Ouest Journals Journal of Research in Applied Mathematics Volume 10 ~ Issue 3 (2024) pp: 43-53 ISSN (Online): 2394-0743 ISSN (Print): 2394-0735 www.questjournals.org



Review Paper

The Inverse Remkan Distribution and its Applications to **Uncensored Data**

Nsikan Paul Akpan

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Abstract

In this study, we propose a new two-parameter lifetime distribution called the Inverse Remkan distribution. This distribution is derived using the inverse function to contribute to the growing need for upside-down bathtub distributions. Some important mathematical properties of the new distribution such as the density, mode, entropy, and reliability indices such as the stress-strength, existence measurement function and risk measurement function were derived and the model parameters estimated using the maximum likelihood estimate technique. Finally, the flexibility of the new inverse distribution was illustrated using two uncensored datasets and the results showed that the new inverse distribution was the best amongst other competing inverse distributions.

Keywords: Inverse Remkan distribution; Lifetime distributions; Two-parameter distributions; Entropy; Stressstrength reliability; Risk measurement function.

Received 01 Mar., 2024; Revised 07 Mar., 2024; Accepted 09 Mar., 2024 © The author(s) 2024. Published with open access at www.questjournals.org

I. **The Distribution**

In recent years, new two-parameter distributions have emerged in the literature. These new twoparameter distributions have been shown to provide a better fit to complex real life datasets than the oneparameter distributions. Some of the recently developed two-parameter distributions include the Darna distribution (Shraa & Al-Omari, 2019), the Hamza distribution (Aijaz et al., 2020), the Samade distribution (Aderoju, 2021), and the Alzoubi distribution (Benrabia & Alzoubi, 2021).

It is important to note that these distributions are a mixture of the Exponential and Gamma distributions. These two distributions are known to have their weaknesses. The weakness of the Exponential distribution is that the hazard rate function is constant; hence, it cannot handle datasets with monotone non-decreasing hazard rates (Elechi et al., 2022; Epstein, 1958; Ronald et al., 2011; Shukla, 2018b; Shukla, 2018). Furthermore, the weakness of the Gamma distribution is that the survival rate function cannot be expressed in closed form (Elechi et al., 2022; Shanker, 2015a, 2015b). The weaknesses of these two distributions are what the aforementioned one-parameter and two-parameter distributions address, providing distributions whose survival rate function can be expressed in closed form and hazard rate functions capable of handling datasets with monotone nondecreasing hazard rates.

In contributing to this gap in the literature, Uwaeme and Akpan (2024) proposed a new two-parameter distribution called the Remkan distribution (Uwaeme & Akpan, 2024b). The Remkan distribution is a threecomponent density of an Exponential (η), Gamma (3, η), and Gamma (4, η) distribution is a under-proportions $\pi_1 = \frac{\eta}{(\eta+2\phi+6)}, \pi_2 = \frac{2\phi}{(\eta+2\phi+6)}, \text{ and } \pi_3 = \frac{6}{(\eta+2\phi+6)}$ such that $g(x;\eta,\phi) = \pi_1g_1(x;\eta) + \pi_2g_2(x;\eta) + \pi_3g_3(x;\eta)$ (1.1) where $g_1(x;\eta) = \eta e^{-\eta x}, g_2(x;\eta) = \frac{\eta x^2 e^{-\eta x}}{\Gamma(3)}, \text{ and } g_3(x;\eta) = \frac{\eta^2 x^3 e^{-\eta x}}{\Gamma(4)}$

therefore,

 $g(x_k;\eta,\phi) = \eta e^{-\eta x} \cdot \frac{\eta}{\eta+2\phi+6} + \frac{\eta x^2 e^{-\eta x}}{\Gamma(3)} \cdot \frac{2\phi}{\eta+2\phi+6} + \frac{\eta^2 x^3 e^{-\eta x}}{\Gamma(4)} \cdot \frac{6}{\eta+2\phi+6} \quad (1.2)$ Solving equation 1.2 gives the probability density function (pdf) of the Remkan distribution $g(x;\eta,\phi) = \frac{\eta^2}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} [1 + \phi \eta x^2 + \eta^2 x^3] e^{-\eta x}; \ x > 0, \eta > 0, \phi > 0 \quad (1.3)$ The corresponding cumulative distribution function (cdf) of (1.3) is obtained as

^{*}Corresponding Author: Nsikan Paul Akpan

$$G(x;\eta,\phi) = 1 - \left[1 + \frac{\phi \eta^3 x^3 + (3+\phi)\eta^2 x^3 + (6+2\phi)\eta x}{\eta+2\phi+6}\right] e^{-\eta x}$$
(1.4)

The authors introduced some of the statistical properties of the new distribution. They showed that the Remkan distribution exhibits shapes that are not bell-shaped, but positively skewed, unimodal, and right-tailed (Akpan & Uwaeme, 2024). One of the weaknesses of the Remkan distribution is that it does not have non-monotone hazard rates. One way of overcoming this weakness is to introduce an extension of the Remkan distribution using the inverse transformation technique. This technique produces a class of distribution known as Inverse distributions. Inverse distributions are known for their interesting advantages such as having the same parsimony as their corresponding parent distribution since no new parameter in required (Eliwa et al., 2018); and they are known to have upside-down bathtub risk measurement functions (Abouammoh & Alshingiti, 2009; Eliwa et al., 2018; E.W. Okereke et al., 2021; John et al., 2023; Lee et al., 2017; Uwaeme & Akpan, 2024a).

From the foregoing therefore, the motivation of this paper is to propose a new inverse distribution called the Inverse Remkan distribution and its statistical properties. The subsequent sections of the paper will be arranged as follows. Section 2 discusses the new inverse distribution with the derivation of the pdf, the cdf, and their plots, section 3 discusses the mathematical properties of the Inverse Remkan distribution as well as the plots of the risk measurement function to highlight the shape, section 4 looks at the application of the new distribution with real datasets alongside other competing distributions, and section 5 concludes the paper with some remarks.

II. The Inverse Remkan distribution

This section will introduce the pdf and the cdf of the Inverse Remkan distribution and illustrate the different shapes of the Inverse Remkan distribution.

