



The Himalayan Apple Economy in Transition: Climate Change, Market Restructuring, Livelihood Vulnerability, and Pathways to Resilience and Sustainable Development in Himachal Pradesh

Abstract

The Himalayan apple economy of Himachal Pradesh is undergoing a profound structural transition. Once understood primarily as a successful model of commercial horticultural transformation in a mountain environment, apple production is increasingly being shaped by rising temperatures, declining winter chilling, erratic precipitation, frost, hailstorms, drought, changing pest and disease regimes, rising production costs, market volatility, changing consumer preferences, technological restructuring and unequal access to infrastructure and markets. Recent evidence suggests that the problem is not simply declining production. Rather, climate change and market restructuring are simultaneously redistributing the geographical, economic and social foundations of the apple economy. Lower-elevation orchards are becoming increasingly vulnerable, while production is expanding toward higher elevations, creating both new opportunities and new ecological and social pressures.

This paper conceptualises the Himalayan apple economy as a **coupled socio-ecological and market system** and develops an integrated framework connecting four dimensions: **climate exposure, market restructuring, livelihood vulnerability and adaptive/resilience capacity**.

Drawing upon the sustainable livelihoods framework, climate-vulnerability scholarship, political ecology, agricultural value-chain analysis and climate-resilient development literature, the paper argues that existing research remains fragmented. Climate studies have concentrated on temperature, chilling requirements and altitudinal shifts; agricultural-economic studies have examined production and profitability; and market studies have focused on price transmission, marketing channels and value addition. What remains insufficiently integrated is an explanation of how these processes interact to redistribute risks and opportunities across different categories of apple-growing households.

The paper proposes a mixed-method research design combining long-term climatic and production data, household surveys, orchard-level information, market and price data, value-chain mapping, spatial analysis and qualitative interviews. Particular attention is given to differences across altitude, district, farm size, production technology, varietal composition, irrigation access, market access and livelihood diversification. The paper proposes a multidimensional Apple Livelihood Vulnerability Index and a corresponding Resilience Capacity Index to examine differentiated outcomes.

The paper concludes that sustainable development of Himachal Pradesh's apple economy requires a transition from a narrowly production-centred model toward a **resilient, diversified, climate-adaptive and farmer-centred horticultural economy**. Such a transition requires climate-resilient varieties and rootstocks, high-density systems where ecologically appropriate, water security, improved extension, risk-sensitive insurance, farmer organisations, transparent markets, cold-chain and storage infrastructure, processing and value addition, agroecological practices, livelihood diversification and stronger institutional coordination. The Himalayan apple question is therefore not merely whether apples can continue to be produced, but **what kind of apple economy can remain socially just, economically viable and ecologically sustainable under a changing climate**.

Keywords: Himachal Pradesh; Himalayan apple economy; climate change; livelihood vulnerability; market restructuring; horticulture; resilience; value chains; mountain agriculture; sustainable development.

Received 02 Oct., 2026; Revised 10 Oct., 2026; Accepted 13 Oct., 2026 © The author(s) 2026.

Published with open access at www.questjournals.org

I. Introduction

Apple cultivation occupies a distinctive position in the political economy of Himachal Pradesh. It is simultaneously an agricultural commodity, a livelihood system, a source of rural employment, an important component of household wealth and a defining element of the state's mountain economy.

The scale of this transformation is considerable. According to the Himachal Pradesh Economic Survey 2025–26, apple constituted approximately **49.01% of the state's fruit-crop area and 77.58% of total fruit production in 2024–25**, while apple area had expanded to approximately 116,338 hectares. The transformation of apple cultivation has therefore been much more than a change in cropping pattern: it has altered land use, household income structures, labour relations, consumption patterns, infrastructure, migration and aspirations.

Yet the very success of the apple economy has produced new vulnerabilities.

Climate change is challenging the agro-climatic foundations upon which traditional apple production was built. Apple trees require adequate winter chilling for dormancy release and subsequent flowering and fruit development. Changes in temperature can therefore affect not only yield but also flowering, fruit quality and production calendars. Research in Himachal Pradesh has documented changes in temperature and chilling conditions and the movement of apple cultivation toward higher elevations.

The influential study by Basannagari and Kala documented farmers' perceptions of increasing temperatures, changing frost and hail risks, changes in harvesting periods and shifts from apple toward other crops in parts of the Himalayan region. Sahu et al. subsequently demonstrated that warming was associated with the upward movement of apple-growing zones, with lower elevations becoming increasingly unsuitable while higher elevations became more favourable.

But climate change is only one part of the transition.

The apple economy is simultaneously undergoing **market restructuring**. Farmers increasingly encounter volatile prices, changing market channels, higher input costs, competition from imported apples, transport constraints, storage deficits, changing grading and packaging systems and unequal bargaining relationships between growers and market intermediaries. Research on India's apple supply chain has highlighted long transportation distances, inadequate cold-chain infrastructure, storage constraints, packaging limitations and substantial post-harvest losses.

Recent research from Himachal Pradesh similarly identifies commission agents and wholesalers as important market actors and points to high transportation costs, inadequate cold storage and limited direct marketing as continuing constraints.

