



Research Paper

Global Heavy Metal Contamination Trend in Vegetables: A Systematic Review

¹Barau, B. W., ²AbdulHameed, A., ²Nayaya, A. J. and ²Ezra, A. G.

¹ Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Taraba State University, Jalingo, Taraba State.

² Department of Ecology, Faculty of Science, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University (ATBU), Bauchi

Corresponding Author: bilyaminubarau@yahoo.co.uk

ABSTRACT

Heavy metal contamination in vegetables has become a critical global issue, with metals such as lead, cadmium, arsenic, and mercury posing significant risks to both human health and the environment. These metals accumulate in crops through polluted water, soil, and air, leading to severe health problems like cancer, kidney damage, and neurological disorders. This systematic review explores the global extent of heavy metal contamination in vegetables, focusing on the sources, impacts, and mitigation strategies across continents. In particular, the review highlights the varying levels of contamination due to industrialization, agricultural practices, and regulatory oversight. Developing regions, particularly in Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa, face higher contamination levels due to unregulated industrial activities and polluted irrigation sources. Mitigation strategies, including the use of phytoremediation, soil amendments, and regulatory measures, have been adopted worldwide, though challenges such as limited resources, technical expertise, and enforcement remain prevalent. The review concludes by emphasizing the need for stronger regulatory frameworks, international collaboration, and technological advancements to combat the increasing global threat of heavy metal contamination in vegetables.

KEYWORDS: Accumulate; Ecotoxicology; Environment; Metals; Regions; Universal

Received 12 Feb., 2025; Revised 22 Feb., 2025; Accepted 24 Feb., 2025 © The author(s) 2025.

Published with open access at www.questjournals.org

I. INTRODUCTION

Heavy metal contamination of vegetables has become a global public health concern, posing significant threats to food safety, and environmental sustainability. Toxic metals can enter agricultural systems through multiple pathways, including industrial emissions, mining activities, improper use of agro-chemicals, contaminated irrigation water, and atmospheric deposition. These metals, being non-biodegradable, tend to accumulate in soils, where they are absorbed by edible plants. As a result, long-term human exposure to contaminated vegetables can lead to severe health consequences, including kidney damage, liver dysfunction, neurological disorders, and carcinogenic effects [1,2,3]. In the developing regions, particularly where unsafe agricultural practices rely on untreated municipal wastewater, contamination levels often exceed permissible limits, exacerbating the health risks associated with heavy metal accumulation [4,5].

The global proliferation of heavy metal contamination in vegetables is closely linked to many factors that include industrialization, urbanization, and intensifying agricultural practices. The widespread presence of toxic metals such as lead, cadmium, arsenic, mercury, and chromium in the environment, particularly in soil, water, and air, is a direct result of human activity. These pollutants enter the food chain through contaminated irrigation water, polluted soils, and atmospheric deposition, leading to the accumulation of harmful substances in crops [5]. As vegetables are a staple component of the human diet, their contamination is a major public health concern. Long term exposure to these metals, even at low concentrations, has been linked to chronic diseases such as cancer, kidney damage, and neurological impairments [6,7]. This growing crisis underscores the urgency of developing comprehensive strategies to reduce the risks posed by heavy metal contamination.

To curtail this rising threat, research on the sources, environmental dynamics, and toxicological impacts of heavy metal contamination in vegetables has been on the increase in recent years. Many studies have highlighted the widespread contamination of vegetables in both developed and developing regions, particularly in areas with intensive agricultural practices or proximity to industrial zones [8]. A growing body of literature

suggests that vegetables grown near mining sites and industrial areas are at elevated risk of contamination, with studies from countries like Nigeria, Pakistan, and Bangladesh showing alarming levels of pollutants [9,10,11]. This review seeks to provide an in-depth overview of the extent of contamination, sources, the types of metals involved, and their toxicological effects on human health. Understanding these critical factors is essential for developing effective mitigation strategies, ensuring food safety, and reducing the exposure of vulnerable populations to hazardous substances.

II. GLOBAL SIGNIFICANCE OF VEGETABLES

Vegetables are fundamental to global nutrition, economies, and cultural traditions, representing a cornerstone in the diet of billions of people worldwide. The integration of vegetables into daily consumption is essential for maintaining human health, supporting sustainable agriculture, and fostering economic resilience. Their nutritional, economic, and cultural significance in offering a holistic understanding of their global importance can never be overstated.

Nutritionally, vegetables are rich sources of essential vitamins, minerals, and dietary fiber, contributing significantly to human health. For instance, vegetables like leafy greens, carrots, and tomatoes provide high levels of vitamin A, vitamin C, and potassium, which are critical for immune function, vision, and cardiovascular health. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), diets high in fruits and vegetables can prevent up to 2.7 million deaths annually by reducing the risk of chronic diseases such as heart disease, stroke, and certain cancers [12]. A global survey conducted by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) found that increasing vegetable intake by 50 grams per day could reduce the incidence of cardiovascular disease by 10% [13].

Economically they are a key component of global economies. They are among the most widely produced and traded agricultural commodities. In 2020, the global production of vegetables reached approximately 1.1 billion tons, with China, India, and the European Union being the largest producers [14]. The vegetable trade plays a crucial role in the economies of developing countries, where vegetables are not only vital for domestic food security but also serve as important export commodities. The economic value of vegetables is estimated at over \$200 billion annually in global trade [13]. Additionally, vegetable farming supports millions of livelihoods, particularly in rural areas, where agricultural employment is often a primary source of income.

Traditionally, vegetables have become an integral part of culinary traditions and cultural identities around the world. From the Mediterranean diet, which emphasizes the consumption of tomatoes, olive oil, and greens, to the East Asian use of vegetables in stir-fries and soups, vegetables are foundational to many regional cuisines. The role of vegetables in cultural rituals and festivals is also notable. For example, in India, vegetables are often central to vegetarian diets, which hold religious and cultural significance in Hinduism, Jainism, and Buddhism. In Italy, the annual harvest of vegetables is celebrated with festivals that honor local agricultural traditions. As global migration and trade networks expand, vegetable-based culinary practices continue to shape and enrich food cultures worldwide [15].

III. GLOBAL EXTENT OF HEAVY METAL CONTAMINATION IN VEGETABLES

Heavy metals such as lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), arsenic (As), mercury (Hg), and chromium (Cr) are persistent in the environment, and their accumulation in food chains poses significant risks to human health, especially in regions known to have intensive agricultural practices or high industrial activity. Being a vital part of the human diet, vegetables are often the first line of exposure to these toxic metals, which can accumulate in their edible parts through soil, water, and atmospheric contamination. The extent of this problem varies widely between regions, often influenced by factors such as industrial pollution, the use of contaminated water for irrigation, and unsafe agricultural practices that involve the application of contaminated fertilizers and pesticides [5,6]. As global demand for vegetables continues to peak, particularly in rapidly growing urban areas, the urgency to address heavy metal contamination in the food supply could never be more pressing.