Proposition 1: If a random variable Y follows the Remkan distribution with parameters η and ϕ , then the random variable $X = \frac{1}{Y}$ has Inverse Remkan distribution with parameters η and ϕ and its pdf and cdf are respectively given by

$$g(y;\eta,\phi) = \frac{\eta^2}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \left[\frac{y^3 + \phi\eta y + \eta^2}{y^5} \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}}; \ y > 0, \eta > 0, \phi > 0 \tag{2.1}$$

and
$$g(y;\eta,\phi) = \frac{\eta^2}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \left[\frac{y^3 + \phi\eta y + \eta^2}{y^5} \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}}; \ y > 0, \eta > 0, \phi > 0 \tag{2.2}$$

 $G(y;\eta,\phi) = \left[1 + \frac{\eta^2 + (3+\phi)\eta y + (6+2\phi)\eta^2 y^2}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \left(\frac{\eta}{y^3}\right)\right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}}; \ y > 0, \eta > 0, \phi > 0 \qquad (2.2)$ **Proof:** If X follows the Inverse Remkan distribution with parameters η and ϕ , the pdf of X is given as $f(x;\eta,\phi) = \frac{\eta^2}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} [1 + \phi\eta x^2 + \eta^2 x^3] e^{-\eta x}$ Let $y = x^{-1}, \frac{dx}{dy} = -y^{-2}$. Thus, the pdf of X is given by $g(y;\eta,\phi) = f(y^{-1}) \left| \frac{dx}{dy} \right|$ $g(y;\eta,\phi) = f(y^{-1}) \left| \frac{1}{dy} \right|$ (2.3) $= \frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \left[1 + \phi \eta \left(\frac{1}{y} \right)^2 + \eta^2 \left(\frac{1}{y} \right)^3 \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}} \left(\frac{1}{y^2} \right)$ $= \frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \left[\frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{y^5} \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}}; \ y > 0, \eta > 0, \phi > 0.$ The corresponding cdf for the Inverse Remkan distribution can be expressed as $G(y; \eta, \phi) = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 6)} dy = -\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 6)} dy = -\frac$

$$G(y;\eta,\phi) = \frac{\eta^2}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \int_0^y k^{-5} [k^3 + \phi\eta k + \eta^2] e^{-\eta k^{-1}} dk$$
(2.4)
$$= \frac{\eta^2}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \left[\eta^2 \int_0^y k^{-5} e^{-\eta k^{-1}} dk + \phi\eta \int_0^y k^{-4} e^{-\eta k^{-1}} dk + \int_0^y k^{-2} e^{-\eta k^{-1}} dk \right]$$

Let $t = \eta k^{-1}$, $k = \eta t^{-1}$, and $dk = -\eta t^{-2} dt$.

Applying integration by parts techniques, we have,

$$=\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta+2\phi+6)}\left[-\eta^{-2}k^3-(3+\phi)\eta^{-2}k^2-(6+2\phi)\eta^{-2}k-[\eta^{-1}+(6+2\phi)\eta^{-2}]e^{-k}\right]\Big|_{\eta\gamma^{-1}}^{\infty}$$

Taking the limit,

$$G(y;\eta,\phi) = \left[1 + \frac{\eta^2 + (3+\phi)\eta y + (6+2\phi)\eta^2 y^2}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \left(\frac{\eta}{y^3}\right)\right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}}$$

The Inverse Remkan distribution derived above is denoted by $IRD(\eta, \phi)$. The graphical plots of the theoretical density and distribution function (for some selected but different real points of η and ϕ) of the Inverse Remkan distribution are shown in the Figure 1 and Figure 2 below.

*Corresponding Author: Nsikan Paul Akpan



Figure 1: The graphical plots of the probability density function (for some selected but different real points of η and ϕ) of an Inverse Remkan distribution.



Figure 2: The graphical plots of the cumulative distribution function (for some selected but different real points of η and ϕ) of an Inverse Remkan distribution

The curves displayed in Figure 1 are not bell-shaped, but are positively skewed, unimodal, and right tailed. In addition, the curve shows that increasing the value of ϕ leads to a considerable increase in the peak of the curve. In addition, the curves displayed in Figure 2 shows that the cumulative distribution function converges to one.

III. Statistical Properties of the Inverse Remkan Distribution

In this section, we derive and present some statistical properties of the Inverse Remkan distribution. These includes the mode, survivorship or existence measurement function, risk measurement function, stochastic ordering of random variate, stress-strength reliability, entropy, and order statistics. **3.1 Mode**

Theorem 1:

Given a continuous random variable Y which follows the Inverse Remkan distribution, the mode of Y, is given as $\left(-\frac{2}{2}\left(2,\frac{4}{2}+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(2,\frac{4}{2}+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(2,\frac{4}{2}+\frac{3}{2}\right)\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{2}}$

$$Mode = \begin{cases} -\frac{\eta^2 (2y^4 - \eta y^3 + 4\phi \eta y^2 + (5 - \phi) \eta^2 y - \eta^3) e^{-\eta y^2}}{y^7 (\eta + 2\phi + 6)}, & 0 < \eta < \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

Proof:

From given a continuous random variable Y, the mode of Y, is obtained by

$$Mode = \frac{a}{dy}g(y;\eta,\phi) = 0$$
(3.2)
$$\frac{d}{dy}\left(\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta+2\phi+6)}\left[\frac{y^3+\phi\eta y+\eta^2}{y^5}\right]e^{-\eta y^{-1}}\right) = 0$$
(3.3)

$$\therefore \frac{d}{dy}g(y;\eta,\phi) = -\frac{\eta^2(2y^4 - \eta y^3 + 4\phi\eta y^2 + (5-\phi)\eta^2 y - \eta^3)e^{-\eta y^{-1}}}{y^7(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} = 0$$
(3.6)
Which completes the proof

Which completes the proof.

3.2 Order statistics

Theorem 2:

Given a continuous random variable X, pdf and cdf of the pth order statistics, say $X = X_{(p)}$, is given respectively by

$$g_{p}(y) = \frac{n!\eta^{2}(y^{3} + \phi\eta y + \eta^{2})e^{-\eta y^{-1}}}{y^{5}(\eta + 2\phi + 6)(p-1)!(n-p)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-p} {n-p \choose i} (-1)^{i} \left[\left[1 + \frac{\eta^{2} + (3+\phi)\eta y + (6+2\phi)\eta^{2}y^{2}}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \left(\frac{\eta}{y^{3}}\right) \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}} \right]^{p+i-1} (3.7)$$

and

$$G_{p}(y) = \sum_{j=p}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-j} {n \choose j} {n-j \choose i} (-1)^{i} \left[\left[1 + \frac{\eta^{2} + (3+\phi)\eta y + (6+2\phi)\eta^{2} y^{2}}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \left(\frac{\eta}{y^{3}}\right) \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}} \right]^{j+1}$$
(3.8)
Proof:

Proof:

Given a continuous random variable Y, the pdf of the pth order statistics, say $Y = Y_{(p)}$, is obtained by

$$g_{p}(y) = \frac{n!g(x_{k};\Phi)}{(p-1)!(n-p)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-p}{i} (-1)^{i} G(x_{k};\Phi)^{p+i-1}$$
(3.9)

$$g_{p}(y) = \frac{n! \binom{\eta^{2}}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \frac{y^{3}+\phi\eta y+\eta^{2}}{y^{5}} e^{-\eta y^{-1}}}{(p-1)!(n-p)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-p}{i} (-1)^{i} \left[\left[1 + \frac{\eta^{2}+(3+\phi)\eta y+(6+2\phi)\eta^{2}y^{2}}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \left(\frac{\eta}{y^{3}}\right) \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}} \right]^{p+i-1}$$
(3.10)

$$g_{p}(y) = \frac{n!\eta^{2}(y^{3}+\phi\eta y+\eta^{2})e^{-\eta y^{-1}}}{y^{5}(\eta+2\phi+6)(p-1)!(n-p)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-p} \binom{n-p}{i} (-1)^{i} \left[\left[1 + \frac{\eta^{2}+(3+\phi)\eta y+(6+2\phi)\eta^{2}y^{2}}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \left(\frac{\eta}{y^{3}}\right) \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}} \right]^{p+i-1}$$
(3.11)

Which completes the proof.

Correspondingly, given a continuous random variable Y, the cdf of the pth order statistics, say $Y = Y_{(p)}$, is obtained by n.

$$G_{p}(y) = \sum_{j=p}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-j} {n \choose j} {n-j \choose i} (-1)^{i} G(x_{k}; \Phi)^{j+1}$$
(3.12)

$$G_{p}(y) = \sum_{j=p}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-j} {n \choose j} {n-j \choose i} (-1)^{i} \left[\left[1 + \frac{\eta^{2} + (3+\phi)\eta y + (6+2\phi)\eta^{2}y^{2}}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \left(\frac{\eta}{y^{3}}\right) \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}} \right]^{j+1}$$
(3.13)
Which completes the proof.

completes the proof

3.3 Entropy

Entropy measures the uncertainties associated with a random variable of a probability distributions. Shannon (Shannon, 1951) and Rényi's entropy (Rényi, 1961) are widely used in the literature.

Theorem 3:

Given a random variable Y, which follows the Inverse Copoun distribution $g(y; \eta, \phi)$. The Rényi entropy is given by

$$T_R(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \log \left[\frac{\eta^{2\lambda}}{(\eta+2\phi+6)^{\lambda}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda}{j} \left(\frac{(\phi\eta)^j \Gamma(2\lambda-4j-1)}{(\lambda\eta)^{2\lambda-4j-1}} + \frac{\eta^{2j} \Gamma(2\lambda-3j-1)}{(\lambda\eta)^{2\lambda-3j-1}} \right) \right] (3.14)$$

Proof:

The Rényi entropy is given by

$$T_{R}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \log \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} g_{n}^{\lambda}(y_{k}; \Phi) dy \right]$$

$$T_{R}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \log \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\eta^{2}}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \left[\frac{y^{3}+\phi\eta y+\eta^{2}}{y^{5}} \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}} \right)^{\lambda} dy \right]$$
(3.16)
$$T_{R}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \log \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\eta^{2}}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \left[\frac{y^{3}+\phi\eta y+\eta^{2}}{y^{5}} \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}} \right)^{\lambda} dy \right]$$
(3.16)

$$T_{R}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \log \left[\frac{\eta^{2\lambda}}{(\eta+2\phi+6)^{\lambda}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{y^{3}+\phi\eta y+\eta^{2}}{y^{5}} \right]^{\lambda} e^{-\lambda\eta y^{-1}} dy \right]$$
(3.17)

Recall that
$$(1+\delta)^{\upsilon} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {\binom{1}{k}} \delta^{k}$$
 and $\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} z^{-w-1}e^{-z} dz = \frac{1}{e^{w}}$ Substituting,

$$T_{R}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \log \left[\frac{\eta^{2\lambda}}{(\eta+2\phi+6)^{\lambda}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} {\binom{\lambda}{j}} \left((\phi\eta)^{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda\eta y^{-1}}}{y^{-2\lambda-4j}} dy + \eta^{2j} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda\eta y^{-1}}}{y^{-2\lambda-3j}} dy \right) \right] \qquad (3.18)$$

$$\therefore T_{R}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \log \left[\frac{\eta^{2\lambda}}{(\eta+2\phi+6)^{\lambda}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} {\binom{\lambda}{j}} \left(\frac{(\phi\eta)^{j} \Gamma(2\lambda-4j-1)}{(\lambda\eta)^{2\lambda-4j-1}} + \frac{\eta^{2j} \Gamma(2\lambda-3j-1)}{(\lambda\eta)^{2\lambda-3j-1}} \right) \right]$$
Which completes the proof.

3.4 Reliability Indices

Given any probability distribution, the reliability analysis is always considered based on the Existence Measurement Function and Risk Measurement Function. Hence, for the Inverse Remkan distribution, the Existence Measurement Function and Risk Measurement Function is given below.

3.4.1 Existence Measurement Function

The existence measurement function (also known as survival function) is defined as the probability that an item does not fail prior to some time t (Elechi et al., 2022; Epstein, 1958; Ronald et al., 2011; Shanker & Shukla, 2020; Uwaeme & Akpan, 2024a).

The existence measurement function of the Inverse Remkan distribution is given by $s(y) = 1 - G(y; \eta, \phi)$ (3.19)

$$s(y) = 1 - \left[1 + \frac{\eta^2 + (3+\phi)\eta y + (6+2\phi)\eta^2 y^2}{(\eta+2\phi+6)} \left(\frac{\eta}{y^3}\right)\right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}}; y > 0, \eta > 0, \phi > 0$$
(3.20)

3.4.2 Risk Measurement Function

The risk measurement function (also known as hazard rate function) on the other hand can be seen as the conditional probability of failure, given it has survived to the time t (Elechi et al., 2022; Ronald et al., 2011; Shanker, 2016b; Umeh & Ibenegbu, 2019). It is obtained as

