Journal of Research in Agriculture and Animal Science

*Volume 9 ~ Issue 9 (2022) pp: 12-17* 

ISSN(Online): 2321-9459 www.questjournals.org



## Research Paper

# Impact of drought on live stock production, performance and livelihood of pastoral community in Wanlaweyn district, Somalia

Prof Ibrahim Mohamed Adan, Nur Mohamud Ali, Hassan Abdirashid Mohamed, Mohamed Abdirahman, Abdiwahab Mohamed Yasin, OsmanAhmed Mohamud, Yusuf Kassim Awow.

 $^{1}$ Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Husbandry, Somali National University, Mogadishu-Somalia

# **ABSTRACT**

Drought is a complex event which may impair community livelihoods, livestock, and social economic, agriculturaland other activities of the society. It is a prolonged abnormally dry period when there is shortage of water fornormal needs. It is temporary, recurring natural disaster, which originates from the lack of precipitation andbrings significant economic losses. It is a slaw poison, no one knows when it creeps in, it can last any number ofdays and its severity cannot be predicted. The non-structural characteristics of drought impact has certainlyhindered the development of accurate, reliable and timely estimate of severity and ultimately, the formulationofdroughtpreparednessplansbymostgovernment. The impactofdroughtlike those of other hazards, can be reduced throughmitigation and preparedness

This study determined the impact of drought on postural performance in Wanlaweyn district Somalia. Somaliaafter collapsed in the central government in 1991 destructed all infrastructures including drought mitigationactivity, assessment institution. The main objective of this study was to identify the impact of drought on postural performance in Wanlaweyn district Somalia and to explain the root causes of the drought. The study was conducted through survey design, data was collected through question naire technique by the researchers and analysis was done by using SPSS. Results of analysis are presented through tables and figures, 80 respondents were used for the study each respondent was issued with one question naire.

The conclusion is that drought has significance impact on postural performance in Wanlaweyn district Somalia. So the researcher recommends to drought management plans to take full advantage of the drought preparedness measures. All-drought-relevant sectors including agriculture, foodsecurity, the environment, meteorology, waterandener gyhave to be included in the drought policy development process and preparedness plans, integrated proactive drought policies should encapsulate.

**KEYWORDS**: Drought, Livestock and Postural society.

Received 25 August, 2022; Revised 08 Sep., 2022; Accepted 09 Sep., 2022 © The author(s) 2022. Published with open access at www.questjournals.org

# I. INTRODUCTION

Drought is a serious socio-economic challenge to many countries in theworld, droughtisthe resultofthedeficiency in water supply, whether atmospheric, surface or groundwater. A drought can occurs when a

regionreceivesconsistentlybelowaverageprecipitation.Itcanhaveasubstantialimpactontheecosystemandagriculture of the affected region. Although droughts can persist for several years, even a short, intense droughtcan cause significant damage and harm to the economy. Prolonged drought shave caused mass migrations and humanitarian crises. Agriculture is affected adversely because of drought. (J. S. Juana et al., 2014).

Drought has seriousconsequenceson the economy of a country as a whole and particularly the socio-economiclife of agricultural communities. Inadditionto the economy and people, drought results show that periodicdrought leads to a significant decline in sectoroutput, factor remuneration and deterioration in households' welfare. Drought causes low water supplies that are inadequate to support economic activities. (J. S. Juana et al., 2014).

The effects of drought could be categorized into two; direct or primary, and indirect or secondary and tertiaryeffects. The direct effect is evidenced by crop failure, livestock death or weight reduction in agriculture and itsrelated sectors, while the secondary effect is evidenced by the inter-sectoral linkages, value added at factor cost, and households' income and general welfare. Drought, leads to livestock death, reduced crop yield and livestockweight, lowpasture production and increased distances towater livestock. (J.S. Juana et al., 2014)

#### II. RESEARCHMETHODOLOGY

#### **General Objective**

The general objective of this study is to identify the impact of drought on livestock production performance and livelihood of pastoral community in Wanlaweyn district.

# **Specific objectives**

- Todeterminethesocio-economicimpactofdroughtonlivestockperformanceinWanlaweyndistrictSomalia.
- ToidentifytherelationshipbetweenclimatechangeanddroughtinWanlaweyndistrictSomalia.
- ToassesseffectofdroughtmitigationonlivestockrecoveryinWanlaweyndistrictSomalia.
- TofindouttherelationshipbetweendeforestationanddroughtinWanlaweyndistrictSomalia.

