P.K 15;15;15 Fertilizer and cultivars on the yield of Lettuce in 2020 and
2021.

Treats		Leaves	fresh v	weight per plant(g)					s fresh w	eight pe	r hectar(Total vegetative yield (t/ha)					
NPK 15;15;15		9WAP		12WA	P	16 WP		9WAF	,	12WA	P	16WA	P			Π	
Fertilizer(kg/ha)																	
	_	2020 2021		2020 2021		2020 2021		2020 2021		2020 2021		2020 2021		2020 2021		++	
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021		
																11	
0		18.87	20.13	46.75	45.81	60.26	61.37	0.413	0.422	0.632	0.634	0.701	0.712	0.312	0.312	11	
50		24.39	27.85	75.91	77.26	95.41	98.52	0.722	0.785	1.345	1.346	2.124	2.251	0.0.579	0.583		
								1								4	
100		32.18	35.23	91.42	93.58	151.6	152.4	0.956	0.978	2.211	2.323	3.057	3.076	0.805	0.814		
150		51 52	52.14	112 5	121.7	2	1	1 280	1 205	2.056	2.067	4 325	4 250	0.079	0.085	+	
150		51.52	55.14	115.5	3	252.5	7	1.205	1.295	5.050	5.007	4.235	4.552	0.576	0.965		
200		63.12	65.56	141.3	145.4	201.5	212.8	1 903	0.998	2 105	2 431	3 213	3 402	0.716	0.727	11	
				6	6	3	1					-,					
																11	
LSD(0.05)		1.1	1.2	2.1 2.3		3.2	3.1	0.001	0.001	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.002	0.001	1	
																4	
Lettuce Cultivars																	
Great Lake	_	37.87	43.16	120 5	145.1	253.6	278.1	0.328	0.560	2 212	2.450	4 786	4 808	0.808	0.03/	+	
Great Lake		37.87	43.10	3	5	7	5	0.328	0.505	2.512	2.435	4.780	4.050	0.050	0.554		
Baby Leaf Mix		34.25	39.38	116.1	135.1	201.3	224.2	0.243	0.437	1.423	1.511	3.332	3,456	0.734	0.789	\vdash	7
,				7	9	3	9										
Lsd(0.05)		1.3	1.2	2.3	2.1	3.4	3.2	0.001	0.001	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.001	0.001		
Interaction Cultivars		64.73	66.46	145.6	148.3	272.1	285.2	1.175	1.234	2.59	2.78	5.129	5.279	1.123	1.136		

Table 5. Effects of N.P.K 15:15:15 Fertilizer and cultivars on the yield of Lettuce in 2020 and

2021. NPK fresh Leaves fresh weight per plant(g) Leaves weight per hectare Total vegetative yield 15;15;15 t/ha) (t/ha) Fertilizer(kg/ ha) 9WAP 16W 9WA 16W 12W 12W AP AP Р AP AP

*Corresponding Author: AGBA. O. A

	202	202	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	202	2020	202	2020	202	2020	2021	
	0	1						1		1		1			
0	18.8	20.1	46.75	45.8	60.26	61.3	0.41	0.42	0.632	0.63	0.701	0.71	0.312	0.31	
	7	3		1		7	3	2		4		2		2	
50	24.3	27.8	75.91	77.2	95.41	98.5	0.72	0.78	1.345	1.34	2.124	2.25	0.0.5	0.58	
	9	5		6		2	21	5		6		1	79	3	
100	32.1	35.2	91.42	93.5	151.6	152.	0.95	0.97	2.211	2.32	3.057	3.07	0.805	0.81	
	8	3		8	2	41	6	8		3		6		4	
150	51.5	53.1	113.5	121.	232.3	251.	1.28	1.29	3.056	3.06	4.235	4.35	0.978	0.98	
	2	4	1	73	8	77	9	5		7		2		5	
200	63.1	65.5	141.3	145.	201.5	212.	1.90	0.99	2.105	2.43	3,213	3.40	0.716	0.72	
	2	6	6	46	3	81	3	8		1		2		7	
LSD(0.05)	0.23	0.31	2.4	2.5	3.2	3.6	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.001	0.00	
							1	1						1	
Lettuce															
Cultivars															
Great Lake	33.1	37.1	68.75	96.2	112.6	131.	0.98	0.98	2.431	2;37	3.274	3.31	0.916	0.98	
	5	4		5	8	39	7	5		1		4		3	
Baby Leaf	61.2	62.1	128.4	132.	241.5	247.	2.42	2.31	2.472	3.26	5.342	5.48	0.957	0.94	
Mix	3	8	9	71	1	38		1		7		1		6	
LSD(0.05)	1.12	1.11	2.31	2.41	3.23	3.11	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.00	0.23	0.21	0.000	0.00	
				L	ļ				ļ	1			1	01	

Lettuce Cultivars Response To Npk 15:15: 15 Fertilizer Manegement Practices ...