The risk measurement function of the Inverse Remkan distribution is given by

$$h(y) = \frac{g(y_k; \Phi)}{1 - G(y_k; \Phi)}$$
(3.21)

$$h(y) = \frac{\frac{\eta^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \left[\frac{y^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{y^5} \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}}}{1 - \left[1 + \frac{\eta^2 + (3 + \phi)\eta y + (6 + 2\phi)\eta^2 y^2}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} \left(\frac{\eta}{y^3} \right) \right] e^{-\eta y^{-1}}}$$
(3.22)

$$h(x) = \frac{\eta^2 \left[y^3 (\eta + 2\phi + 6) \left(e^{\eta y^{-1}} - 1 \right) - \left[\eta^3 + \eta^2 (3 + \phi)y + \eta^3 (6 + 2y) y^2 \right] \right]}$$
(3.23)



Figure 3: The graphical plots of the risk measurement function (for some selected but different real points of η and ϕ) of an Inverse Coupon distribution

3.5 Stochastic Ordering

Stochastic ordering of positive continuous random variables is an important tool for judging their comparative behaviour. According to Shanker (2015), a random variable X is said to be smaller than a random variable Y in the

- Stochastic order $(S \leq_{stor} Q)$ if $G_S(y) \ge G_Q(y)$ for all y.
- ▶ Hazard rate order $(S \leq_{Ror} Q)$ if $h_S(y) \geq h_Q(y)$ for all y.
- Mean residual life order $(S \leq_{mrl} Q)$ if $m_S(y) \geq m_Q(y)$ for all y.
- Likelihood ratio order $(S \leq_{lor} Q)$ if $\left(\frac{g_S(y)}{g_Q(y)}\right)$ decreases in y.

Theorem 7:

Let $S \sim CD(\eta_1, \phi_1)$ and $Q \sim CD(\eta_2, \phi_2)$. If $\eta_1 = \eta_2$ and $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ and $\phi_1 \ge \phi_2$ (or if $\phi_2 = \phi_2$ and $\eta_1 = \eta_2$ and $\eta_1 \ge \eta_2$), then $S \le_{stor} Q$ and hence $S \le_{Ror} Q$, $S \le_{mrl} Q$ and $S \le_{lor} Q$ **Proof:**

Let
$$S \sim CD(\eta_1, \phi_1)$$
 and $Q \sim CD(\eta_2, \phi_2)$. We obtain that

$$\frac{f_S(\phi)}{f_Q(\phi)} = \frac{\eta_1^2[\eta + 2\phi + 6]}{\eta_2^2[\eta + 2\phi + 6]} \cdot e^{-\frac{(\eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\phi}}$$
(3.24)
and

$$\log_{\varphi} \frac{f_S(\phi)}{f_Q(\phi)} = \log_{\varphi} \left[\frac{\eta_1^2[\eta + 2\phi + 6]}{\eta_2^2[\eta + 2\phi + 6]} \right] - \frac{(\eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\phi}$$
(3.25)
Hence,

$$\frac{d}{d\varphi} \log_{\varphi} \frac{f_S(\phi)}{f_Q(\phi)} = \frac{(\eta_1 - \eta_2)}{\phi^2}$$
(3.26)

Which completes the proof.

This implies that $S \leq_{lor} Q \Rightarrow S \leq_{Ror} Q \Rightarrow \begin{cases} S \leq_{sor} Q \\ S \leq_{mrl} Q \end{cases}$. This clearly indicates that the Inverse Remkan distribution is ordered in the likelihood ratio and consequently has risk measurement, average residual measurement life, and stochastic orderings. These results has been established in the literature for stochastic ordering of distributions (Shaked & Shanthikumar, 1994; Shanker, 2016a; Uwaeme et al., 2023; Uwaeme & Akpan, 2024a).

3.6 Stress-Strength Reliability and Maximum Likelihood Estimations

Let Y and X be independent stress and strength random variables that follow Inverse Remkan distribution with parameter (η_1, ϕ_1) and (η_2, ϕ_2) respectively. Then, the stress-strength reliability (R) is given by

$$\begin{split} R_{SS} &= P[X < Y] = \int_{0}^{\infty} [X < Y|Y = y]g_{y}(y)dy \qquad (3.27) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} g(y;\eta_{1},\phi_{1})G(y;\eta_{2},\phi_{2})dy. \qquad (3.28) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\eta_{1}^{2}}{(\eta_{1}+2\phi_{1}+6)} \left[\frac{y^{3}+y\phi_{1}\eta_{1}+\eta_{1}^{2}}{y^{5}}\right]e^{-\eta_{1}y^{-1}}\right) \left[1 + \frac{\eta_{2}^{2}+y\phi_{2}(3+\phi_{2})+y^{2}\eta_{2}^{2}(6+2y)}{(\eta_{2}+\phi_{2}+6)} \left(\frac{\eta_{2}}{y^{3}}\right)\right]e^{-\eta_{2}y^{-1}}dy. (3.29) \\ &= \\ \frac{\eta_{1}^{2}}{(\eta_{1}+2\phi_{1}+6)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{y^{3}+y\phi_{1}\eta_{1}+\eta_{1}^{2}}{y^{5}}\right]e^{-\frac{(\eta_{1}-\eta_{2})}{y}}dy + \\ \frac{\eta_{1}^{2}\eta_{2}}{(\eta_{1}+2\phi_{1}+6)(\eta_{2}+\phi_{2}+6)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{y^{3}+y\phi_{1}\eta_{1}+\eta_{1}^{2}}{y^{5}}\right] \left[\frac{\eta_{2}^{2}+y\phi_{2}(3+\phi_{2})+y^{2}\eta_{2}^{2}(6+2y)}{y^{3}}\right]e^{-\frac{(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2})}{y}}dy. \qquad (3.30) \\ &= \\ \frac{\eta_{1}^{2}}{(\eta_{1}+2\phi_{1}+6)} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-2}e^{-\frac{(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2})}{y}}dy + \phi_{1}\eta_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-4}e^{-\frac{(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2})}{y}}dy + \eta_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-5}e^{-\frac{(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2})}{y}}dy\right] + \\ \frac{\eta_{1}^{2}\eta_{2}}{(\eta_{1}+2\phi_{1}+6)(\eta_{2}+\phi_{2}+6)} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[y^{3}+y\phi_{1}\eta_{1}+\eta_{1}^{2}]\eta_{2}[2\eta_{2}y^{2}+6\eta_{2}y^{2}+y\phi_{2}+\eta_{2}+3y]}{y^{8}}\right]e^{-\frac{(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2})}{y}}dy\right]. \qquad (3.31) \end{split}$$