## Significanceofthestudy

The findings of the study will serve as guidance for the civil society, local authorities, local community, and community based organization, business unions, NGOs both national and international who are operating in the field of livestock and rural society in Somalia. The findings of the study will provide research based and up to date information to future researchers and academicians about on postural performance in Wanlaweyn district Somalia, thus contributing to the body of knowledge about the subject under investigation.

# Scope of the study

This study will concentrate on the impact of drought onlives tock production performance and livelihood of pastoral community in Wanlaweyn district. The study will be conducted in Wanlaweyn district. Somalia.

# Research design

This study was cross-sectional and descriptive in design. It will be descriptive because it is going to describe theimpact of drought on postural performance in Wanlaweyn district Somalia and also the researcher was collect thedatafromstudysubject'sonepointintime.

## **Targetpopulation**

The nomadic peoples that lives on pastoral areas in Wanlaweyn district, experienced elders for rearing livestock, ministry of livestock, ministry of planning and national development, veterinarians, those involves an imal production field and international NGOs will be the target for this type of study. The target population will consist of old people who are willing to participate to the study. Therefore this datawas collected from this target population in Wanlaweyn district—Somalia.

Study Area This study is carrying out in lower Shebelle, specifically in Wanlaweyn district Somalia.

#### Samplesize

Slovene's formula will be used in this study to calculate the appropriates amplesize.

The Slovene's formula:

$$n=$$
 
$$\frac{N}{1+N(e)}^2$$

Where (n) is the required sample size, (N) is the target population size and (e) is the standard error or level of significance which is popularly known to be =0.05 or 5%. For this study, N = 100 and so the sample size was calculated as follows:

The Slovene's formula:

The Slovene's formula 
$$\left[ n = \frac{100}{1 + 100(0.05)^2} \right] = 80$$

The sample wasconsists of 80 respondents. The researchers are distributing the sample size forthe targetpopulationinWanlaweyndistrict, Somalia.

## **SamplingProcedure**

Non-probability sampling isaway of sampling wherethe researchersuseajudgmentto selectpopulationmemberswhoaregoodprospectsforpreciseinformation, the sampling procedure will be non-probability purposive sampling used to select the sample. The reason for choosing this approach is that respondents who are eligible to participate in this study are purposively chosen a starget respondents of the study.

## **DataCollectionProcedure**

Semi structured questionnaires will be used to collect quantitative data. Selected research assistants who arefamiliar with English and the local languages spoken in Wanlaweyn and also had some priorresearch experienceindatacollectionwilltranslatethecontentofthequestionnaire.

# III. RESULTS, DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Table1:Number of livestock

= *** ** • ** • ** • ** • ** • ** • **			
Number of Livestock	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
30-60	19	23.8	23.8
60-100	29	36.3	36.3
100-150	11	13.8	13.8
150-200	21	26.3	26.3
Total	80	100.0	100.0

According to table 1, the majority respondent 29(36.3%) the number of livestock held or keeping actually that inbetween 60-100 livestock, 21(26.3%) have also keeping livestock that in between 150-200, 19(23.8%) have number of livestock inbetween 30-60, while 11(13.8%) also keeping livestock that inbetween 100-150.

Table 2: land

Area	Frequency	Percent	ValidPercent
1-3ha	45	56.3	56.3
3-5ha	30	37.5	37.5
6-8	5	6.3	6.3
Total	80	100.0	100.0

According to the table 2, the majority of respondent 45(56.3%) have above 1-3 hectors of land possessing at thatmoment, 30(37.5%)have3-5hectorswhile5(6.3%)have6-8hectorspossessingatthismoment.

Table 3.Loss of Livestock

	Frequency	Percent	ValidPercent
15-50%	35	43.8	43.8
51-70%	35	43.8	43.8
71-90%	10	12.5	12.5
Total	80	100.0	100.0

According to the table 3, the respondent people that we met 35(43.8%) have lost or died their livestock due to effectof last drought in the number in between 15-50 animals, 35(43.8%) were also lost that in between 51-70 livestockwhile10(12.5%)alsolostordiedtheiranimalsthatinbetween71-90.

