Research Paper

Unveiling Complex Violence: Analyzing Interconnections and Implications in Jodi Picoult's *Nineteen Minutes*

Catherine Lalruatfeli Ralte
Research Scholar, Mizoram University

K.C. Lalthlamuani
Professor, Mizoram University

Abstract: *This paper delves into the intricate web of violence's multifaceted nature and its profound interconnections, drawing inspiration from Jodi Picoult's Nineteen Minutes. The exploration navigates the cyclical dynamics inherent in violence, wherein one manifestation can catalyze the emergence of another. It emphasizes the domino effect within violence, illustrating how an initial act can set off a chain reaction of further forms. The interplay between diverse facets of violence underscores their interconnectedness, leading to an amplification of effects. The narrative underscores the significance of comprehending the intricate bonds between differing modes of violence, highlighting the imperative of adopting a comprehensive approach for intervention. Additionally, this abstract reflects on our discussions regarding the heightened vulnerability of women to falling victim to this complex pattern of violence. By scrutinizing Picoult's narrative and intertwining insights from our dialogue, this abstract contributes to the understanding of violence's multi-dimensional essence, and the necessity of holistic approaches for fostering societal transformation and harmony.*
Keywords: *School Shooting, Violence, Structural Violence, Direct violence, Cultural Violence*

Violence is characterized by the deliberate utilization of force or authoritative influence, with the explicit intent of inflicting harm, injury, or detriment upon an individual or entity. This multifaceted phenomenon manifests across diverse modalities, encompassing physical, emotional, psychological, and sexual dimensions. The perpetration of violence can emanate from singular individuals, organized collectives, or even governmental entities, transpiring within an array of contexts including domestic environments, educational institutions, workplaces, and broader societal domains. The ramifications of violence are profound and enduring, impacting both the target and the perpetrator. Consequences encompass physical afflictions, psychological distress, trauma-induced afflictions, and the potential catalysis of societal and economic adversities within communities. Furthermore, violence frequently engenders a self-perpetuating cycle of reprisals and retaliatory aggression, thereby exacerbating the initial issue at hand.

Galtung (1990), a prominent scholar, extends the conceptual framework of violence to encompass a more elevated perspective. He posits that violence encompasses not only overt physical harm but also encompasses the realm of avoidable insults directed at fundamental human requirements. In a broader sense, such actions reduce the actual attainment of essential needs below their potential level of fulfillment. This definition additionally encompasses the concept of threats as constituting acts of violence. His categorization of fundamental needs involves survival needs, referring to necessities for basic sustenance, well-being needs, encompassing factors contributing to a person's overall quality of life, identity or meaning needs, which are linked to one's sense of self and purpose, and finally, freedom needs, representing the desire for autonomy and agency. He asserts that the deprivation of any of these fundamental needs in itself constitutes an act of violence. In this comprehensive interpretation, violence assumes a more intricate and pervasive role in human interactions, stretching beyond the conventional understanding of direct physical aggression. This expanded perspective underscores the significance of addressing not only overt acts of violence but also subtler mechanisms that hinder the fulfillment of basic human needs and aspirations, ultimately underscoring the complexities inherent in discussions surrounding violence and its manifold implications for individuals and societies. *Nineteen Minutes* by Jodi Picoult explores the aftermath of a school shooting. The story centers

---

around Peter Houghton, a bullied and socially isolated teenager who snaps and opens fire on his classmates at Sterling High School in New Hampshire. The shooting lasts for nineteen minutes, resulting in the deaths of ten people and the injury of many others.

The novel features several important female characters that play a significant role in the story. Firstly, Josie Cormier is a former friend of Peter Houghton and one of the survivors of the school shooting. She is a popular and attractive teenager who struggles with feelings of guilt and shame over her role in Peter's social isolation. Her narrative arc serves to underscore the intricate interplay between societal pressures and peer dynamics, which can engender sentiments of isolation and despondency. Notably, Josie becomes a recipient of direct physical violence through the actions of her erstwhile partner, Matt Royston, who inflicts physical harm, leading to evident contusions on her arm.

This manifestation of violence aligns with Galtung and Fischer's (2013) framework of direct violence, where the perpetrator—termed the subject of violence—intentionally engages in harmful acts targeting another individual. They expound on this construct, emphasizing its intended nature and the harm-inflicting motivations. This conceptualization of direct violence converges with Zizek's (2013) notion of "subjective violence," denoting the conspicuous facet of violence typified by discernible markers, such as criminal deeds and acts of terror, enacted through physical aggression. The portrayal of Josie's experiences and the concomitant analytical framework highlight the multifaceted nature of violence, unveiling the intricate interactions of subjective and direct violence, and their deep-seated connection with the characters' emotional and psychological trajectories.