Applying the gamma function, we obtain the expression for the Stress-strength reliability as

$$\begin{split} R_{SS} &= \frac{\eta_1^2}{(\eta_1 + 2\phi_1 + 6)} \Big[\frac{\Gamma(1)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)} + \frac{\phi_1 \eta_1 \Gamma(3)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^3} + \frac{\eta_1^2 \Gamma(4)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^3} \Big] + \frac{\eta_1^2 \eta_2}{(\eta_1 + 2\phi_1 + 6)(\eta_2 + \phi_2 + 6)} \Big[9\phi_1 \eta_1 \eta_2^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(3)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^3} + \frac{\Gamma(4)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4} + \frac{\Gamma(4)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4} + \frac{\Gamma(4)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4} + \frac{\Gamma(4)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^6} \Big] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(6)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^6} \Big) + 9\eta_2^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(1)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)} + \frac{\Gamma(2)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^2} + \frac{\Gamma(4)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4} \right) + 4\phi_1 \phi_2 \eta_1 \eta_2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(5)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^5} \right) + 7\phi_1^2 \eta_2^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(5)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^5} + \frac{\Gamma(7)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^7} \right) + \\ &= \phi_2 \eta_2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(3)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^3} \right) + \phi_1^2 \phi_2 \eta_2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(6)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^6} \right) + 3\phi_1^2 \eta_2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(6)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^6} \right) + 3\eta_2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(3)}{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^3} \right) \Big] \\ &= (3.32) \end{split}$$
and
$$R_{SS} = \\ &= \frac{\eta_1^2 \left[\left[2\phi_1 \eta_1^2 + 2\phi_1 \eta_1 \eta_2 + 6\eta_1^2 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2) \right] (2\phi_2 + \eta_2 + 6)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^3 + \eta_2}{(12\phi_2 + \eta_2 + 6)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^3} + \eta_2 \left[\frac{5040\phi_1^2 \eta_2^2 + \left[120\phi_1^2 \phi_2 \eta_2 + 360\phi_1^2 \eta_2 + 1080\phi_1 \eta_1 \eta_2^2 \right] (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4 + \eta_1 \eta_2 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2) \right] (2\phi_2 + \eta_2 + 6)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^3 + \eta_2 \left[\frac{5040\phi_1^2 \eta_2^2 + \left[120\phi_1^2 \phi_2 \eta_2 + 360\phi_1^2 \eta_2 + 1080\phi_1 \eta_1 \eta_2^2 \right] (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4 + \eta_1 \eta_2 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2) \right] (2\phi_2 + \eta_2 + 6)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^3 + \eta_2 \left[\frac{5040\phi_1^2 \eta_2^2 + \left[120\phi_1^2 \phi_2 \eta_2 + 360\phi_1^2 \eta_2 + 1080\phi_1 \eta_1 \eta_2^2 \right] (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4 + \eta_2 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^2 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4 + \eta_2 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2)$$

(3.33)

Since R is the Stress-Strength Reliability function with parameters (η_1, ϕ_1) (η_2, ϕ_2) , we need to obtain the maximum likelihood estimators (MLEs) of (η_1, ϕ_1) and (η_2, ϕ_2) to compute the maximum likelihood estimation R under Invariance property of the maximum likelihood estimation. Suppose $X_1, X_2, X_3, ..., X_n$ is a Strength random variable sample from Inverse Remkan distribution (η_1, ϕ_1) and $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, ..., Y_m$ is a Stress random sample from Inverse Remkan distribution (η_2, ϕ_2) . Thus, the likelihood function based on the observed sample is given by

$$L\left(\underline{\eta}, \underline{\phi}/\underline{x}, \underline{y}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \ln g(x_{k}; \Phi)$$
(3.34)

$$L\left(\underline{\eta}, \underline{\phi}/\underline{x}, \underline{y}\right) = \frac{\eta_{1}^{2n} \eta_{2}^{2m}}{(\eta_{1}+2\phi_{1}+6)^{n}(\eta_{2}+\phi_{2}+6)^{m}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{x_{i}^{3}+\phi_{1}\eta_{1}x_{i}+\eta_{1}^{2}}{x_{i}^{5}}\right] \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left[\frac{y_{j}^{3}+\phi_{2}\eta_{2}y_{j}+\eta_{2}^{2}}{y_{j}^{5}}\right] e^{-(\eta_{1}v_{1}+\eta_{2}v_{2})}$$
(3.35)
Where $V_{1} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}, \quad V_{2} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} y_{i}}$
The log-likelihood function is given by;

$$LL\left(\underline{\eta}, \underline{\phi}/\underline{x}, \underline{y}\right) = 2n\ln(\eta_1) + 2m\ln(\eta_2) - n\ln(\eta_1 + 2\phi_1 + 6) - m\ln(\eta_2 + \phi_2 + 6) - \eta_1 V_1 - \eta_2 V_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln\left(\frac{x_i^3 + \phi_1 \eta_1 x_i + \eta_1^2}{x_i^5}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \ln\left(\frac{y_j^3 + \phi_2 \eta_2 y_j + \eta_2^2}{y_j^5}\right)$$
(3.36)

In order to maximize the log-likelihood, we solve the nonlinear likelihood equations obtained from the partial differentiation of (3.36) w.r.t η and ϕ as shown below;

$$\frac{\partial LL(\underline{\eta, \phi/x, y})}{\partial \eta_1} = \frac{2n}{\eta_1} - \frac{n}{(\eta_1 + 2\phi_1 + 6)} - V_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{2\eta_1 + \phi_1 x_i}{\eta_1^2 + \phi_1 \eta_1 x_i + x_i^3} \right]$$
(3.37)

$$\frac{\partial LL(\underline{\eta, \phi/x, y})}{\partial \eta_2} = \frac{2m}{\eta_2} - \frac{m}{(\eta_2 + \phi_2 + 6)} - V_2 + \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\frac{2\eta_2 + \phi_2 y_j}{\eta_2^2 + \phi_2 \eta_2 y_j + y_j^3} \right]$$
(3.38)

$$\frac{\partial LL(\underline{\eta, \phi/x, y})}{\partial \phi_1} = -\frac{2n}{(\eta_1 + 2\phi_1 + 6)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\eta_1 x_i}{\eta_1^2 + \phi_1 \eta_1 x_i + x_i^3} \right]$$
(3.39)

$$\frac{\partial LL(\underline{\eta, \phi/x, y})}{\partial \phi_2} = -\frac{2m}{(\eta_2 + \phi_2 + 6)} + \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\frac{\eta_2 y_j}{\eta_2^2 + \phi_2 \eta_2 y_j + y_j^3} \right]$$
(3.40)