Table 4:Loss of Wealth

	Frequency	Percent	ValidPercent	
10-40%	11	13.8	13.8	
41-60%	17	21.3	21.3	
61-80%	25	31.3	31.3	
81%above	27	33.8	33.8	
Total	80	100.0	100.0	

According to the table 4, the majority of respondent 27(33.8%) were above 81% were lost their wealth due to the drought direct or indirect 25(31.3%) that in between 61-80% were lost their wealth in that time while 17(28.3%) wereinbetween 41-60% lost their wealth while 11(13.8%) that in between 10-40 were also lost their wealth due to drought.

Table5:Droughtinterval

	Frequency	Percent	ValidPercent
1-3	29	36.3	36.3
4-6	19	23.8	23.8
7-10	23	28.8	28.8
10 above	9	11.3	11.3
Total	80	100.0	100.0

According to the table 5, the majority of the respondent 29(36.3%) were met to drought 1-3 times entire their life,23(28.8%)weremet7-10times, 19(23.8%)weremet4-6timeswhile9(11.3%)weremetabove10times.

Table6: Average price of Livestock

	Frequency	Percent	ValidPercent
10-29	3	3.8	3.8
40-49	3	3.8	3.8
50-59	9	11.3	11.3
60 above	65	81.3	81.3
Total	80	100.0	100.0

According to the table 6, the majority of the respondent 65(81.3%) believes that the average declined in different types of livestock during drought period above 60%, 9(11.3%) that in between 50-59% , also 3(3.8%) of respondent supported that point while 3(3.8%) also believe that.

#### IV. DISCUSSIONS

The result from this study showed that the most people have lost a number of livestock including camel, cattle, goatand sheep. The Wanlaweyn district is one the largest numbers of nomads, saver drought leading to a cute watershortage,foodinsecurityandpasturescarcityhaveresultedinincreaseinlivestockmortality.

The data also showed the overall average price the decline in different types of livestock, the price both small andlarge stocks continue to fall, while cattle as lowest price during drought periods. Therefore while the price of livestock have declined, the price of basic food commodities has increased resulting in worsening livelihood of thepeople and the households have depleted their saving income to pay for water, food and other essential needs. Thechange in livestock price, decline the herd size and reduction animal weight has effect the contribution oflivestock income to the household needs, thus most of households lack supplementary incomes to sustain their basiclive.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

Drought is a deficiency of precipitation over and extended time period which results in water shortage forvarious activities and environmental sectors.

Based on various characteristics such as severity, duration, spatial extent, loss of life, economic loss, socialeffect, and long-term impacts. Several studies have found that drought is the most far-reaching among all natural disasters in the context of poverty and food insecurity as well as political instability, drought and its associated impacts is responsible formore deaths and displacement of people than any other natural disaster.

The adverse impacts of drought are particularly devastating for the poorest and most vulnerable groups in the dry lands of developing countries, where economy relies on rain-fed agriculture and pastoralism. Developed countries are also affected, but in different ways: while drought-related famine is no longer an issue, there is increasing threat to energy security, water use for industry and services, forest fires and natural habitats.

From the previous data we can conclude the extreme importance of drought on postural society in these countries formany purposes but for better survival in these complex conditions associated with the drought difficulties. So, the impact of drought on postural performance is to be investigated in more detail for better application measures to avoid or minimize for next future the detrimental effect of drought on pastoralist.