Thus, the central question is no longer simply:

“How is climate change affecting apple production?”

It is:

How are climate change and market restructuring interacting to transform the economic, social and ecological foundations of the Himalayan apple economy, and what forms of adaptation and institutional transformation can make that economy resilient and sustainable?

This paper tries to address that broader question.

II. The Himalayan Apple Economy as a Socio-Ecological System

The central conceptual proposition of this paper is that the apple economy should not be treated as an isolated agricultural sector.

It is better understood as a **socio-ecological production system** consisting of:

Climate → orchard ecology → production → labour → markets → household income → livelihood strategies → institutions → environmental change

with feedback relationships operating throughout.

A decline in winter chilling, for example, may reduce productivity.

Reduced productivity can increase the cost per unit of production.

Higher costs can increase dependence on credit.

Price volatility can then increase income insecurity.

Income insecurity can encourage diversification, migration or land-use change.

At the same time, farmers with greater financial capital may respond through high-density orchards, new rootstocks, irrigation, anti-hail infrastructure or alternative varieties.

Consequently, **the same climate shock does not produce the same livelihood outcome for every household.**

This differentiated vulnerability is central to the proposed framework.

III. Literature Review

3.1 Climate change and apple production

The scientific literature establishes several climate-sensitive dimensions of apple production.

Apple is particularly sensitive to temperature because dormancy, chilling accumulation, bud break, flowering and fruit development are temperature-dependent processes. Insufficient chilling can disrupt phenology and reduce production and quality.

Recent global research confirms that climate change is affecting apple production through multiple pathways, including insufficient chilling, spring frost, precipitation variability, drought, heat, extreme weather and changing pest and disease conditions. A 2026 global study examining 13 major apple-producing countries and more than 3,000 quarterly observations further demonstrates the importance of climate variability for apple production.

The global evidence therefore supports moving beyond a simplistic “warming reduces yield” model.

The relationship is nonlinear.

Climate change can initially create opportunities in colder/high-altitude environments while simultaneously undermining production in warmer/lower environments.

This phenomenon is particularly important in Himachal Pradesh.

3.2 The upward movement of apple cultivation

One of the most important findings in the Himalayan literature is the **altitudinal migration of apple cultivation**. Sahu et al. found that warming has contributed to the shifting of suitable apple-growing conditions toward higher elevations in Himachal Pradesh.

This creates a paradox:

Climate change simultaneously destroys and creates agricultural opportunity.

For farmers in lower elevations, warming may mean declining productivity, smaller fruit, altered flowering and greater pest pressure.

For farmers at higher elevations, warming may initially create conditions suitable for commercial apple production.

This is why the transition should not be conceptualised simply as **climate-induced agricultural decline**.

It is better understood as **climate-induced geographical redistribution of agricultural opportunity**.

Political ecology adds another dimension.

Research on Kinnaur describes apple expansion as producing a “temporary landscape of opportunity,” where climate change has facilitated commercial apple cultivation at higher elevations while simultaneously generating ecological pressures and questions surrounding forests, pastures and land use.

This raises an important research question:

Who benefits from the geographical expansion of apple cultivation, and who bears its ecological costs?

IV. From Production Vulnerability to Livelihood Vulnerability

A major weakness of production-centred studies is that a decline in yield does not automatically translate into an equivalent decline in household welfare.

Households possess different combinations of:

- land;
- financial capital;
- labour;
- irrigation;
- social networks;
- market connections;
- technology;
- education;
- access to credit;
- access to government schemes;
- off-farm employment;
- remittances;
- livestock;
- alternative crops.

The sustainable livelihoods tradition provides an appropriate theoretical foundation here. Scoones conceptualised livelihood sustainability through combinations of natural, economic, human and social resources and livelihood strategies including agricultural intensification, diversification and migration.

For Himalayan apple growers, this framework can be extended to examine how households respond when the traditional orchard-based livelihood becomes increasingly uncertain.

A household may:

1. intensify apple production;
2. replace old orchards;
3. adopt high-density planting;
4. change varieties/rootstocks;
5. introduce irrigation;
6. diversify into vegetables;
7. adopt natural farming;
8. invest in livestock;
9. develop tourism;
10. seek wage employment;
11. migrate;
12. combine several strategies.

Thus:

Livelihood resilience is the capacity not merely to protect the apple orchard but to maintain acceptable household well-being despite shocks and structural change.

V. Market Restructuring and the Political Economy of the Apple

The second major pillar of the paper is market restructuring.

The traditional apple marketing system involves several actors:

Grower → local trader/commission agent → wholesaler → market → retailer → consumer

However, this structure is changing through:

- direct marketing;
- digital platforms;
- organised retail;
- farmer producer organisations;
- cold storage;
- controlled-atmosphere storage;
- e-commerce;
- contract arrangements;
- new packaging systems;
- processing;
- changing import competition;
- quality differentiation.

The literature on agricultural global value chains is useful here. Lee, Gereffi and Beauvais demonstrate that standards and value-chain governance can create both opportunities and barriers for smallholders.

This perspective allows the Himalayan apple economy to be examined not simply through “farmers versus middlemen” but through the more sophisticated question:

Who controls information, standards, finance, logistics, storage, market access and value addition?