We obtain the Maximum Likelihood Estimators (MLE) of ϕ_1, η_1 and ϕ_2, η_2 say $\widehat{\phi_1}, \widehat{\eta_1}$ and $\widehat{\phi_2}, \widehat{\eta_2}$ respectively as the solution of the equations above as

$$\eta_1^2 V_1 - n\eta_1 + 2\phi_1 \eta_1 V_1 - 2\phi_1 n + 6\eta_1 V_1 + (6\eta_1 + 2\phi_1 \eta_1 + \eta_1^2) \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{2\eta_1 + \phi_1 x_i}{\eta_1^2 + \phi_1 \eta_1 x_i + x_i^3} \right] = 0 \quad (3.41)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta_{2}^{2}V_{2} - m\eta_{2} + 2\phi_{2}\eta_{2}V_{2} - 2\phi_{2}m + 6\eta_{2}V_{2} + (6\eta_{2} + \phi_{2}\eta_{2} + \eta_{2}^{2})\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[\frac{2\eta_{2} + \phi_{2}y_{j}}{\eta_{2}^{2} + \phi_{2}\eta_{2}y_{j} + y_{j}^{3}}\right] = 0 \quad (3.42) \\ &\text{Also,} \\ &- \frac{2n}{(\eta_{1} + 2\phi_{1} + 6)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\eta_{1}x_{i}}{\eta_{1}^{2} + \phi_{1}\eta_{1}x_{i} + x_{i}^{3}}\right] = 0 \quad (3.43) \\ &\text{Similarly,} \\ &- \frac{2m}{(\eta_{2} + \phi_{2} + 6)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[\frac{\eta_{2}y_{j}}{\eta_{2}^{2} + \phi_{2}\eta_{2}y_{j} + y_{j}^{3}}\right] = 0 \quad (3.44) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the invariance property of the MLE, the maximum likelihood estimator \hat{R}_{mle} of R_{SS} can be obtained by substituting $\hat{\eta}_k$ in place of η_k and $\hat{\phi}_k$ in place of ϕ_k for k = 1, 2

$$\begin{split} \hat{R}_{mle} = & \\ \eta_1^2 \Biggl\{ [2\phi_1\eta_1^2 + 2\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2 + 6\eta_1^2 + (\eta_1 + \eta_2)](2\phi_2 + \eta_2 + 6)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^3 + \eta_2 \Biggl[\frac{5040\phi_1^2\eta_2^2 + [120\phi_1^2\phi_2\eta_2 + 360\phi_1^2\eta_2 + 1080\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2^2](\eta_1 + \eta_2) + (168\phi_1^2\eta_2^2 + 96\phi_1\phi_2\eta_1\eta_2)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^2 + [54\eta_2^2 + 54\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2^2](\eta_1 + \eta_2)^3 + (18\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2^2 + 6\eta_2 + 2\phi_2\eta_2)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4 + 9\eta_2(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^5 + (18\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2^2 + 6\eta_2 + 2\phi_2\eta_2)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^4 + 9\eta_2(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^5 + (18\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2^2 + 6\eta_2 + 2\phi_2\eta_2)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^5 + (18\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2 + 6\eta_2)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^5 + (18\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^5 + (18\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2 + 6\eta_2)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^5 + (18\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^5 + (18\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^5 + (18\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^5 + (18\phi_1\eta_1\eta_2)(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^5 + (18$$

 $(\eta_1+2\phi_1+6)(\eta_2+\phi_2+6)(\eta_1+\eta_2)^7$

 $\eta_k = \hat{\eta}_k, \phi_k = \hat{\phi}_k; k = 1, 2$

(3.45)

3.7 Parameter Estimation

Let $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, ..., Y_m$ be a random sample of size *m* from the Inverse Remkan distribution $g(y_k; \eta, \phi)$. Then the log-likelihood function of parameters is given by

$$L(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_m; \Phi) = \prod_{i=1}^m \ln g(y_k; \Phi)$$
(3.46)
$$L(y; \eta, \phi) = \frac{\eta^{2m}}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)^m} \prod_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{y_i^3 + \phi \eta y + \eta^2}{y_i^5} \right] e^{-\eta V}$$
(3.47)

Where $V = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i}$

The log-likelihood function is given by;

$$LL(y;\eta,\phi) = 2m\ln(\eta) - m\ln(\eta + 2\phi + 6) - \eta V + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln\left(\frac{y_i^3 + \phi\eta y_i + \eta^2}{y_i^5}\right)$$
(3.48)

In order to maximize the log-likelihood, we solve the nonlinear likelihood equations obtained from the partial differentiation of (3.48) w.r.t η and ϕ as shown below;

$$\frac{\partial LL}{\partial \eta} = \frac{2m}{\eta} - \frac{m}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} - V + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[\frac{2\eta + \phi y_i}{\eta + \phi \eta y_i + y_i^3} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial LL}{\partial \phi} = -\frac{2m}{(\eta + 2\phi + 6)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\eta y_i}{y_i^3 + \phi \eta y_i + \eta^2}$$
(3.49)
(3.50)

In order to obtain the estimates of the parameters using the nonlinear equations above, we equate equations to zero and solve simultaneously. The solutions cannot be solved analytically. Hence, we solve numerically using the MaxLik package of in the R software (Toomet et al., 2015) with "BFGS" algorithm.

IV. Application

This section discusses the flexibility and superiority of the Inverse Remkan distribution (IRD) to some competing distributions using two real life uncensored data sets. The datasets were first reported by (Mahmoud & Mandouh, 2013) and used by (Enogwe et al., 2020).

The first dataset represents the Uncensored strengths of glass fibres.

The dataset is shown below

 $1.014,\, 1.081,\, 1.082,\, 1.185,\, 1.223,\, 1.248,\, 1.267,\, 1.271,\, 1.272,\, 1.275,\, 1.276,\, 1.278,\, 1.286,\, 1.28$

1.288, 1.292, 1.304, 1.306, 1.355, 1.361, 1.364, 1.379, 1.409, 1.426, 1.459, 1.46, 1.476,

 $1.481,\, 1.484,\, 1.501,\, 1.506,\, 1.524,\, 1.526,\, 1.535,\, 1.541,\, 1.568,\, 1.579,\, 1.581,\, 1.591,\, 1.593,$

1.602, 1.666, 1.67, 1.684, 1.691, 1.704, 1.731, 1.735, 1.747, 1.748, 1.757, 1.800, 1.806,

1.867, 1.876, 1.878, 1.91, 1.916, 1.972, 2.012, 2.456, 2.592, 3.197, 4.121.

The second dataset the Uncensored breaking stress of carbon fibres in (Gba).

The dataset is shown below.