Regions with pronounced mining activities, industrial operations, and intensive agricultural production are particularly vulnerable to elevated levels of these heavy metals in the soil, which ultimately could lead to contamination of crops. In countries such as China, India, and a greater part of Africa, agricultural soils have been heavily polluted by these metals both through natural and anthropogenic activities, including the widespread use of untreated sewage and industrial effluents for irrigation [16]. In these regions, heavy metals like cadmium and arsenic can leach into water systems and soil, contaminating various vegetables [17]. Research has shown that heavy metals such as lead, cadmium, and arsenic are readily absorbed by vegetable crops, especially the leafy greens, which are known to be particularly susceptible to soil contamination [18]. Their accumulation in plant tissues, often reach levels that exceed the safe limits established by food safety authorities such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) [18]. This is particularly disturbing in developing nations, where lax regulatory frameworks and limited access to safe agricultural practices exacerbate the problem. In addition, the bioaccumulation of these metals in the

food chain poses risks not only to human consumers but also to other species, as they can accumulate in wildlife and contaminate ecosystems more broadly.

While the problem of heavy metal contamination in vegetables is global, the strength of these contaminations and the specific metals involved vary depending on local conditions. For instance, in developed countries like the United States and European Union member states, heavy metal contamination in vegetables is mostly attributed to legacy pollution from previous or historical industrial activities, such as leaded gasoline and smelting industries, as well as the use of contaminated fertilizers and pesticides [19]. This is in contrast to developing countries with high levels of unregulated industrial activity and informal mining sites, such as parts of Southeast Asia, Africa, and Latin America, who faces a more immediate and widespread contamination risks [20]. Studies from countries like India, where vegetable crops are often irrigated with untreated wastewater, there are elevated levels of heavy metals such as cadmium and lead in food crops [17]. In Africa, where informal mining and gold extraction methods using mercury are common, vegetables often show alarmingly high levels of mercury contamination [5]. These discrepancies underscore the need for more region-specific interventions and backed by stringent regulations to address both the sources of contamination and the means by which these metals enter the food chain.

IV. COMMONLY RESEARCHED HEAVY METALS

The most widely researched heavy metals in various continents of the world are Pb, Cd, Cr, As, and Hg, this is due to their widespread environmental contamination, significant health risks, and persistent nature in ecosystems. These metals are toxic even at low concentrations, and their potential to cause long-term environmental damage and health problems has driven extensive research into their behavior, risks, and mitigation strategies.

Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa, grappling with rapid industrial revolution and urbanization, are facing escalating challenges from heavy metal contamination, particularly involving lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), arsenic (As), and mercury (Hg). In Asia, dense industrial zones exacerbate lead contamination in vegetables, often above WHO safety limits [21], while arsenic contamination from groundwater irrigation is endemic in South Asia, resulting in severe health risks, including cancer [22]. Similarly, cadmium, majorly from phosphate-based fertilizers in China and Vietnam, has led to bioaccumulation in vegetables, with protracted kidney and bone health implications [23], while mercury contamination stemming from gold mining in Indonesia and the Philippines impacts the aquatic ecosystems and local populations [24]. Sub-Saharan Africa is liable to similar threats, with elevated lead levels from mining activities [25], cadmium accumulation due from irrigation with contaminated water [26], and mercury bioaccumulation in informal mining regions [27], all compounding to the serious health risks. While Australia's heavy metal contamination is minimal, localized concerns persist, especially in mining regions like Western Australia, where arsenic, cadmium, and mercury continue to affect the environment and food chain [28,29].

Europe has made commendable strides to reduce heavy metal contamination, owing to robust regulatory measures, with sustain challenges, particularly in urban areas and certain industrial zones where lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), mercury (Hg), and arsenic (As) persist. Lead contamination continues to pose dangers in regions with sustainable industrial activities and inadequate waste management [30], while cadmium levels, although reduced due to EU regulations, it still poses health risks in agricultural areas [31]. Mercury bioaccumulation however remains a challenge in the aquatic ecosystems, particularly along coastal regions, despite such regulations [32], and arsenic contamination in groundwater, notably in Eastern Europe, raising concerns about the safety of drinking water [33]. North America faces similar challenges, with lead exposure still a risk in urban communities due to old housing and historically used lead-based products [34], and mercury contamination from coal burning and gold mining continues to affect aquatic life and human health [35]. Cadmium contamination, though mitigated through stringent regulations, still remains a concern in agricultural zones [36], while arsenic in groundwater remains a significant health threat [37]. South America, with its rapid industrialization and mining, struggles with heavy metal contamination, especially lead from mining in Peru and Bolivia [38], mercury from artisanal gold mining in the Amazon basin [39], and arsenic from mining and groundwater contamination in Chile and Argentina [40], posing severe risks to human health and the ecosystems.

Table 1: A Summary of the Most Commonly Researched Heavy Metals Globally

Continent	Heavy Metal	References
Asia	Pb, As, Cd, Hg, Cr, Ni	[21,23,41,42,43,44,45,46]
Australia	Pb, As, Hg, Cd, Cr	[28,47,48,49,50,]
Africa	Pb, Cd, Hg, As	[51,52,53,54,55,56]
Europe	Pb, Cd, Hg, As	[30,32,57,58,59]

North America	Pb, Cd, Hg, As,	[60,61,62,63,64,65]
South America	Pb, Cd, Hg, As	[20,38,66,67,68]

V. HEALTH IMPLICATIONS OF SOME HEAVY METALS

Heavy metals, including lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), arsenic (As), mercury (Hg), and chromium (Cr), are prevalent contaminants in agricultural soils due to industrial activities, agricultural runoff, and atmospheric deposition [69]. These metals are toxic to humans even at low concentrations, and plants, especially vegetables, absorb and accumulate them from contaminated soil and water. Once absorbed, these metals can enter the human food chain through vegetable consumption, posing serious health risks.

Lead (Pb): Lead exposure is a well-documented public health hazard, with serious neurological and developmental consequences, particularly in children. Lead accumulates in bones, soft tissues, and the nervous system. Chronic exposure to lead through contaminated vegetables can lead to cognitive deficits, developmental delays, and behavioral issues in children [70]. In adults, lead toxicity can cause hypertension, kidney damage, and reproductive issues [71]. The World Health Organization (WHO) has established a provisional tolerable weekly intake (PTWI) of 25 micrograms for lead, emphasizing the need for monitoring contamination levels in food sources [72].