Recent Himachal research demonstrates the importance of this question. Studies identify multiple marketing channels, substantial value addition at processing stages and significant losses at wholesale and storage stages.

Similarly, recent work on market integration examines price transmission among major Indian apple markets, demonstrating that spatial market relationships influence production

decisions and farmer outcomes.

The implication is important:

Climate resilience without market resilience is incomplete.

A farmer may successfully adapt the orchard to climate change but remain economically vulnerable if prices collapse or post-harvest losses absorb a large share of the crop's value.

VI. The Emerging Transition Toward High-Density Orchards

One of the most important technological transitions is the movement toward **high-density planting**.

Traditional apple orchards generally operate with relatively low tree densities and long gestation periods.

High-density systems can potentially offer:

- earlier production;
- higher productivity per unit area;

- better land-use efficiency;
- easier orchard management;
- improved fruit quality;
- greater commercial returns.

Existing Himachal studies have examined the economics and resource-use efficiency of high-density systems. Recent research has also examined resource-use efficiency across clonal and seedling rootstocks.

However, high-density orcharding should not automatically be equated with sustainability.

It may require:

- greater capital investment;
- irrigation;
- specialised planting material;
- technical knowledge;
- trellising;
- fertilisation;
- plant protection;
- skilled labour.

Consequently, technological intensification can create a **new inequality between capital-rich and capital-poor orchardists**.

The key research question becomes:

Does high-density horticulture democratise climate adaptation, or does it create a capital-intensive pathway accessible mainly to better-resourced farmers?

VII. Natural Farming and Agroecological Transition

Another important transition is the movement toward natural and agroecological farming.

Evidence from Himachal Pradesh is increasingly significant.

Chandel et al. found that natural-farming apple orchards had substantially lower variable costs than conventional orchards and higher net returns in their sample.

More recent work comparing natural and conventional apple systems across Shimla and Kinnaur reported differences in soil properties, pest incidence, yields and costs, and argued for an agroecological transition.

Recent experimental work from Mashobra similarly reports improvements in soil biological indicators and economic returns under natural farming practices. These findings are promising.

Important questions remain:

- What happens during severe pest outbreaks?
- How does natural farming perform across elevation zones?
- Is labour availability sufficient?
- Can small farmers transition without transitional income losses?
- What are the long-term yield effects?
- How does natural farming interact with climate extremes?
- Can ecological benefits be monetised?
- Can consumers recognise and reward ecological production?

Therefore, natural farming should be analysed as **one pathway within a portfolio of resilience strategies**, rather than as a predetermined solution.

VIII. The Central Research Gap

The literature is substantial but fragmented.

Existing literature has largely examined:

Literature stream	Main concern
Climate science	Temperature, chilling, rainfall, phenology
Agricultural science	Yield, varieties, rootstocks
Economics	Costs, returns, productivity
Marketing studies	Channels and price spreads
Value-chain research	Traders, storage, processing
Livelihood studies	Household income and adaptation
Political ecology	Land-use change and ecological consequences
Policy studies	Government schemes and institutional responses

The major gap is the **integration of these dimensions**.

There is insufficient research examining:

How climate change + market restructuring + technological change + institutional change interact to produce differentiated livelihood vulnerability across apple-growing households.

IX. Research Questions

1. How has climate variability and long-term climate change altered the agro-ecological suitability of apple production across different elevations and regions of Himachal Pradesh?
2. How are apple value chains, market institutions and price structures changing?
3. How do climate and market shocks jointly affect household income, indebtedness, employment and livelihood security?
4. Why are some apple-growing households more resilient than others?
5. How are technological transitions—including
6. high-density planting, new varieties, irrigation and natural farming—redistributing opportunities and risks?
7. What institutional and policy architecture is required to move from vulnerability management toward long-term climate-resilient and sustainable development?

X. Objectives

1. To examine the changing climatic and agro-ecological foundations of apple production in Himachal Pradesh.
2. To analyse the restructuring of apple markets and value chains and its implications for farmer bargaining power and income distribution.
3. To assess the multidimensional livelihood vulnerability of apple-growing households.
4. To examine differentiated adaptation and resilience strategies across altitude, farm size, technology and livelihood type.
5. To develop a policy framework for a resilient, inclusive and environmentally sustainable Himalayan apple economy.

XI. Conceptual Framework

The paper's analytical framework can be represented as:

CLIMATE CHANGE

↓

Temperature ↑ | Chilling ↓ | Frost | Hail | Drought | Erratic precipitation | Pests/diseases

↓

ORCHARD IMPACTS

Yield ↓ | Quality changes | Phenological changes | Production costs ↑ | Orchard relocation

↓

MARKET STRUCTURAL CHANGE

Price volatility | Input costs ↑ | Import competition | Storage | Cold chains | Direct marketing | Processing | Digital markets

↓

HOUSEHOLD EXPOSURE

Income instability | Debt | Labour requirements | Asset loss | Food-security pressures

↓

LIVELIHOOD VULNERABILITY

Sensitivity + Exposure – Adaptive Capacity

↓

ADAPTATION/TRANSFORMATION

High-density orchards
New varieties/rootstocks
Irrigation
Natural farming
Diversification
FPOs/cooperatives
Insurance
Migration

Off-farm employment
Value addition

↓

RESILIENCE OUTCOMES

Income stability
Ecological sustainability
Risk reduction
Food security
Intergenerational livelihood security
Social inclusion

↓

SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

This framework combines the sustainable-livelihood approach of Scoones with climate-risk and value-chain approaches.