0.92, 0.928, 0.997, 0.9971, 1.061, 1.117, 1.162, 1.183, 1.187, 1.192, 1.196, 1.213, 1.215,

1.2199, 1.22, 1.224, 1.225, 1.228, 1.237, 1.24, 1.244, 1.259, 1.261, 1.263, 1.276, 1.31,

 $1.321,\,1.329,\,1.331,\,1.337,\,1.351,\,1.359,\,1.388,\,1.408,\,1.449,\,1.4497,\,1.45,\,1.459,\,1.471,$

 $1.475,\, 1.477,\, 1.48,\, 1.489,\, 1.501,\, 1.507,\, 1.515,\, 1.53,\, 1.5304,\, 1.533,\, 1.544,\, 1.5443,\, 1.552,$

1.556, 1.562, 1.566, 1.585, 1.586, 1.599, 1.602, 1.614, 1.616, 1.617, 1.628, 1.684, 1.711,

1.718, 1.733, 1.738, 1.743, 1.759, 1.777, 1.794, 1.799, 1.806, 1.814, 1.816, 1.828, 1.83,

 $1.884,\, 1.892,\, 1.944,\, 1.972,\, 1.984,\, 1.987,\, 2.02,\, 2.0304,\, 2.029,\, 2.035,\, 2.037,\, 2.043,\, 2.046,\, 1.984,\, 1.987,\, 1.984,\, 1.987,\, 1.987,\, 1.984,\, 1.986,\, 1.98$

2.059, 2.111, 2.165, 2.686, 2.778, 2.972, 3.504, 3.863, 5.306.

These dataset are then fitted with the Inverse Remkan distribution (IRD) and compared with the Inverse Akash distribution (IAD) (E.W. Okereke et al., 2021), Inverse Suja distribution (ISD) (John et al., 2023), and Inverse Lindley distribution (ILD) (Sharma et al., 2015) with corresponding pdfs.

$$g_{ILD}(x;\eta) = \frac{\eta^2}{1+\eta} \left(\frac{1+x}{x^3}\right) e^{-\frac{\eta}{x}}$$

$$g_{IAD}(x;\eta) = \frac{\eta^3}{\eta^{2}+2} \left(\frac{1+x^2}{x^4}\right) e^{-\frac{\eta}{x}}$$

$$g_{ISD}(x;\Phi) = \frac{\eta^5}{\eta^{4}+24} \left(\frac{1+x^4}{x^6}\right) e^{-\frac{\eta}{x}}$$
(4.1)
(4.2)

This comparison is done using some measures for testing the goodness of fit of a distribution. The measures used are the parameter estimates, the log likelihood, the Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) and the Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC) -2lnL, Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Club, 2016), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) (Pollock et al., 1999), Consistent Akaike information criterion (CAIC), Hannan-Quinn information criterion (HQIC). In general, the smaller the values of AIC, BIC, CAIC, and HQIC the better the fit to the data.

$AIC = 2k$ $2\ln I$	(4, 4)
AIC = 2R = 2 III L	(4.4)

 $BIC = k \ln n - 2 \ln L$ (4.5)

$CAIC = AIC + \frac{2k(k+1)}{(n-k-1)}$	(4.6)
(n-k-1)	(4.0)

 $HQIC = 2k \ln(\ln(n)) - 2 \ln L$ (4.7)

where k is the number of parameters, n is the sample size of the dataset, and L is the likelihood function.

Table 1: Goodness of fit for the Uncensored strengths of glass fibres							
Distribution	MLE's	S.E	-2ln L	AIC	BIC	CAIC	HQIC
IR	$\hat{\eta} = 4.5655$	0.3316	117.6972	121.6972	125.9835	121.8972	120.5401
	$\widehat{\phi}=121.449$	2.9705					
IA	$\hat{\eta} = 2.342$	0.194	192.4501	194.4501	200.7364	194.6501	195.293
IS	$\hat{\eta} = 2.9680$	0.1699	229.7694	231.7694	238.0557	231.9694	232.6123
IL	$\hat{\eta} = 2.0297$	0.2054	195.9979	197.9979	204.2841	198.1979	198.8408

Coolumn of \$4 for the Uncounsed strong the of slose \$1 mo

The parameter estimates and their goodness of fit of the different models for the first dataset are presented in Table 1. From the results, the Inverse Remkan distribution (IRD) performed better than the competing distributions.

Distribution	MLE's	S.E	-2ln L	AIC	BIC	CAIC	HQIC
IC	$\widehat{\eta} = 4.601$	0.268	195.6066	199.6066	204.817	199.7304	198.661
	$\hat{\phi} = 114.748$	4.228					
IA	η =2.345	0.154	309.6631	311.6631	318.8735	311.7868	312.7175
IS	$\hat{\eta} = 2.9706$	0.1354	366.6776	368.6776	375.888	368.8013	369.732
IL	$\hat{\eta} = 2.0335$	0.1634	315.3832	317.3832	324.5936	317.5069	318.4376

Table 2: Goodness of fit for the Uncensored breaking stress of carbon fibres in (Gba)

The parameter estimates and their goodness of fit of the different models for the second dataset are presented in Table 2. From the results, the Inverse Remkan distribution (IRD) performed better than the competing distributions.

V. Conclusion

This paper proposed a new two-parameter distribution known as the Inverse Remkan distribution (IRD). The statistical properties of the Inverse Remkan distribution such as the mode, order statistics, entropy, stochastic ordering, stress-strength reliability, and reliability indices was derived and presented. The properties of the new Inverse Remkan distribution showed that the Remkan distribution can be used to model lifetime datasets with unimodal, positively skewed, and right tailed properties. Furthermore, the risk measurement function of the Inverse Remkan distribution can model datasets with upside-down bathtub shape in survival analysis. In addition, the method of maximum likelihood estimate was adopted to derive the estimates of the parameters. The flexibility of the new Inverse Remkan distribution was compared with other competing distributions using two different real life datasets. The results obtained showed that the new Inverse Remkan distribution gave the best fit to the data based on some model selection criteria. Hence, the new Inverse Remkan distribution is therefore recommended as an alternative to other existing distributions.