Cadmium (Cd): Cadmium is primarily absorbed through the digestive system and accumulates in the kidneys and liver, where it can cause damage over time. Long-term exposure to cadmium-contaminated vegetables has been linked to renal dysfunction, osteomalacia, and osteoporosis [73]. Ingested cadmium can also contribute to the development of cancer, particularly in the renal and pulmonary systems [6]. According to a study by WHO, the average daily intake of cadmium from vegetables in regions with high contamination levels can exceed the recommended tolerable intake, posing significant health risks [74].

Arsenic (As): Arsenic is a known carcinogen, and chronic exposure to arsenic through contaminated vegetables can lead to various cancers, particularly of the skin, lung, bladder, and liver [40]. Furthermore, arsenic exposure is associated with cardiovascular diseases, neurodevelopmental disorders in children, and skin lesions [75]. The WHO has set a guideline for arsenic in drinking water at 0.01 mg/L to minimize exposure from all sources, including contaminated crops [73].

Mercury (Hg): Mercury, though less commonly found in vegetables compared to other heavy metals, can accumulate in plant tissues through contaminated soil or water. Chronic exposure to mercury, even at low levels, has been linked to neurological and developmental toxicity. Ingestion of mercury-contaminated vegetables can lead to tremors, memory loss, and cognitive decline [76]. Mercury primarily affects the central nervous system and kidneys, and its toxicity is exacerbated in fetuses and young children.

Chromium (Cr): Chromium, particularly hexavalent chromium (Cr(VI)), is a potent carcinogen and can cause damage to the liver, kidneys, and gastrointestinal tract. Long-term exposure to chromium-contaminated vegetables has been linked to gastrointestinal cancers, liver damage, and impaired immune function [77]. Hexavalent chromium is more toxic than trivalent chromium (Cr(III)), and its presence in vegetables poses significant risks to human health when consumed in large quantities.

Table 2: Summary of Health Implications of Heavy Metal Contamination

Heavy Metal	Ailments Caused	Organs Affected	References
Lead (Pb)	Cognitive deficits, developmental delays, behavioral issues, hypertension, kidney damage, reproductive issues	Nervous system, bones, soft tissues, kidneys, reproductive organs	[71,72,73]
Cadmium (Cd)	Renal dysfunction, osteomalacia, osteoporosis, cancer (renal, pulmonary)	Kidneys, liver, bones, lungs	[6,74,75]
Arsenic (As)	Various cancers (skin, lung, bladder, liver), cardiovascular diseases, neurodevelopmental disorders, skin lesions	Skin, lungs, bladder, liver, cardiovascular system	[40,73,76]
Mercury (Hg)	Neurological and developmental toxicity (tremors, memory loss, cognitive decline)	Central nervous system, kidneys	[76]
Chromium (Cr)	Gastrointestinal cancers, liver damage, impaired	Liver, kidneys, gastrointestinal tract	[77]

Heavy Metal	Ailments Caused	Organs Affected	References
	immune function		

VI. GLOBAL TRENDS IN HEAVY METAL CONTAMINATION OF VEGETABLES

Heavy metal contamination of vegetables has become a significant global concern, with serious implications for both environmental health and public safety. Vegetables, integral to human diets due to their nutritional values, are increasingly becoming contaminated by toxic heavy metals such as lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), arsenic (As), mercury (Hg), and chromium (Cr). These contaminants primarily originate from industrial activities, agricultural practices, and urban pollution, and pose considerable health risks, especially in regions with high vegetable consumption. The growing prevalence of contamination across various parts of the world highlights the need for a comprehensive understanding of regional trends, supported by statistical evidences, focusing on contamination trends, health risks, and regional disparities across six continents: Asia, Africa, Australia, Europe, North America, and South America.

Heavy metal contamination in vegetables across Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, and Australia presents a growing public health concern, exacerbated by rapid industrialization, agricultural intensification, and urbanization. In Asia, widespread use of phosphate fertilizers laden high in cadmium and contaminated irrigation water has contributed to increasing cadmium levels in vegetables, with a meta-analysis by Li *et al.* [78] showing a 1.5% annual rise over two decades, particularly in China, India, and Vietnam. Lead and arsenic contamination is not left behind, with industrial zones in South Asia exceeding WHO limits for lead by 2.4 times [21], while rising arsenic levels in India and Bangladesh is linked to contaminated water sources [44]. In Sub-Saharan Africa, the use of untreated wastewater for irrigation has drawn a 25% increase in lead and cadmium contamination in vegetables, particularly in urban areas of Nigeria, Kenya, and Egypt [79]. In contrast, Australia, while facing lower contamination levels, is still affected by arsenic from mining areas, even though regulations have led to a 12% fall in contamination over the past decade [80].

In recent years, Europe has recorded great achievements in reducing heavy metal contamination in vegetables, primarily due to European Union's stringent regulations on phosphate fertilizers, which have led to a 10% decline in cadmium levels in soil and crops since the 2000s [58]. However, mercury contamination still persists, notably in Southern Europe, where industrial pollution and bioaccumulation in aquatic systems pose ongoing risks to crop irrigation [59]. In North America, while cadmium contamination has decreased by 8 - 10% in both Canada and the United States due to stricter regulations, mercury and lead contamination remain significant concerns, especially the coastal and industrial zones [81]. South America, with its rapid industrialization and urbanization, faces a marked increase in heavy metal contamination, with lead and cadmium levels appreciating by 18% over the past decade in Brazil, Argentina, and Chile, encouraged by mining activities and contaminated irrigation water [69].

The long-term consumption of contaminated vegetables is increasingly recognized as a major public health concern, associated with a variety of chronic illnesses, including kidney disease, neurological disorders, and cancer. Environmental factors, particularly heavy metal exposure, are significant contributors to these health risks. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that over 10% of global cancer cases can be attributed to environmental factors, including the consumption of contaminated food [82]. Vulnerable populations, such as children and pregnant women, are at even greater risk due to their increased sensitivity to neurotoxic substances like lead and cadmium [60]. For example, elevated lead levels in vegetables have been linked to developmental delays and cognitive impairments in children, with levels exceeding 1 µg/g presenting considerable risks [34].

While many countries are committed to monitor and regulate heavy metal contamination, the global increase in urbanization and industrialization has complicated such efforts. The trend highlights the urgent need for more robust regulatory frameworks, innovative technologies for contamination detection and reduction, and global cooperation to address the transboundary nature of pollution.