Furthermore, Josie's encounters also encompass the realm of violence as defined through the deprivation of fundamental human requisites. Within this framework, one of Josie's basic needs—her need for well-being—is systematically denied through the malevolent actions of her previous romantic partner. This calculated deprivation subjects her to experiences of misery and morbidity, instigated by acts of physical aggression coupled with explicit threats.

Galtung and Fischer's (2013) perspective underscores the significance of acknowledging the weight of threats of violence as a distinct manifestation of violence in themselves. They underscore that the mere act of threat constitutes a form of violence, engendering an 'insult to mind and spirit' that catalyzes psychological distortions and engenders a sense of hopelessness due to the ominous shadow of fear.

The lens provided by Galtung and Fischer's (2013) theory allows for a nuanced interpretation of Josie's predicament, wherein her experience of violence transcends the confines of physical harm. It delves into the realm of psychological and emotional distress, unraveling the intricate interplay between the denial of essential human needs, the perpetration of threats, and their lasting implications on her mental and emotional well-being. Her 'identity needs' are also denied when she becomes involved with the popular crowd in their school. Being in the popular crowd forces her to conceal her true desire for friendship with Peter, causing her to alienate him. Here, Josie's 'socialization' is forced, that is, she becomes desocialized away from her true friend, and resocialized into another group of popular friends. Galtung (1990) puts 'desocialization' and 'resocialization' under the category of direct violence.

Josie's ultimate act of shooting and fatally injuring her former partner, Matt, in the story's culmination, can be interpreted as a manifestation of her aspiration to emancipate herself from the shackles of violence as embodied by him. In this context, Matt's actions position him as a potential oppressor who extends Josie a figurative 'opportunity' to surrender herself to his dominance. This is emblematic of a loss of notion of freedom and identity, transcending the specter of physical harm or incapacitation.

Josie's transformation from victim to perpetrator is laden with intricacies. It can be discerned that her actions constitute an endeavor to extricate herself from the cycle of violence that Matt represents, albeit through extreme means. The equation of Josie's actions with liberation from the realm of violence is particularly salient within Galtung's (1990) theoretical framework, which underscores the connection between violence and the curtailment of fundamental freedoms. Moreover, Josie's portrayal as a victim is further accentuated by the pernicious influence of bullying. Her ordeal encompasses derisive behavior from her peers, exemplified through the circulation of unfounded rumors concerning her past relationship with Peter. This aligns with Zizek's (2013) conceptualization of bullying as a form of symbolic violence, predicated on a rudimentary recognition of the target. His framing encapsulates the intrinsic power dynamics inherent to bullying, where the act itself is grounded in the acknowledgement of the other party's existence.

Josie's journey through the story thus embodies the intricate interplay of agency and victimhood, enmeshed within the frameworks of Galtung's notions of freedom and violence, alongside Zizek's (2013) conception of symbolic violence. It underscores the multifaceted nature of her response to her circumstances and the complex motivations that underpin her actions. Josie's experiences also reflect larger issues of systemic violence and inequality within the school system. She attends a school where bullying is pervasive and accepted, and where the administration is largely ineffective in addressing the problem. The systemic violence and direct violence are continually perpetuated by the school culture, termed as 'cultural violence' by Galtung, further contributing to the isolation and alienation felt by the characters. This notion of one kind of violence generating

another kind of violence and so on and so forth results in Galtung's generation of the concept of 'violence triangle'.

Secondly, there is Lacy Houghton who is Peter's mother. Lacy's story navigates the delicate interplay between maternal affection and the weight of responsibility stemming from her inability to detect the subtle cues of her son's internal distress. This thematic progression serves as a focal point for examining the pervasive impact of structural violence, particularly its role in undermining individuals' fundamental needs. Within the story, Lacy's character assumes a crucial role, offering insights into the multifaceted challenges of tending to a child grappling with mental health issues. Her narrative arc sheds light on the intricate balance between nurturing affection and the profound guilt arising from her incapacity to recognize the signs of her son's emotional turmoil. This thematic trajectory functions as a narrative lens, enabling a deeper exploration of the wide-reaching effects of structural violence and how it contributes to the erosion of individuals' basic necessities.