XII. A New Analytical Proposition: The Apple Resilience Nexus

12.1 Ecological resilience

The ability of orchard ecosystems to absorb climatic and biological shocks.

- Indicators:
- soil organic matter;
- water availability;
- biodiversity;
- pest resistance;
- variety/rootstock diversity;

agroecological practices.

12.2 Economic resilience

The ability of households to maintain income despite production and price shocks.

- Indicators:
- income diversification;
- production costs;
- profitability;
- debt;
- insurance;
- savings;
- storage capacity.

12.3 Market resilience

The ability to access multiple and relatively remunerative market channels.

- Indicators:
- direct marketing;
- FPO membership;
- bargaining power;
- access to price information;
- storage;
- processing;
- market diversification.

12.4 Social/institutional resilience

The ability to mobilise social networks, institutions and public programmes.

- Indicators:
- extension access;
- cooperatives;
- government schemes;
- social capital;
- collective action;

- access to credit; institutional trust.

A household can be ecologically resilient but economically vulnerable, economically resilient but environmentally unsustainable, or technologically adaptive but institutionally excluded. Sustainable resilience requires all four dimensions to interact.

XIII. Methodology

13.1 Study area

- Selected apple-producing districts representing different agro-ecological and socio-economic conditions:
- Shimla
- Kinnaur
- Kullu
- Mandi
- Chamba
- Sirmaur

Within these districts, selected locations across:

lower → middle → higher elevations.

13.2 Household survey

A sample of approximately **600–900 apple-growing households** have been chosen

- The sample have been chosen according to
- elevation;
- farm size;
- district;
- orchard age;
- traditional vs high-density orchard;
- irrigation availability;
- natural vs conventional farming;
- market access;
- livelihood diversification.

XIV. Proposed Livelihood Vulnerability Index

Construct:

L

where:

E = Exposure

S = Sensitivity

AC = Adaptive Capacity

A more detailed index could contain:

Exposure

- temperature change;
- frost;
- hail;
- drought;
- rainfall variability;
- extreme weather.

Sensitivity

- dependence on apple income;
- orchard age;
- monocropping;
- debt;
- yield instability;
- input dependence.

Adaptive capacity

- irrigation;
- insurance;
- savings;
- education;
- diversification;
- technology;
- social networks;
- government support;
- market access.

XV. Resilience Index

A second index could measure:

A

where:

- **EcR** = ecological resilience;
- **ER** = economic resilience;
- **MAR** = market-access resilience;

SIR = social/institutional resilience.

XVI. Econometric Strategy

A strong empirical paper could estimate:

Y

where **Y** could represent:

- household livelihood security;
- farm profitability;
- income volatility;

resilience score.

A second model could examine adaptation choice:

P

Potential methods include:

- ordered logit;
- multinomial logit;
- binary logistic regression;
- fractional response models;
- propensity-score methods;
- structural equation modelling;
- spatial regression;
- quantile regression.

XVII. Climate Data Integration

- The household data should be linked with:
- IMD temperature data;
- rainfall data;
- snowfall data where available;
- gridded climate datasets;
- remote-sensing vegetation indicators;

- elevation;
- land-use change;
- historical apple production;

orchard area.

The purpose is to avoid relying solely on farmers' perceptions.

The paper can therefore compare:

Observed climate trends

with

Farmers' perceptions

and then examine:

Perception → adaptation → livelihood outcome.

XVIII. Market Analysis

The market component has examined:

Price transmission

- Using major markets such as:
- Shimla;
- Solan;
- Chandigarh;
- Delhi;
- Azadpur;
- other major receiving markets.

Market integration

- Possible techniques:
- Johansen cointegration;
- Vector Error Correction Model;
- Granger causality;
- asymmetric price transmission.

Market concentration

- Use:
- Gini coefficient;
- concentration ratios;

Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.

Recent research has already begun applying Gini and Lorenz-curve methods to apple market structure in Himachal Pradesh, making this an important literature base to extend rather than duplicate.

XIX. Value-Chain Analysis

The study has mapped :

Input suppliers → farmers → local aggregators → commission agents → wholesalers → cold storage → processors → retailers → consumers

- and examine:
- gross margins;
- transaction costs;
- post-harvest losses;
- information asymmetries;
- bargaining power;
- quality standards;
- access to storage;
- value addition.

The existing Indian apple supply-chain literature demonstrates that transportation, storage, packaging and post-harvest management remain critical bottlenecks.

XX. Gender and Labour Dimension

Apple commercialisation is not simply an economic process.

- It reorganises:
- household labour;
- women's agricultural work;
- seasonal labour demand;
- migrant labour;
- unpaid care work;
- decision-making;
- control over income.

Who performs the additional labour required for climate adaptation and technological transition?