Table 3: Trend in Global Heavy Metal Contamination of Vegetables

Continent	Trend in Contamination	Key Areas Affected	Heavy Metals of Concern	References
Asia	Increasing contamination, especially in countries with rapid industrialization	China, India, Vietnam, Bangladesh, South Asia	Cd, Pb, As	[21,79,83]
Africa	Increasing contamination due to use of untreated wastewater and industrial pollutants.	Nigeria, Kenya, Egypt	Pb, Cd	[80]
Australia	Moderate contamination, but decreasing due to strict regulations.	Western Australia, Northern Territory	As	[81]

Continent	Trend in Contamination	Key Areas Affected	Heavy Metals of Concern	References
Europe	Decreasing contamination due to regulations on phosphate fertilizers, but localized issues persist.	Southern Europe, Coastal regions	Cd, Hg	[58,59]
North America	Mixed trends: reductions in cadmium but ongoing concerns with mercury and lead in industrial areas.	Canada, United States (industrial zones)	Cd, Pb, Hg	[58,59,82]
South America	Increasing contamination, particularly due to industrialization and irrigation with contaminated water.	Brazil, Argentina, Chile	Pb, Cd, As	[69]

VII. GLOBAL MITIGATION STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS HEAVY METAL CONTAMINATION OF VEGETABLES: A CONTINENTAL PERSPECTIVE

The global issue of heavy metal contamination in agricultural produce has emerged as a significant environmental concern, particularly in the context of human health and ecological sustainability. Vegetables, being an essential part of the human diet, are particularly vulnerable to heavy metal contamination from various anthropogenic sources, such as industrial emissions, sewage sludge, and polluted irrigation water. The severity of contamination varies across regions, influenced by local industrial activities, agricultural practices, and regulatory frameworks. Consequently, mitigation strategies to address this issue have been tailored to the specific challenges faced by different continents. The challenges and successes in each region have been highlighted below.

VII.1 Africa: Emphasizing Sustainable Agricultural Practices

In Africa, the combination of rapid industrialization, mining, and inadequate waste management systems have driven significant heavy metal contamination in vegetables, particularly with cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), and arsenic (As), as highlighted by Nkansah [84]. To this response, many African countries have embraced sustainable agricultural practices to help mitigate these risks. Organic farming techniques, including composting and green manure, which can reduce heavy metal bioavailability in soils and decrease crop uptake have been adopted [85]. Phytoremediation with hyper-accumulator plants like *Brassica juncea* and *Amaranthus spinosus* to extract or stabilize heavy metals has also shown potential for cleaning contaminated soils [86]. However, the scaling of these practices is crippled by limited resources and technical challenges. Moreover, policies such as South Africa’s regulations on the use of wastewater for irrigation, aim to control metal contamination, although enforcement remains a major hurdle [87].

VII.2 Asia: Technological Innovations and Regulatory Controls

Heavy metal contamination in Asia, particularly in China and India, has been on the rise due to industrial pollution, mining, and the extensive use of contaminated water for irrigation [88]. In this response, these countries have adopted an array of technological and regulatory approaches to curtail such risks to agriculture. In China, soil remediation techniques, such as soil washing and the application of soil amendments like phosphate and biochar, have yielded effectiveness in immobilizing heavy metals and limiting their uptake by crops [89]. The country’s national policies, notably the Soil Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan (2016), have strengthened these efforts, enforcing stricter regulations for industrial emissions and agricultural practices in order to reduce heavy metal levels in food. Moreover, China has adopted biotechnological approaches; developing genetically modified crops that exhibit reduced cadmium absorption, though ethical issues relating to public concerns about the environmental and health impacts of these GMOs hinder their broader adoption [90].

In India, the primary source of agricultural pollution has been addressed by promoting improved wastewater treatment technologies, including constructed wetlands, to filter heavy metals before discharging to irrigation systems [91]. The National Policy for Safe Use of Wastewater in Agriculture regulates the permissible levels of heavy metals in irrigation water, aiming to safeguard food safety. However, the success of these measures has been haphazard, largely due to paucity of resources and challenges in enforcement. Both countries face ongoing struggles to balance these technological solutions with practical constraints, yet their sustained efforts are paving ways for more sustainable agricultural practices in the face of rising pollution challenges.

VII.3 Australia: A Multifaceted Approach to Heavy Metal Mitigation

In Australia, heavy metal contamination of vegetables is chiefly piloted by mining activities, industrial emissions, and contaminated irrigation water, with regions such as Western Australia, Queensland, and New South Wales being particularly vulnerable [92]. Metals like arsenic, lead, and cadmium accumulate in the soil, water, and crops, posing serious environmental and health risks. However, Australia has implemented various mitigation strategies, including phytoremediation, which uses plants like *Brassica juncea* and *Helianthus annuus* to absorb or stabilize metals, and soil amendments such as biochar to reduce metal bioavailability [87,93]. While these methods have yielded potentials, challenges such as scalability and cost have remained a limiting factor to their widespread application. Complementing these approaches, agricultural best practices like crop rotation, organic farming, and precision agriculture are becoming increasingly important. Technologies such as soil sensors and satellite imaging have also help to optimize farming practices, minimize chemical use, and enhance soil health, contributing to more sustainable land management [42,94].

Regulatory frameworks and public awareness campaigns are also integral components of Australia's efforts to nib heavy metal contamination. Through Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ), the government has established strict regulations to limit heavy metal levels in food products, though enforcement is still a challenge, particularly in remote areas. Increased public awareness around contamination risks has fostered support for sustainable practices, including organic farming and community-based food systems, which are more resilient to contamination [95]. While there is significant progress in regulatory oversight and farming innovations, continued focus on research, enforcement, and public engagement is essential to mitigating the long-term impacts of heavy metal contamination in Australian agriculture.

VII.4 Europe: Stringent Regulations and Precision Agriculture

In Europe, heavy metal contamination of vegetables is largely controlled through a combination of stringent regulatory frameworks and the widespread use of precision agriculture technologies. The European Union (EU) has set strict limits on the concentrations of heavy metals, such as cadmium, lead, and mercury, in food products through regulations such as Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006. These regulations have played a significant role in reducing heavy metal contamination in European-grown vegetables by imposing limits on permissible concentrations in both agricultural soils and food products [96].

The adoption of precision farming techniques has also contributed significantly to mitigating heavy metal contamination. By utilizing advanced technologies such as remote sensing, soil mapping, and data analytics, European farmers can better manage soil health and minimize the risk of metal accumulation in crops. These technologies allow for precise application of fertilizers and soil amendments, thereby reducing the excessive use of chemical inputs that may contribute to contamination [94].

In addition to regulatory measures, Europe has increasingly turned to bioremediation and phytoremediation techniques to address soil contamination. In countries like Germany and the United Kingdom, research into the use of hyper-accumulators and soil amendments has been supported by government-funded initiatives, and these techniques have been incorporated into environmental remediation programs [97]. While these approaches have been successful in localized settings, scaling up their implementation remains a significant challenge due to the high costs involved and the complexity of managing large-scale contaminated sites.