In essence, Lacy's presence in the narrative serves to amplify the complexities inherent in addressing mental health within familial contexts. The exploration of her experiences concurrently serves as an analytical conduit for examining the broader societal undercurrents that perpetuate structural violence and hinder individuals from attaining their 'basic needs' for well-being. This intricate interplay underscores the story's overarching exploration of the pervasive implications of structural violence, manifesting through the lens of Lacy's maternal journey and her interactions with her son Peter.

Hence, Josie's involvement in the act of shooting transcended mere impulsivity, emerging as a consequence intricately interwoven within a broader framework of societal and psychological pressures, constituting a manifestation of structural violence. The narrative embarks on an exploration of the intricate interplay characterizing parent-child dynamics, while also delving into the intricate obstacles confronted by educators and law enforcement personnel in their endeavors to avert instances of school-based violence.

Numerous multifaceted determinants underlie the emergence of direct violence, encompassing aspects such as economic disparity, impoverished conditions, societal marginalization, discrimination, and the availability of weaponry. Mitigating these foundational elements holds the potential to preempt the inception of violence and foster the cultivation of secure and harmonious communities.

The critique of violence manifests in diverse configurations contingent upon contextual and situational variables. Primarily, the assessment of violence's propriety may be directed toward the physical act itself, the motivations underpinning such actions, or the broader societal dynamics engendering violence. This critique operates within a framework encompassing distinct facets of violence, spanning the gamut from direct manifestations to structural and cultural influences.

In scrutinizing the nuanced tapestry of violence, it becomes imperative to recognize its multilayered dimensions encompassing the direct, the structural, and the cultural. These facets intertwine intricately, often engendering a complex interplay wherein seemingly isolated acts of violence resonate through societal undercurrents. Effectively critiquing violence necessitates an understanding of its interconnections and their role in shaping outcomes across these varied dimensions. Criticism of violence may also focus on the underlying causes of violence, such as poverty, inequality, and discrimination. In these cases, critics may argue that addressing these root causes is the best way to prevent violence from occurring in the first place.

Picoult adeptly portrays the intricate web of violence's intersectionality, unveiling its interconnected nature wherein one manifestation of violence can serve as a catalyst for the emergence of another variant. This profound insight underscores the cyclical and self-perpetuating dynamic intrinsic to violence. The narrative unfolds as a testament to the domino effect within violence, elucidating how an initial act of violence, whether it be physical, psychological, or structural, can reverberate through the societal fabric, inducing a chain reaction that begets further forms of violence. This interplay highlights the entwined relationship between various facets of violence, often resulting in an amplification of its effects. An act of physical aggression, for instance, can spiral into psychological distress, perpetuating cycles of harm. Similarly, structural inequities can contribute to the breeding ground for various forms of violence, establishing a context wherein violence thrives and perpetuates itself.

Notably, women stand particularly susceptible to becoming victims of this intricate pattern of violence. The intersections of gender-based discrimination, societal norms, and power imbalances can amplify the impact of violence on women. Instances of violence directed at women, whether overt or subtle, can cascade into a series of subsequent harms, including psychological trauma, societal exclusion, and economic disempowerment.

According to Franzak and Noll (2006), when violence becomes deeply embedded in the fabric of a culture or society, it often emerges as an accepted norm or an inherent component of daily life. This normalization occurs when violence is not critically examined, questioned, or challenged. Through her portrayal, Picoult underscores the imperative of comprehending the intricate bonds between differing modes of violence, recognizing that addressing violence necessitates a comprehensive approach that transcends isolated manifestations. By recognizing violence as a multifaceted and interconnected phenomenon, interventions can be

tailored to disrupt the cycle at its various junctures, fostering the potential for sustainable transformation and the eventual cultivation of a more harmonious societal landscape.

References

[1]. Franzak, J., & Noll, E. (2006). "Problematizing Violence in Young Adult Literature". Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy, 49(8), 662-672.

[2]. Galtung, J. (1990). Cultural Violence. Journal of Peace Research, 27(3), 291-305. https://www.jstor.org/stable/423472

[3]. Galtung, J., & Fischer, D. (2013). Johan Galtung: Pioneer of Peaceresearch. Springer.

[4]. Picoult, J (2007). Nineteen Minutes. Hodder

[5]. Žižek, S. (2008). Violence: Six Sideways Reflections. Picador.