References

- Abouammoh, A. M., & Alshingiti, A. M. (2009). Reliability estimation of generalized inverted exponential distribution. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 79(11), 1301–1315. https://doi.org/10.1080/00949650802261095
- [2]. Aderoju, S. (2021). Samade Probability Distribution: Its Properties and Application to Real Lifetime Data. Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics, 14(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajpas/2021/v14i130317
- [3]. Aijaz, A., Jallal, M., Ain, S. Q. U., & Tripathi, R. (2020). The Hamza Distribution with Statistical Properties and Applications. Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics, 8(1), 28–42. https://doi.org/10.9734/AJPAS/2020/v8i130196
- [4]. Akpan, N. P., & Uwaeme, O. R. (2024). On the Statistical Properties of the Remkan Distribution. Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 14(2), 333–347. https://doi.org/10.34198/ejms.14224.333347
- [5]. Benrabia, M., & Alzoubi, L. M. A. (2021). Alzoubi distribution: Properties and applications. An International Journal of Statistics Applications & Probability, 11(2), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.18576/jsap/ALzoubi dist nsp
- [6]. Club, T. B. (2016). Akaike, H. 1973. Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. Pp. 267-281 in 2nd International Symposium on Information Theory, Tsahkadsor, Armenia, USSR, September 2-8, 1971, eds. BN Petrov and F. Csáki. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó. Bankevich, A., S. Nurk, D. Antipov, AA Gurevich, M. Dvorkin, AS Kulikov, VM Lesin, et al. 2012. SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single. Systematics and Evolution of the Arundinoideae and Micrairoideae (Poaceae), 18(1), 139.
- [7]. Elechi, O., Okereke, E. W., Chukwudi, I. H., Chizoba, K. L., & Wale, O. T. (2022). Iwueze's Distribution and Its Application. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics, 10(12), Article 12. https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2022.1012251
- [8]. Eliwa, M. S., Altun, E., Alhussain, Z. A., Ahmed, E. A., Salah, M. M., Ahmed, H. H., & El-Morshedy, M. (2021). A new one-parameter lifetime distribution and its regression model with applications. PLOS ONE, 6(2), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246969
- [9]. Eliwa, M. S., El-Morshedy, M., & Ibrahim, M. (2018). Inverse Gompertz Distribution: Properties and Different Estimation Methods with Application to Complete and Censored Data. 6, 321–339.
- [10]. Enogwe, S. U., Nwosu, D. F., Ngome, E. C., Onyekwere, C. K., & Omeje, I. L. (2020). Two-parameter Odoma distribution with applications. Journal of Xidian University, 14(8), 740–764.
- [11]. Epstein, N. (1958). EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTION AND ITS ROLE IN LIFE TESTING. 1-21.
- [12]. E.W. Okereke, S.N. Gideon, & J. Ohakwe. (2021). Inverse Akash distribution and its applications. Scientific African, 20(2), 61–72. https://doi.org/10.4314/sa.v20i2.6
- [13]. John, C., Pokalas, T., Umezurike, C., & Ohakwe, J. (2023). INVERSE SUJA DISTRIBUTION AND ITS APPLICATIONS. Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics, 17(October 2021), 151–158.
- [14]. Lee, S., Noh, Y., & Chung, Y. (2017). Inverted exponentiated Weibull distribution with applications to lifetime data. Communications for Statistical Applications and Methods, 24(3), 227–240.
- [15]. Mahmoud, M. R., & Mandouh, R. M. (2013). On the transmuted Fréchet distribution. Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 9(10), 5553–5561.
- [16]. Pollock, D. S. G., Green, R. C., & Nguyen, T. (1999). Handbook of time series analysis, signal processing, and dynamics. Elsevier.
- [17]. Rényi, A. (1961). On measures of entropy and information. In Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, 1, 547–562.
- [18]. Ronald, W. E., Myers, R. H., Myers, S. L., & Ye, K. E. (2011). Probability and Statistics for Engineers and Scientists (9th Edition) (9th ed.). Prentice Hall. http://library.lol/main/09C6713DAA3BF89644499A5EB315D12E
- [19]. Shaked, M., & Shanthikumar, J. (1994). Stochastic orders and their applications. Academic Press. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Stochastic-orders-and-their-applications-Shaked-
- Shanthikumar/a29a9228cdb7a7dcb205ba6ee2bf64bc0b63fa90
 Shanker, R. (2015a). Akash Distribution and Its Applications. International Journal of Probability and Statistics, 4(3), 65–75. https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijps.20150403.01
- [21]. Shanker, R. (2015b). Shanker Distribution and Its Applications. International Journal of Statistics and Applications, 5, 338–348.
- [22]. Shanker, R. (2016a). Amarendra Distribution and Its Applications. American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 6(1), 44–56. https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ajms.20160601.05
- [23]. Shanker, R. (2016b). Sujatha Distribution and its Applications. Statistics in Transition New Series, 17(3), 391–410. https://doi.org/10.21307/stattrans-2016-029
- [24]. Shanker, R., & Shukla, K. K. (2020). A New Quasi Sujatha Distribution. Statistics in Transition New Series, 21(3), 53–71.
- [25]. Shannon, C. E. (1951). Prediction and entropy of printed English. Bell System Technical Journal, 30(1), 50-64.
- [26]. Sharma, V. K., Sanjay Kumar Singh, Singh, U., Varun Agiwal, & Agiwal, V. (2015). The inverse Lindley distribution: A stressstrength reliability model with application to head and neck cancer data. Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering, 32(3), 162–173. https://doi.org/10.1080/21681015.2015.1025901
- [27]. Shraa, D. H., & Al-Omari, A. I. (2019). Darna distribution: Properties and application. Electronic Journal of Applied Statistical Analysis, 12(02), 520–541. https://doi.org/10.1285/i20705948v12n2p520
- [28]. Shukla, K. K. (2018a). Prakaamy distribution with properties and applications. JAQM, 13(3), 30–38.
- [29]. Shukla, K. K. (2018b). Ram Awadh distribution with properties and applications. Biometrics & Biostatistics International Journal, 7(6), 515–523. https://doi.org/10.15406/bbij.2018.07.00254
- [30]. Toomet, O., Henningsen, A., & Toomet, M. O. (2015). Package 'maxLik.'
- [31]. Umeh, E., & Ibenegbu, A. (2019). A Two-Parameter Pranav Distribution with Properties and Its Application. Journal of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, 5(1), 74–90. https://doi.org/10.18502/jbe.v5i1.1909
- [32]. Uwaeme, O. R., & Akpan, N. P. (2024a). The Inverse Copoun Distribution and its Applications. Journal of Research in Applied Mathematics, 10(2), 13–22.
- [33]. Uwaeme, O. R., & Akpan, N. P. (2024b). The Remkan Distribution and Its Applications. Asian J. Prob. Stat, 26(1), 13–24.
- [34]. Uwaeme, O. R., Akpan, N. P., & Orumie, U. C. (2023). The Copoun Distribution and Its Mathematical Properties. Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics, 24(1), 37–44.