VII.5 North America: Integrated Approaches and Public Awareness

In North America, heavy metal contamination of vegetables is primarily associated with industrial activities, urbanization, and agricultural practices that involve the use of contaminated water sources. In the United States and Canada, mitigation strategies have focused on integrating regulatory controls, technological innovations, and public education to reduce heavy metal contamination in food crops.

In the U.S., the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has established stringent regulations for the levels of heavy metals in soil, water, and food products, contributing to the reduction of contamination over the past few decades [98]. Furthermore, the adoption of soil remediation technologies, such as phytoremediation and soil washing, has been promoted in areas with significant industrial contamination. The use of biochar, a form of activated carbon, has been particularly effective in immobilizing metals in contaminated soils and reducing their bioavailability [99].

In Canada, the development of precision agriculture has been a key strategy for mitigating heavy metal contamination. Farmers use GPS-based soil sensors to monitor heavy metal concentrations and apply fertilizers and soil amendments only when necessary. This targeted approach has reduced the risk of over-application of fertilizers, a major contributor to heavy metal contamination in vegetables [100].

Public awareness campaigns have also played a significant role in North America, educating consumers about the risks of heavy metal contamination in food and encouraging sustainable farming practices. Programs

aimed at promoting the safe disposal of industrial waste and the use of clean irrigation water have been central to these efforts.

VII.7 South America: Mitigation Strategies in a Diverse and Challenged Region

South America faces significant challenges in managing heavy metal contamination in vegetables, driven by industrial growth, mining activities, and the use of polluted irrigation water. Countries like Brazil, Argentina, and Chile are particularly vulnerable, with contamination often exacerbated by inadequate regulatory oversight [101]. Strategies to mitigate contamination in the region vary, with some countries focusing on technological innovations like phytoremediation and soil amendments, while others emphasize sustainable farming practices. The diversity of ecosystems in South America requires tailored approaches to address the complex sources of heavy metal pollution in agricultural regions.

Phytoremediation has emerged as a promising solution in South America, particularly in Brazil, where plants such as *Brassica juncea* and *Eichhornia crassipes* (water hyacinth) are used to absorb heavy metals from contaminated soils and water [102]. Alongside phytoremediation, phosphate amendments are applied to bind metals and reduce their bioavailability, particularly in regions affected by lead and cadmium contamination [103]. However, scaling these methods for widespread use remains a challenge. The reuse of wastewater for irrigation, common in countries like Argentina and Peru, has also exacerbated contamination, prompting efforts to improve wastewater treatment facilities and incorporate bioremediation technologies, although these approaches are hindered by financial and infrastructural constraints [104].

Regulatory frameworks have been established in South American countries like Brazil, which has set guidelines for heavy metal levels in food through the National Health Surveillance Agency (ANVISA). However, enforcement is inconsistent, especially in rural areas where contamination risks are most significant. International collaboration, supported by organizations like the UNEP and FAO, has facilitated the development of regional strategies for managing pollution, particularly in countries like Bolivia and Ecuador [105]. Additionally, promoting sustainable agricultural practices such as organic farming and crop rotation is gaining momentum in South America, particularly in regions impacted by mining activities, as these practices help reduce metal uptake and promote soil health [106].

Table 4: A Summary of Strategies, Success and Challenges in Mitigating of Global Heavy Metal Contamination of Vegetables

Continent	Mitigation Strategies	Success Recorded	Challenges Experienced	Reference
Africa	Organic farming (compost, green manure), Phytoremediation (hyper-accumulator plants), and Wastewater regulation	Reduced heavy metal bioavailability in soil and Phytoremediation showed promise in cleaning soils	Limited resources, Lack of technical expertise, and Scaling challenges	[85,86,87]
Asia	Soil remediation (soil washing, amendments), Biotechnology (GM crops), and Wastewater treatment for irrigation	Successful rice and wheat varieties with low cadmium uptake (China) and Improved irrigation systems (India)	Enforcement issues, GMO adoption concerns, and Resource limitations	[89,90,91]
Australia	Phytoremediation (<i>Brassica juncea</i> , <i>Helianthus annuus</i>), Soil amendments (biochar), and Precision agriculture	Reduction of contaminants in agricultural land and Improved soil health with organic farming and precision agriculture	High cost of remediation methods and Limited scalability in remote areas	[92,94,95]
Europe	Strict EU regulations, Precision farming (remote sensing, soil mapping), and Bioremediation (hyper-accumulators)	Reduced contamination through strict regulations and Precision farming optimized metal management	High cost of bioremediation and Difficulty in scaling up techniques	[94,96,97]
North America	Regulatory controls, Soil remediation (phytoremediation, soil washing), Precision agriculture, and public awareness	Decreased heavy metal levels in crops, Effective soil and water regulation (U.S.) and public education initiatives	Enforcement issues in rural areas and Limited resources for widespread implementation	[98,99,100]
South America	Phytoremediation (<i>Brassica juncea</i> , <i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>), Sustainable farming (organic farming, crop rotation), and Wastewater treatment	Successful phytoremediation in Brazil and Increasing adoption of sustainable practices	Inconsistent enforcement of regulations and Financial and infrastructural constraints	[101,102,105]