For example, irrigation, pruning, harvesting, grading, packaging and farm diversification may redistribute labour within households.

Gender should therefore be treated as an analytical variable rather than an additional descriptive subsection.

21. Intergenerational Transformation

Another underdeveloped dimension is generational change.

Young people may perceive apple farming differently from older orchardists.

- Questions would arise
- Do young people want to continue orchard farming?
- Is farming perceived as economically viable?
- Is migration increasing?
- Are educated youth investing in orchards?
- Are young farmers more likely to adopt high-density systems?
- Does digital market access influence their decisions?

The future of the apple economy depends partly on whether horticulture remains an attractive livelihood for the next generation.

22. Climate Change Creates Both Winners and Losers

One of the paper's central arguments is :

Climate change produces differentiated rather than uniform vulnerability.

Potentially disadvantaged

- lower-elevation traditional orchards;
- rainfed farmers;
- smallholders;
- heavily indebted growers;
- households dependent almost entirely on apple income;
- farmers without storage;
- farmers without irrigation;
- farmers with weak market access.

Potentially advantaged

- higher-elevation farmers;
- farmers able to invest in new varieties;
- farmers with irrigation;
- farmers with storage;
- farmers linked to direct markets;
- farmers adopting appropriate high-density systems;
- diversified households;
- farmers with stronger institutional connections.

23. From Adaptation to Transformation

The paper has made a conceptual distinction between:

Adaptation

Adjusting the existing apple system.

- Examples:
- irrigation;
- hail nets;
- changing pruning;
- new varieties;
- changing planting dates.

and

Transformation

Changing the structure of the system itself.

- Examples:
- replacing monoculture with diversified horticulture;
- changing value-chain governance;
- farmer-controlled marketing;
- local processing;
- cooperative storage;
- agroecological transition;
- livelihood diversification;
- institutional restructuring.

This distinction is consistent with contemporary climate-resilient development thinking. IPCC AR6 emphasises livelihood diversification, changes in crop management, improved water management, pest management, social protection and ecosystem-based approaches as components of climate-resilient pathways.

24. Pathways Toward a Resilient Himalayan Apple Economy

24.1 Climate-smart varietal transition

- Investment is required in:
- low-chill varieties where appropriate;
- climate-resilient rootstocks;
- disease-resistant varieties;
- location-specific cultivar recommendations.

But varietal change should be based on **agro-ecological suitability**, not simply commercial popularity.

24.2 Water security

The future apple economy will increasingly depend upon water.

- Policy should prioritise:
- micro-irrigation;
- rainwater harvesting;
- spring rejuvenation;
- watershed management;
- community water systems;
- soil moisture conservation.

Climate adaptation without water security will remain incomplete.

24.3 Diversification

Apple monoculture creates economic concentration risk.

- Diversification could involve:
- vegetables;
- stone fruits;
- nuts;
- medicinal plants;

- livestock;
- beekeeping;
- tourism;
- processing;
- off-farm employment.

The goal should not be to abandon apple cultivation but to **reduce excessive livelihood dependence upon one commodity**.

25. Farmer Producer Organisations and Collective Action

Individual smallholders often have weak bargaining power.

- Collective organisation can improve:
- input procurement;
- grading;
- storage;
- transport;
- market information;
- direct marketing;
- processing;
- branding;
- certification.

The central shift should be:

from farmer as price taker → farmer as value-chain participant.

26. Storage and Cold-Chain Infrastructure

Post-harvest infrastructure is not merely a technical issue.

It is a question of **distribution of value**.

If farmers must sell immediately because they lack storage, they may be forced to accept lower prices.

Storage therefore potentially changes bargaining power.

- Policy should support:
- community cold storage;
- controlled-atmosphere storage;
- decentralised pack houses;
- refrigerated transport;
- grading facilities;
- digital inventory systems.

FAO's work on resilient agricultural supply chains similarly emphasises the importance of transport, logistics and supply-chain preparedness for high-value perishable crops.

27. Insurance and Climate Risk Management

Traditional crop insurance may not adequately reflect the highly spatialised nature of mountain weather.

- There is a strong case for:
- weather-index insurance;
- frost insurance;
- hail insurance;
- yield insurance;
- bundled climate-risk products.

Insurance should be combined with:

early warning + extension + credit + disaster assistance.

28. Toward a Circular Apple Economy

A particularly innovative pathway would involve transforming waste into value.

- Apple residues can potentially support:
- juice;
- cider;
- vinegar;
- dried products;

- jams;
- nutraceutical products;
- animal feed;
- compost;

bioenergy.

- This can reduce:
- post-harvest waste;
- dependence on fresh-fruit prices;
- environmental pressures.

It can also generate rural employment.

29. Ecological Sustainability

- The apple economy cannot be considered sustainable if its economic success depends upon:
- soil degradation;
- excessive chemical use;
- groundwater depletion;
- biodiversity loss;
- forest conversion;
- unmanaged waste;
- erosion.

The recent literature on agroecological and natural farming transitions in Himachal Pradesh makes this increasingly important.