#### VI. RECOMMENDATION

- $\bullet \qquad \text{Although the study was carried out in Wanlaweyn district, there is a need for further research across the whole country.}$
- $\bullet \qquad O therresearchers are encouraged to test the generalizability of this study by conduction the same study in other districts within Wanlawey nor other regions of Somalia. \\$
- Localauthorities are encouraged to develop mitigating measures for drought and better drought for ecasting would provide farmers with more time to prepare for drought and would less en, or even eliminate.
- Improved drought monitoring techniques, such as the increase in the quality and quantity of automatedweather stations, would ultimately enhance accurate short- and long-term drought forecasting. Establishingfoodpricemonitoringsystems,cropandlivestock(weather),insurance,savings, cashtransferfacilities.
- The implementation of more advanced pastoral and agriculture production systems and practices wouldprovidebetterprotectionoflivelihoodfromdrought, thereby reducing community's vulnerability to drought.
- All sectors should be investigated for drought vulnerability because they are all affected by drought in onewayoranother.
- Additionally, it is important that the general public's awareness of drought and its impacts is raised becausetheycantakemeasurestoconservewaterduringtimes when wateris becoming scarce.
- In non-drought period pastorals has to create income diversification, proper and planning migration withincomediversificationmeasure,infrastructure(transport,storage, telecommunication,etc.).
- Establishingfodderconservationsystemlikehayandsilage,droughtresiliencebreeding,fosteringlivestockma rkets,stocks,managingpastoralsandcrop/livestockintegration,riskandvulnerabilityassessment.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Gautam, R. C., &Bana, R. S. (2014). Drought in India: Its impact and mitigation strategies—A review.IndianJournalofAgronomy,59(2), 179-190.
- [2]. Monacelli, G., Galluccio, M. C., &Abbafati, M. (2005). Drought assessment and forecasting. DroughtwithinthecontextoftheregionVI.
- [3]. Singh, B. B., Ajeigbe, H. A., Tarawali, S. A., Fernandez-Rivera, S., &Abubakar, M. (2003). Improving the production and utilization of cowpeas food and fodder. Field Crops Research, 84(1-2), 169-177.
- [4]. Tadesse, D. (2010). The impact of climate change in Africa. Institute for Security Studies Papers, 2010 (220), 20-20.
- [5]. Masih, I., Maskey, S., Mussá, F. E. F., & Trambauer, P. (2014). A review of droughts on the Africancontinent: a geospatial and long-term perspective. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18(9), 3635-3649.
- [6]. Carena, M. J., Bergman, G., Riveland, N., Eriksmoen, E., & Halvorson, M. (2009). Breeding maize forhigheryieldandqualityunderdroughtstress.Maydica,54(2),287.
- [7]. Liu, K., Wang, L., Xu, Y., Chen, N., Ma, Q., Li, F., & Chong, K. (2007). Overexpression of OsCOIN, aputative cold inducible zinc finger protein, increased tolerance to chilling, salt and drought, and enhancedprolinelevelinrice.Planta,226(4),1007-1016.
- [8]. Elbadawi,I.A.,Ghura,D.,&Uwujaren,G.(1992).WorldBankadjustmentlending andeconomicperformanceinsub-SaharanAfricainthe1980s.CountryEconomics DepartmentWPS,1000.
- [9]. Comas, J., Connor, D., Isselmou, M.E.M., Mateos, L., & Gómez-Macpherson, H. (2012). Whyhassmall-