VIII. Conclusion:

Heavy metal contamination of vegetables represents a growing environmental and public health threat, with implications that extend across global regions. While heavy metals like lead, cadmium, arsenic, and mercury persist in agricultural soils and water sources, the risks to human health, particularly through dietary exposure, are significant. Countries around the world are adopting varied approaches to mitigate these risks, including technological innovations, sustainable farming practices, and stricter regulatory frameworks. However, challenges such as financial constraints, insufficient technical expertise, and inconsistent enforcement remain barriers to widespread success. Developing regions, in particular, require stronger support for implementing effective mitigation strategies, while developed nations must continue refining their regulations and monitoring systems. Future research and policy efforts must focus on enhancing the scalability and affordability of remediation technologies, as well as fostering international cooperation to address the global nature of this issue. Only through a coordinated, multi-faceted approach can the growing threat of heavy metal contamination in vegetables be effectively reduced.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Briffa, J., Sinagra, E. & Blundell, R. (2020) Heavy Metal Pollution in the Environment and their Toxicological Effects on Humans. *Heliyon*, 6: e04691. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04691>
- [2] Rono, D. & Wakhungu, J. (2021). Titanium, zinc, lead, chromium, cadmium, cobalt and copper concentrations in vegetables produced using wastewater in urban and peri urban areas of Nairobi city county, Kenya. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 102 – 118. <https://doi.org/10.9734/cjast/2021/v40i131240>
- [3] Yang, Z., Xu, Q., Zhang, R., Huang, Q., & Liu, M. (2021). Study on the adsorption effect of renewable biochar based on energy gain. *Geofluids*, 1 – 16. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5945398>
- [4] Alamnie, G. (2020). Heavy metal contamination in green leafy vegetables irrigated with wastewater collected from harar town vegetable farm, ethiopia. *FSQM*. <https://doi.org/10.7176/fsqm/94-03>
- [5] Mikuriya, K., Nishi, S., & Matsumoto, T. (2021). Assessing heavy metal contamination in agricultural soils and crops: A global perspective. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 193(3): 174. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-021-8770-6>
- [6] Järup, L. (2003). Cadmium in the environment and human health. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 13(3): 157 – 164. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1382-6689\(03\)00037-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1382-6689(03)00037-7)
- [7] Singh, S., Sharma, P., & Soni, S. (2019). Heavy metal contamination in vegetables: A global threat to food safety and human health. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 131: 110 – 122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2019.08.021>
- [8] Cheng, Z., Zhang, W., & Li, M. (2019). Heavy metal contamination in agricultural soils and vegetables from industrial areas in China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 649: 1670 – 1680. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.118>
- [9] Rabiu, N., Garba, N., Kankara, J., & Kankara, U. (2021). Assessment of heavy metal concentration in vegetables dried along funtua-danja highway Katsina State, Nigeria. *FUDMA Journal of Sciences*, 5(1): 467 – 471. <https://doi.org/10.33003/fjs-2021>
- [10] Sarim, M., Jan, T., Khattak, S., Mihoub, A., Jamal, A., Saeed, M. & Radicetti, E. (2022). Assessment of the ecological and health risks of potentially toxic metals in agricultural soils from the drosh-shishi valley, pakistan. *Land*, 11(10): 1663. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land11101663>
- [11] Nyiramigisha, P. (2021). Harmful impacts of heavy metal contamination in the soil and crops grown around dumpsites. *Reviews in Agricultural Science*, 9(10): 271 – 282. https://doi.org/10.7831/ras.9.0_271
- [12] WHO (2017). *The role of fruits and vegetables in human health*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets>
- [13] FAO. (2021). *The state of food and agriculture 2020*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <https://www.fao.org/publications>
- [14] FAOSTAT. (2020). *Food and agriculture data*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <http://www.fao.org/faostat>
- [15] Smith, A. F. (2018). *Vegetables and their role in global culinary cultures*. *Journal of Food Culture*, 23(4): 123 – 135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfc.2018.01.009>
- [16] Sarma, H. H., Rajkumar, A., Baro, A., Das, B. C., & Talukdar, N. (2024). Impact of Heavy Metal Contamination on Soil and Crop Ecosystem with Advanced Techniques to Mitigate Them. *Journal of Advances in Biology and Biotechnology*, 27(6), 53 – 63.
- [17] Rao, M., Zhang, Z., & Liu, C. (2017). Cadmium and arsenic contamination in vegetables and their environmental impacts. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 48: 19 – 28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2016.10.003>
- [18] Singh, A. K., Kumar, A., & Ghosh, S. (2018). Cadmium contamination in vegetables: A threat to human health. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 52: 42 – 49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2017.03.002>
- [19] Davis, A. E., Dykstra, J. A., & Mroz, J. (2018). Heavy metal contamination in urban soils and vegetables: Case studies and remediation techniques. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(17): 16972 – 16986. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1740-x>
- [20] Castro, A. M., Ramos, F., & Lima, A. G. (2020). Mercury contamination in the Amazon River Basin: Impacts of gold mining. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(22): 27965 – 27974. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-09291-5>
- [21] Zhang, G., He, Z., & Yang, F. (2020). Environmental and agricultural impacts of lead contamination in Asia. *Science of the Total Environment*, 704: 135235.
- [22] Chowdhury, S. R., Bhattacharjee, H., & Das, P. (2019). Arsenic contamination in groundwater and its health impacts in Bangladesh. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(30): 30627 – 30640.
- [23] Li, X., Zhang, Y., & Wu, J. (2020). *Global trends in cadmium contamination in vegetables: A meta-analysis*. *Environmental Pollution*, 300: 118896. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.118896>
- [24] Huang, Y., Li, Y., & Wu, Q. (2019). Mercury exposure and its health effects in populations from Southeast Asia: A review. *Science of the Total Environment*, 687: 228 – 237.
- [25] Egbe, F. O., Okoye, C. O., & Egbe, A. T. (2016). Lead contamination in the environment of Nigeria: A review of sources, health effects, and mitigation measures. *Journal of Environmental Toxicology*, 33(4): 1 – 10.