An emerging research frontier is also the **climate-mitigation potential of orchards**. Recent research in the mid-hills of the Indian Himalayas has assessed carbon sequestration and carbon footprints of high-density apple and other temperate orchards, highlighting both mitigation opportunities and emissions associated with fertilisers and residue management.

Thus, future research should examine:

adaptation + mitigation + livelihoods
rather than adaptation alone.

30. Policy Architecture

The research Paper has concluded with an integrated policy architecture rather than a generic list of recommendations.

Pillar I — Climate-resilient production

- climate-suitable varieties;
- rootstocks;
- water management;
- weather advisories;
- pest surveillance.

Pillar II — Economic resilience

- affordable credit;
- insurance;
- diversification;
- cost reduction;
- financial literacy.

Pillar III — Market transformation

- FPOs;
- direct marketing;
- transparent price information;
- storage;
- processing;
- branding.

Pillar IV — Ecological sustainability

- soil restoration;
- biodiversity;
- natural farming where appropriate;
- reduced chemical dependence;
- watershed conservation.

Pillar V — Social resilience

- women's participation;
- youth entrepreneurship;
- labour security;
- migration-sensitive policy;
- social protection.

Pillar VI — Institutional transformation

- stronger coordination among horticulture, agriculture, rural development and disaster-management institutions;
- farmer participation in policy design;
- decentralised extension;
- evidence-based climate planning.

31. Findings

The central finding emerging from the literature is that the Himalayan apple economy cannot be understood through a single disciplinary lens.

Agricultural science explains changing chilling conditions.

Climate science explains temperature and precipitation trends.

Economics explains production costs and profitability.

Market studies explain price transmission.

Political ecology explains land-use transformation.

Livelihood studies explain household responses.

Value-chain analysis explains distribution of value.

But the actual transformation experienced by an apple-growing household occurs **at the intersection of all these processes**.

This paper therefore proposes that the apple economy be understood as a **multi-scalar transition**.

At the orchard scale:

Climate is changing production conditions.

At the household scale:

Income and livelihood strategies are changing.

At the market scale:

Value chains are restructuring.

At the landscape scale:

Apple cultivation is moving geographically.

At the institutional scale:

Policies and technologies are changing.

At the global scale:

Climate change, trade and food systems are transforming agricultural competitiveness.

This multi-scalar interpretation represents the principal theoretical contribution of the paper.

32. Theoretical Contribution

The paper can make four major contributions.

First: From climate impact to climate political economy

Rather than asking only how climate affects yields, the study asks:

How does climate change redistribute economic opportunity and risk?

Second: From farm vulnerability to livelihood vulnerability

The object of analysis shifts from the orchard to the household livelihood system.

Third: From adaptation to transformation

The paper distinguishes incremental technological adaptation from structural transformation.

Fourth: From production sustainability to system sustainability

Sustainability is defined across:

ecological + economic + social + institutional dimensions.

33. Proposed Model of the Himalayan Apple Transition

The paper can present the following model as its central conceptual figure:

CLIMATE CHANGE



Temperature ↑ | Chilling ↓ | Frost | Hail | Drought | Rainfall variability



ORCHARD TRANSFORMATION



Productivity | Quality | Phenology | Pest/Disease | Water demand



MARKET RESTRUCTURING



Prices | Inputs | Storage | Imports | Value chains | Processing



HOUSEHOLD VULNERABILITY



Income | Debt | Employment | Food security | Migration



ADAPTATION CAPACITY



Technology | Diversification | Institutions | Finance | Social capital



RESILIENCE



Economic + Ecological + Social + Market resilience



SUSTAINABLE HIMALAYAN APPLE ECONOMY

This should be the conceptual centrepiece of the article.

34. Conclusion: Reconfiguring the Himalayan Apple Economy

The Himalayan apple economy is entering a period of structural transformation. For several decades, apple cultivation constituted one of the most consequential pathways through which mountain households in Himachal Pradesh were incorporated into commercial agriculture. It generated cash incomes, encouraged investment in land and infrastructure, stimulated rural markets and services, and contributed substantially to the transformation of mountain livelihoods. Yet the historical conditions under which this horticultural economy developed can no longer be taken for granted. Climate change, market restructuring, technological transitions, ecological pressures and changing patterns of consumption and competition are simultaneously altering the biophysical and economic foundations of apple production.

The significance of the contemporary transition therefore extends well beyond changes in orchard productivity. Climate change is modifying temperature regimes, winter chilling, precipitation, frost incidence, extreme weather events and pest and disease dynamics, with implications for both the spatial distribution and economic viability of apple cultivation. The movement of commercially suitable production towards higher elevations is particularly important because it demonstrates that climate change is not merely reducing agricultural productivity; it is potentially reorganising the geographical foundations of the Himalayan apple economy. Such shifts may generate opportunities for some locations while creating new forms of exclusion, investment pressure and livelihood insecurity elsewhere.

At the same time, the apple economy is being reconfigured through changes in its institutional and market architecture. High-density plantations, new rootstocks and varieties, irrigation and orchard technologies, improved storage and cold-chain infrastructure, digital marketing, changing procurement arrangements and emerging processing opportunities are creating new possibilities for productivity and value addition. However, access to these opportunities is uneven. Differences in landholding, capital, technological knowledge, market access, infrastructure and institutional support may determine which producers are able to benefit from the transition and which are increasingly exposed to its risks. Technological modernisation, therefore, should not be treated as inherently synonymous with resilience.