- [10]. scale irrigation not responded to expectations with traditional subsistence farmers along the Senegal RiverinMauritania?.AgriculturalSystems, 110, 152-161.
- [11]. Eriyagama, N., Smakhtin, V. Y., &Gamage, N. (2009). Mapping drought patterns and impacts: a global perspective (Vol. 133). Iwmi.
- [12]. Mussá, F. E. F., et al.(2014)"Groundwater as an emergency source for drought mitigation in the CrocodileRivercatchment,SouthAfrica."Hydrologyandearth systemsciencesdiscussions11.3(2014):2719-2757.
- [13]. Zhou, J., Wang, X., Jiao, Y., Qin, Y., Liu, X., He, K., ...& Zhang, Q. (2007). Global genome expressionanalysis of rice in response to drought and high-salinity stresses in shoot, flag leaf, and panicle. Plantmolecularbiology, 63(5), 591-608.
- [14]. Shiferaw,B., Tesfaye,K.,Kassie,M., Abate, T.,Prasanna, B.M., &Menkir, A. (2014). Managingvulnerabilitytodroughtandenhancinglivelihoodresilienceinsub-SaharanAfrica:Technological,institutionalandpolicyoptions.Weather
- [15]. Eriyagama, N., Smakhtin, V. Y., &Gamage, N. (2009). Mapping drought patterns and impacts: a global perspective (Vol. 133). Iwmi.
- [16]. Simelton, E., Fraser, E. D., Termansen, M., Benton, T. G., Gosling, S. N., South, A., & Forster, P. M.(2012). The socioeconomics of food crop production and climate change vulnerability: a global scalequantitative
- [17]. Fisher, M., Abate, T., Lunduka, R. W., Asnake, W., Alemayehu, Y., &Madulu, R. B. (2015). Droughttolerant maize for farmer adaptation to drought in sub-Saharan Africa: Determinants of adoption in easternandsouthernAfrica. ClimaticChange, 133(2), 283-299
- [18]. Juana, J. S., Makepe, P. M., Mangadi, K. T., &Narayana, N. (2014). The socio-economic impact ofdroughtinBotswana.InternationalJournalofEnvironmentandSustainableDevelopment,11(1),43-60.
- [19]. Ghanbari, S., Bayad, H., &Rezayi, S. Socio-Economical Impact Assessment of Drought on the RuralAgriculture;aCaseStudyofRuralDistrictinSouthernIran.InternationalJournalofEnvironmentalProtectionandPolicy, 3, 53-56.
- [20]. Ziolkowska, J. (2016). Socio-economic implications of drought in the agricultural sector and the stateeconomy. Economies, 4(3), 19.
- [21]. Jiang, R., Xie, J., He, H., Luo, J., & Zhu, J. (2015). Use of four drought indices for evaluating droughtcharacteristicsunder climatechangein Shaanxi, China:1951–2012. Natural Hazards, 75(3), 2885-2903.
- [22]. Masike, S., &Urich, P. (2008). Vulnerability of traditional beef sector to drought andthe challenges of climate change: The case of Kgatleng District, Botswana.
- [23]. Wang, W., Zhu, Y., Xu, R., & Liu, J. (2015). Drought severity change in China during 1961–2012 indicated by SPI and SPEI. Natural Hazards, 75(3), 2437-2451.
- [24]. Leister, A. M., Paarlberg, P. L., & Lee, J. G. (2015). Dynamic effects of drought on US Crop and livestocksectors. Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics, 47(2), 261-284.
- [25]. Leister, A. M., Lee, J. G., &Paarlberg, P. L. (2013). Dynamic Effects of Drought on the US LivestockSector.
- [26]. Muyambo, F., Jordaan, A. J., &Bahta, Y. T. (2017). Assessing social vulnerability to drought in SouthAfrica:Policyimplication fordroughtriskreduction.Jambá:JournalofDisasterRiskStudies,9(1),1-7.
- [27]. Gutiérrez, A. P. A., Engle, N. L., De Nys, E., Molejón, C., & Martins, E. S. (2014). Drought preparednessinBrazil. WeatherandClimateExtremes, 3, 95-106.
- [28]. Loukas, A., Vasiliades, L., &Tzabiras, J. (2008). Climate change effects on drought severity. Advances inGeosciences, 17, 23-29.
- [29]. Yusa, A., Berry, P., J Cheng, J., Ogden, N., Bonsal, B., Stewart, R., &Waldick, R. (2015). Climate change,drought and human health in Canada. International journal of environmental research and public health,12(7), 8359-8412.
- [30]. Van Loon, A. F. (2015). Hydrological drought explained. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 2(4),359-392.
- [31]. Escarcha, J., Lassa, J., & Zander, K. (2018). Livestock under climate change: a systematic review of impacts and adaptation. Climate, 6(3),54.
- [32]. Nkondze, M. S., Masuku, M. B., & Manyatsi, A. M. (2014). The impact of climate change on livestockproduction in Swaziland: The case of Mpolonjeni area development Programme. Journal of Agricultural Studies, 2(1), 1-15.
- [33]. Oguntuase, O. (2018). Climate change and the Nigerian banking sector: An Agenda of Action. Available atSSRN3263018.
- [34]. Dzavo, T., Zindove, T. J., Dhliwayo, M., &Chimonyo, M. (2018). Effects of drought on cattle productioninsub-tropicalenvironments. TropicalAnimalHealthandProduction, 1-7.
- [35]. Kgosikoma, O. E. (2006). Effects of climate variability on livestock population dynamics and communitydrought management in Kgalagadi, Botswana (Doctoral dissertation, MSc thesis. Norwegian University of LifeSciences, Norway).
- [36]. Tindan, P.D. (2013). The causes of and impactfrom deforestation on local level sustainable forest management in Ghana: a survey of Dwease and Praaso communities in the Ashanti region (Master's thesis, UniversitetetiAgder; University of Agder).
- [37]. Chakravarty,S., Ghosh, S. K.,Suresh,C.P., Dey,A.N., &Shukla, G. (2012). Deforestation:causes,effectsandcontrolstrategies.InGlobalperspectivesonsustainableforestmanagement.IntechOpen.