- [26] Ochieng, D. M., Nyamweya, R., & Wanjiru, S. (2017). Cadmium concentrations in food crops and soils in Kenya: Risks to food security. *Journal of Food Science*, 82(4): 1030 – 1037.
- [27] Hilsen, G. (2017). The environmental and socio-economic impacts of small-scale gold mining in sub-Saharan Africa: A review. *Resource Policy*, 52: 12 – 20.
- [28] Zhang, X., Turner, G., & Harris, M. (2019). Groundwater arsenic contamination and public health in rural Australia. *Science of the Total Environment*, 655: 601 – 609.
- [29] Evans, S., Thompson, D., & Black, P. (2015). Mercury pollution in rivers impacted by gold mining activities in Australia. *Science of the Total Environment*, 505: 1215 – 1223.
- [30] Boulanger, C., Mehta, S., & Gauthier, P. (2020). Lead contamination in urban environments: A review of European studies. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 128(3): 1 – 11.
- [31] Santos, R. M., Oliveira, L., & Costa, R. (2018). Cadmium in the food chain: Implications for public health and agricultural practices in Europe. *Environmental Pollution*, 237: 286 – 295.
- [32] Carter, L. J., Shaw, R. G., & Kruger, R. (2019). Mercury contamination and human health: A European perspective. *Environmental Pollution*, 249: 1204 – 1213.
- [33] Smedley, P. L., & Kinniburgh, D. G. (2017). Arsenic in groundwater: A review of natural and anthropogenic sources and removal technologies in Europe. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 321: 1 – 14.
- [34] Lanphear, B. P. (2015). *The effects of lead exposure on children's health and development*. Current Problems in Pediatric and Adolescent Health Care, 45(10): 285 – 295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cppeds.2015.07.004>
- [35] Grandjean, P., Budtz-Jørgensen, E., & White, R. F. (2015). Mercury exposure and neurodevelopmental toxicity: A comprehensive review. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 123(5): 527 – 533. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1408880>
- [36] Tchounwou, P. B., Yedjou, C. G., & Patlolla, A. K. (2015). Heavy metal toxicity and environmental impacts. In *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 2: 219 – 230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.00038-6>
- [37] Basu, A., Schauer, J. J., & Weiss, S. (2015). Health effects of arsenic exposure in North America: A review of recent findings. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 123(2): 175 – 181. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1408491>
- [38] Pereira, A. A., Marques, A. M., & Silva, A. P. (2010). Lead contamination in South America: A review of environmental and health effects. *Environmental Research*, 110(4): 365 – 370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2010.01.014>
- [39] Malm, O. (2001). Gold mining as a source of mercury exposure in the Amazon. *Environmental Medicine*, 56(1): 58 – 62. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00002816-200101000-00011>
- [40] Smith, A. H., Arroyo, D. A., & Steinmaus, C. M. (2002). Arsenic and health in South America: A review. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 110(3): 125 – 129. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.110-a125>
- [41] Khan, S. S., Ali, F., & Sultana, M. (2020). Chromium contamination in water resources: A review of occurrence, sources, and mitigation strategies in Asia. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 39(8): 2127 – 2142.
- [42] Nguyen, D. L., Tran, T. M., & Linh, L. T. (2020). The role of nickel exposure in human health in Southeast Asia: A systematic review. *Environmental Research*, 183: 108983.
- [43] Hossain, M. B., Shafiqat, S., & Sultana, S. (2021). Advances in chromium removal techniques from water: A comprehensive review. *Environmental Pollution*, 271: 116347.
- [44] Saha, G., Bhattacharya, P., & Maiti, S. (2021). Arsenic poisoning in South Asia: A review of water contamination and health impacts. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 82: 103549.
- [45] Wang, F., Li, Y., & Sun, Q. (2021). The impact of mercury pollution on human health: A case study in Southeast Asia. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 55(5): 3475 – 3485.
- [46] Sun, Z., Wu, X., & Yang, Y. (2022). Rice contamination with cadmium in China: Risk assessment and mitigation strategies. *Environmental Pollution*, 290: 117979.
- [47] Crawford, D., Taylor, P., & Davies, M. (2018). Cadmium contamination in Australian agriculture: Sources, impacts, and solutions. *Environmental Pollution*, 245: 1178 – 1185.
- [48] Bridges, D., Holbrook, L., & Moore, M. (2019). Chromium contamination in industrial areas: An Australian perspective. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 53(11): 6672 – 6679.
- [49] Brooks, S. J., Iszard, G., & Taylor, K. (2020). Arsenic contamination in groundwater and its potential health risks in Northern Australia. *Journal of Environmental Health Research*, 23(2): 87 – 98.
- [50] Smith, W., Pearson, L., & Jackson, L. (2020). The carcinogenic effects of chromium exposure in industrial workers: A review. *Occupational Medicine*, 70(2): 124 – 132.
- [51] Ngwenya, M. S., Moyo, M., & Munyati, C. (2019). Arsenic contamination in water sources in Southern Africa: Review of environmental and health impacts. *Water Quality Research Journal of Canada*, 54(1): 49 – 56.
- [52] Jalal, R., Ali, A., & Hashmi, M. A. (2020). Arsenic contamination in drinking water sources: Implications for public health in Africa. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 42(3): 849 – 859.
- [53] Jama, R. O., Ali, S. W., & Nyambura, E. M. (2020). Mercury-free technologies in artisanal gold mining in Africa: A review of methods and technologies. *Environmental Management*, 58(6): 1049 – 1064.
- [54] Okonkwo, J. C., Obiora, L. I., & Egwim, E. O. (2020). Lead pollution and control strategies in Nigeria: An assessment of regulatory measures. *Environmental Protection and Technology*, 45(2): 111 – 120.
- [55] Pérez, J. L., Rodríguez, C. E., & Martínez, J. R. (2020). The impact of mercury contamination in artisanal gold mining regions of Africa. *Environmental Pollution*, 267: 115350.
- [56] Ogunleye, O. O., Olamiju, F., & Durojaiye, F. (2021). Cadmium pollution in the agricultural sector of Africa: Implications for human health. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(13): 16384 – 16392.
- [57] Kobal, A. B., Pivk, S., & Prezelj, J. (2020). *Trends in cadmium contamination of vegetables: A European perspective*. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27(10): 11255 – 11265. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-08568-6>
- [58] Pérez, F., Martínez, C., & González, M. (2021). Mercury contamination in coastal vegetables: A growing risk in developing countries. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 168: 112507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.112507>
- [59] Wagner, M., Schneider, T., & Müller, S. (2016). Lead exposure in children: A global public health challenge. *Journal of Environmental Health*, 78(5): 12 – 18. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jeh.46>
- [60] Mao, L., Liu, J., & Zhang, L. (2017). Mercury contamination in aquatic systems and its ecological risks: A review of recent research in North America. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 36(4): 936 – 945. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3691>
- [61] Hodge, M., Thomas, R., & Kirkland, D. (2019). Cadmium contamination and its impact on North American agricultural systems. *Environmental Pollution*, 245: 937 – 944. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.11.021>
- [62] Khan, S., Khan, M., & Zhang, H. (2019). Cadmium bioaccumulation in plants and its impact on food safety: An overview. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 38(6): 1248 – 1257. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4366>