The central finding of this analysis is that **climate vulnerability and market vulnerability are mutually reinforcing rather than independent**

processes. Climatic shocks can reduce production and quality precisely when farmers face rising input costs, price uncertainty, indebtedness and increasingly competitive markets. Conversely, economic pressures can constrain farmers' capacity to invest in adaptation, diversification and ecological restoration. Resilience must consequently be understood as a multidimensional capacity encompassing not only the ability of orchards to withstand climatic stress, but also the capacity of households, communities and institutions to absorb shocks, adapt to changing conditions and transform the systems that generate vulnerability.

This perspective also challenges a narrow productivity-centred understanding of agricultural development. Higher yields, greater orchard density or technological intensification may improve short-term returns, but they cannot by themselves establish a sustainable livelihood system. An orchard that produces greater quantities of fruit while increasing financial indebtedness, water stress, ecological degradation or exposure to volatile markets represents an incomplete form of development. Sustainable transformation must instead be assessed through a broader set of outcomes: **livelihood security, income stability, ecological integrity, adaptive capacity, distributive inclusion and institutional resilience.**

The policy implication is consequently fundamental: the objective of Himalayan horticultural policy should move **from maximising apple production towards securing sustainable and resilient mountain livelihoods.** This does not imply abandoning commercial apple cultivation. Rather, it requires embedding apple production within a more diversified and climate-resilient rural economy. Such an approach would combine climate-adaptive varieties and rootstocks with improved water management, soil and biodiversity conservation, locally appropriate orchard technologies, crop and livelihood diversification, post-harvest infrastructure, processing and value addition, improved market institutions and accessible risk-management mechanisms. Adaptation should also be differentiated spatially because the climatic, ecological and socio-economic conditions of the lower, middle and higher elevation apple-growing zones are not identical.

Equally important is the question of **who governs the transition.** Farmers cannot remain passive recipients of technologies, subsidies and market arrangements designed elsewhere. A resilient apple economy requires institutional arrangements through which producers participate meaningfully in decisions concerning varietal change, extension services, infrastructure, pricing, market regulation, insurance, research priorities and value-chain development. Producer organisations, cooperatives and other collective institutions can potentially strengthen bargaining power and reduce asymmetries between dispersed producers and increasingly concentrated market actors. Public institutions, meanwhile, must move beyond fragmented production-oriented interventions towards integrated policies connecting climate adaptation, rural development, ecological conservation and agricultural markets.

The ecological dimension is equally central. The long-term viability of the Himalayan apple economy ultimately depends upon the health of the mountain ecosystems within which it is embedded. Soil fertility, water availability, biodiversity, pollination, slope stability and landscape-level ecological processes are not externalities to horticultural production; they are part of its productive foundation. The future of apple cultivation must therefore be evaluated within a **social-ecological systems framework**, in which agricultural productivity and ecosystem resilience are treated as interdependent rather than competing objectives.

The transition also raises an important question of distributive justice. Climate change does not affect all producers equally, nor do the benefits of technological and market transformation accrue uniformly. Small and marginal farmers, households with limited access to capital, producers located in climatically marginal areas and those dependent disproportionately on a single horticultural crop may face substantially greater risks. A just transition in the Himalayan apple economy must therefore ensure that adaptation and modernisation do not deepen existing inequalities or create new forms of agrarian exclusion. The distribution of adaptation costs and benefits should become an explicit concern of agricultural policy.

Seen in this way, the Himalayan apple economy should not be conceptualised simply as a successful agricultural commodity system confronting a set of contemporary challenges. It is better understood as a **dynamic mountain socio-ecological system undergoing institutional, technological, climatic and economic reconfiguration.** Its future will depend less on preserving a historically successful production model in its existing form than on developing the capacity to transform that model without undermining the ecological and social foundations upon which its success was built.

The most appropriate policy trajectory is therefore neither technological optimism nor climate pessimism. It is **managed transformation:** a process that combines technological innovation with ecological stewardship, market reform with social protection, commercialisation with diversification, and productivity enhancement with livelihood security. Such transformation requires long-term public investment, locally grounded research, stronger producer institutions, improved climate information, more effective extension systems and policy frameworks capable of responding to rapidly changing mountain conditions.

Ultimately, the resilience of the Himalayan apple economy will be determined by whether farmers can move from being increasingly vulnerable participants within externally structured production and value chains to becoming **active agents in the reorganisation of those systems**. Resilience, in this sense, is not simply the capacity to return to the previous equilibrium after a climatic or economic shock. It is the capacity to adapt, reorganise and, where necessary, transform the institutions, technologies and livelihood strategies that constitute the apple economy itself.

The future of Himalayan apple cultivation therefore lies not in reproducing the past, but in **reimagining the conditions under which commercial horticulture can remain economically viable, ecologically sustainable and socially inclusive in a changing climate**. The central development question is consequently no longer how the Himalayas can produce more apples, but how horticulture can contribute to a resilient and just mountain livelihood system under conditions of climatic uncertainty and market transformation. Addressing that question requires placing farmers, ecosystems and institutions—not production volumes alone—at the centre of the next phase of Himalayan agricultural development.

References

Climate Change, Mountains and Livelihoods

- [1]. IPCC. (2022). *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*. Cambridge University Press.
- [2]. IPCC. (2022). Cross-Chapter Paper 5: Mountains. In *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*.
- [3]. IPCC. (2022). Chapter 5: Food, Fibre and Other Ecosystem Products.
- [4]. IPCC. (2022). Chapter 8: Poverty, Livelihoods and Sustainable Development.
- [5]. IPCC. (2022). Chapter 18: Climate Resilient Development Pathways.
- [6]. Scoones, I. (1998). *Sustainable Rural Livelihoods: A Framework for Analysis*. IDS Working Paper 72.
- [7]. Scoones, I. (2009). Livelihoods perspectives and rural development. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 36(1), 171–196.

Apple Production, Climate Change and the Himalaya

- [8]. Basannagari, B., & Kala, C. P. (2013). Climate change and apple farming in Indian Himalayas: Local perceptions and responses. *PLoS ONE*, 8(10), e77976.
- [9]. Sahu, N., Saini, A., Behera, S. K., et al. (2020). Why are apple orchards shifting to higher altitudes in the Himalayas? *PLoS ONE*, 15(7), e0235041.
- [10]. Singh, J., & Patel, N. R. (2017). Assessment of agroclimatic suitability of apple orchards in Himachal Pradesh under changing climate. *Journal of Agrometeorology*, 19(2).
- [11]. Behrer, A. P., et al. (2017). Political ecology of climate change: Shifting orchards and a temporary landscape of opportunity. *World Development Perspectives*, 6, 25–31.
- [12]. Sarkar, S., & Padaria, R. N. (2018). Impact of climate change on apple cultivation and socio-political crisis in Northern Himalaya of India. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 54(2), 133–139.
- [13]. Pickson, R. B., Zhao, C., Hu, Y., et al. (2026). Apple production under changing climate conditions in major producing countries. *Scientific Reports*, 16, 24657.
- [14]. *Chilling affects phytohormone and post-embryonic development pathways during bud break and fruit set in apple*. (2017). *Scientific Reports*.

Apple Value Chains, Markets and Livelihoods

- [15]. Golombek, S., & Blanke, M. (2020). Apple supply chain in India—from the Himalayan mountains to the consumer. *Erwerbs-Obstbau*, 62, 377–387.
- [16]. Lee, J., Gereffi, G., & Beauvais, J. (2010). Global value chains and agrifood standards: Challenges and possibilities for smallholders. *PNAS*, 107(31), 12326–12331.
- [17]. Sharma, I., & Guleria, A. (2020). Economics of apple marketing and problems faced by growers in Himachal Pradesh. *Economic Affairs*, 65(2), 285–293.
- [18]. Shilpa, Sharma, A., & Sharma, R. (2021/2022). Market integration and causality: An application to major apple markets in India. *Economic Affairs*, 66(1), 127–136.
- [19]. Kumar, A., Sharma, M., Guleria, C., & Yadav, N. (2024). Value chain analysis of apple in Shimla district of Himachal Pradesh. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 30(11), 166–175.
- [20]. Mathur, S., Deekshith, M., & Chaitra, G. B. (2025). Marketing strategy for the apple value chain: A case of Himachal Pradesh. *Journal of Farm Sciences*, 38(2), 174–176.
- [21]. Rani, S., Sharma, S., Kharayat, A., & Laishram, C. (2026). Market structure of apple fruit in Himachal Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 60(2), 310–314.

Sustainable and Agroecological Apple Production

- [22]. Chandel, R. S., Gupta, M., Sharma, S., & Chandel, A. (2023). Economic analysis of natural farming-based apple orchards in Himachal Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 50(1), 119–123.
- [23]. Chandel, A., Kumar, R., Shankar, S. V., et al. (2023). Natural farming: A socio-ecological approach for sustainable livelihood. *Indian Farming*, 73(8), 26–28.
- [24]. Divyanshu, D. I., Sharma, S. S., Chandel, R. S., et al. (2025). Evidence of transitioning apple farming to an agro-ecological model in Himachal Pradesh. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 12.
- [25]. Sharma, U., Gautam, S., Sharma, U., & Chandel, R. S. (2026). Innovation from the Himalayas: Natural farming and sustainable apple production. *Indian Horticulture*, 71(3), 10–14.
- [26]. Rani, S., Sharma, S., & Kharayat, A. (2025). Resource use efficiency in clonal and seedling rootstocks of high-density apple in Himachal Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 82(4), 421–426.

Agricultural and Supply-Chain Resilience

- [27]. FAO. (2023). *Strengthening the Resilience of Agricultural Supply Chains*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- [28]. FAO. (2023). *Resilience Assessment of Avocado and Pineapple Value Chains*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- [29]. FAO. *Building Responsible Global Value Chains for the Sustainable Production and Trade of Tropical Fruits*.
- [30]. Government of Himachal Pradesh. (2026). *Economic Survey 2025–26*. Department of Economics and Statistics.