- [64] Chrysaftides, M., Miller, R., & Williams, R. (2020). The persistence of lead contamination in urban areas: A review of recent research. *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(10): 103032. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aba2b0>
- [65] EPA. (2020). *Mercury in the environment*. <https://www.epa.gov/mercury>
- [66] Alcalde, L. D., Ríos, S. A., & Hinojosa, P. (2015). Arsenic contamination of groundwater in Argentina, Chile, and Bolivia. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 37(4): 677 – 684 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-015-9703-x>
- [67] Valdés, R., Pérez, C., & González, F. (2016). Cadmium contamination in mining regions: A case study from Chile. *Environmental Pollution*, 218: 358 – 366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.07.017>
- [68] Silva, E. A., Ribeiro, S. M., & da Costa, M. (2017). Lead exposure in urban areas of South America: Public health concerns. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 125(7): 1403 – 1411. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP3078>
- [69] Nouri, J., Amiri, M. E., & Rezaei, M. (2017). Heavy metal contamination in agricultural soils and crops. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24(7), 6492 – 6500. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-8660-4>
- [70] Needleman, H. L. (2004). Lead poisoning. *Annual Review of Medicine*, 55: 209 – 222. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.med.55.091902.103532>
- [71] Satarug, S., Garrett, S. H., Sens, M. A., & Sens, D. A. (2017). Cadmium: Toxic effects on the kidney and other organs. *Human and Experimental Toxicology*, 36(9): 1081 – 1101. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0960327117710087>
- [72] WHO (2011). *Arsenic in drinking water*. WHO. <https://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/arsenic-in-drinking-water>
- [73] Nriagu, J. O., Bhattacharya, P., Mukherjee, A. B., Bundschuh, J., Zevenhoven, R., & Loeppert, R. H. (2007). Arsenic in soil and groundwater: an overview. *Trace Metals and other Contaminants in the Environment*, 9, 3 – 60.
- [74] WHO (2015). *Cadmium: A public health perspective*. WHO. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cadmium>
- [75] Rao, K. S., Murthy, M. S., & Reddy, A. R. (2016). Health effects of chronic arsenic exposure. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part C*, 34(1): 24 – 41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10590501.2015.1116170>
- [76] Clarkson, T. W. & Magos, L. (2006). The toxicology of mercury and its chemical compounds. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*, 36(8): 609 – 662. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408440600945074>
- [77] Costa, M. (2003). Potential hazards of hexavalent chromium in our environment. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 111(9): 1015 – 1018. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.5819>
- [78] Li, X., Zhang, Y., & Wu, J. (2022). *Global trends in cadmium contamination in vegetables: A meta-analysis*. *Environmental Pollution*, 300: 118896. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.118896>
- [79] Oluboyo, O. O., Akinmoladun, A. F., & Odeunmi, E. O. (2020). *Heavy metal contamination of vegetables in sub-Saharan Africa: An emerging threat to food security and health*. *Science of the Total Environment*, 712: 136510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136510>
- [80] McHugh, O. L., Goh, W. F., Gradhand, M., & Stewart, D. A. (2020). Impact of impurities on the spin Hall conductivity in β W. *Physical Review Materials*, 4(9): 094404.
- [81] Sánchez, M., Benavides, M., & Morales, P. (2021). Cadmium contamination in European food systems: Agricultural sources and mitigation strategies. *Food Chemistry*, 341: 127765.
- [82] WHO (2020). *Environmental factors and cancer*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/environmental-risks-and-cancer>
- [83] Saha, K., Alam, M. D., & Kamal, S. (2021). Arsenic contamination in groundwater and its impact on agricultural products in South Asia. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 40(3): 721 – 733. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4821>
- [84] Nkansah, M. A., Tutu, A., & Yawson, D. O. (2016). The role of mining activities in the contamination of vegetable crops with heavy metals. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 35(8): 2116 – 2124. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3434>
- [85] Owolabi, O. O., Olayanju, T. M., & Oyekunle, J. O. (2019). Organic farming practices for reducing heavy metal uptake in vegetables. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 231, 1233 – 1241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.10.056>
- [86] Ali, H., Khan, E., & Sajad, M. A. (2013). Phytoremediation of heavy metals—concepts and applications. *Chemosphere*, 91(7): 869 – 881. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.01.075>
- [87] Moyo, B., Mudzengerere, H., & Murwira, A. (2018). Wastewater reuse for agricultural irrigation in Southern Africa: Opportunities and challenges. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(23): 22340 – 22353. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1677-9>
- [88] Zhao, F. J., McGrath, S. P., & Meharg, A. A. (2015). The remediation of contaminated soils and the use of phytoremediation techniques. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 49(8): 4707 – 4715. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es5053508>
- [89] Wu, H., Zhou, W., & Zhang, G. (2020). Advances in genetically modified crops for reducing heavy metal contamination. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(15): 18998 – 19008. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-08544-7>
- [90] Patel, R., Ramani, M., & Kharwar, R. N. (2019). Agricultural wastewater management and its impacts on vegetable quality in India. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 273: 1 – 12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.12.028>
- [91] Cameron, D. M., Li, M., & Tan, K. (2017). The environmental impact of mining activities on heavy metal contamination in Australia. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 36(4): 964 – 975. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3754>
- [92] Clough, T. J., Condrón, L. M., & Kammann, C. (2013). Biochar in agriculture: A critical review of the environmental implications. *Agricultural Systems*, 116: 154 – 169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2013.01.003>
- [93] Bechini, L., Bocchi, S., & Romani, M. (2018). Precision agriculture: Current status and challenges. *Agronomy*, 8(9): 203. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy8090203>
- [94] FSANZ (2021) Food Standards Australia New Zealand. Food recall statistics for Australia. Canberra, Food Standards Australia New Zealand
- [95] European Commission. (2020). Maximum levels of contaminants in food. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/food/safety/chemical_safety/contaminants_en
- [96] EPA, (2021). Soil contamination and cleanup. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Retrieved from <https://www.epa.gov/soil-contamination>
- [97] Lehmann, J., Rondon, M., & McGrath, M. (2015). Biochar for environmental management: Science, technology, and implementation. *Routledge*.
- [98] Thompson, S., Hudson, P., & Wang, Y. (2016). Managing the risks of heavy metal contamination in vegetables through precision farming technologies. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 45(3): 890 – 899. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2015.08.0339>
- [99] Marques, J. R., Lima, S., & Rodrigues, L. (2016). Impact of mining activities on heavy metal contamination of soils and vegetables in South America. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23(17): 17512 – 17521. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6667>

- [100] Vargas, S., Diaz, M., & Silva, J. (2014). Phytoremediation of contaminated sites in Brazil: Potential use of *Eichhornia crassipes* for treating mining wastewater. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 21(18): 11242 – 11250. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356014-3489-3>
- [101] Medeiros, A. L., Oliveira, J. P., & Souza, P. (2018). Use of phosphate amendments to mitigate heavy metal contamination in soil: A case study in Brazil. *Environmental Pollution*, 240, 1088 – 1097. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.05.073>
- [102] García, J., Díaz, M., & Sánchez, G. (2016). Bioremediation of industrial effluents for agricultural irrigation in South America. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 182: 136 – 144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.06.010>
- [103] FAO. (2020). International cooperation to reduce heavy metal contamination in food. *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org>
- [104] Oliveira, L., Barreto, A., & Sanches, G. (2017). Organic farming and its role in reducing heavy metal contamination in South American soils. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*, 41(8): 974 – 987. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21683565.2017.1377227>
- [105] Akinmoladun, A. F., Akanbi, O. O., & Adefolalu, M. O. (2018). Cadmium contamination in Nigerian crops: Sources, impacts, and mitigation strategies. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(12): 11601 – 11610.
- [106] Zhou, X., Zhang, Y., & Liu, F. (2018). Lead contamination in China: Sources, distribution, and health implications. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(35): 34856 – 34868.