



Research Paper

# How to Improve Cognitive Learning Through Gamification In Education

Malavika Ajith

Master of Technology in Embedded Systems, School of Electronics Engineering  
Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, Tamil Nadu, India

Prof. G Anburaj

Assistant Professor of English, School of Social Sciences and Languages  
Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, Tamil Nadu, India

---

## Abstract

Gamification in education involves game design elements to improve cognitive learning by engaging students in interactive and motivating by including key features such as adaptive difficulty levels, interactive simulations, team-based challenges, badges, and diverse engagement which allow students to participate and encourage problem-solving actively. This approach promotes knowledge-building, critical thinking, and creativity. Gamified learning enhances motivation through goals, challenges, and rewards, and a variety of activities keeps students engaged, allowing them to learn in a way that suits them. One of gamification's strengths is its adaptability to each student's abilities, offering personalized support for both slower and quicker learners. Ultimately, gamification makes learning more enjoyable and helps students gain a deeper understanding of subjects, building their confidence and motivation to keep learning and fostering long-term cognitive growth. Motivation, engagement, and self-efficacy are the main outcomes explored, as they contribute to a stronger grasp of concepts. This paper provides a framework for assessing the impact of gamification in educational settings.

**Keywords:** Adaptive difficulty, Cognitive learning, Simulation, Problem-Solving, Rewards, Personalized learning.

Received 04 Nov., 2024; Revised 14 Nov., 2024; Accepted 16 Nov., 2024 © The author(s) 2024.

Published with open access at [www.questjournals.org](http://www.questjournals.org)

## I. Introduction

Gamification in education involves applying game design concepts and aspects to educational settings which turns conventional learning environments into dynamic, interesting learning experiences. This method makes use of games' ability to motivate people to improve student cognitive learning. The lectures can create a dynamic learning atmosphere by including elements such as points levels and rewards giving rise to active participation and better understanding of the concepts taught. Additionally, feedback provided in gamified learning allows students to make it easier for them to change their plans and improve their learning. This approach supports personalized learning and improves focus, memory retention, and overall academic environments. Moreover, gamification involves critical thinking and problem-solving skills which make students face difficult problems that require them to make conclusions and solve problems. These activities stimulate cognitive processes such as reasoning, planning, and decision-making. Gamification not only improves participation and problem solving but it also encourages personalized learning. This way, learners struggling with their learning will get the help and support they need; however, if you can endure more, you will be given some challenging tasks. Personalized self-paced learning where students are shuffled to make sure they do not get bored, or stressed and understand at a root query level making it easier for them in deep and progress on their own. Motivation is the key factor in learning and gamifications help in increasing both intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. Intrinsic motivation arises when students learn things on their own because they find it interesting and enjoyable. This can be boosted by offering tasks, quizzes, and challenges that are fun and stimulating. And as the students progress through each level this motivates their desire to keep learning. At the same time, extrinsic motivation involves rewards such as points, badges, or leaderboards that give students to succeed in their goals. Many gamified platforms adjust with the individual learner's pace and learning style, offering challenges that are neither too easy

nor too difficult. That way, everyone is at the level where they are challenged but never crushed. Gamification also makes the students more growth-minded and pushes them from their comfort zone. The difficulty is to develop gamified experiences that fit both the entertainment and educational contexts.

### **Problem Statement**

In traditional educational methods, students often face challenges in sustaining their level of interest and motivation which limit the growth of cognitive skills such as critical thinking, problem-solving, and memory retention. There should be a need for innovative teaching methods that align with the student's interests and learning preferences where students are more involved in the digital world. Gamification has the potential to transform the educational experience by increasing student motivation and having a deep understanding of the concepts. However, the challenge is how to effectively design and implement gamified learning experiences to improve cognitive learning and for entertaining purposes. Especially teachers need to determine which gaming mechanisms such as rewards, challenges, or feedback are most effective in improving cognitive skills and how to tailor the experience to meet the diverse needs of learners. This research aims to explore best practices for integrating gamification into educational environments to improve cognitive learning. It identifies the specific game elements that are most successful in promoting critical thinking, memory retention, and problem-solving and outlines strategies that educators can use to apply these techniques to support educational objectives and improve overall learning outcomes.

### **Research Gap**

Most studies on gamification focus on short-term improvements and there is only limited research on the long-term effects of gamification in education. It is unclear whether cognitive benefits observed in gamified learning experiences last longer in skill development. Another research gap involves a balance between intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in gamification where researchers need to explore different reward structures to support a deeper learning approach. There is also a gap in how gamification works in different cultures and socio-economic. For example, students coming from resource-limited environments may find it difficult to access technology and this affects gamified learning. From all these, one of the most important gaps lies in teacher training, where teachers may lack technical skills leading to uneven implementation. A game-induced anxiety or discouragement among low-performing students because very little has been studied about how this could impact emotional and social learning, with elements like competition, tasks, and team-based missions that could play on a few children's nerves. Therefore, further research is required on the potential influences of mental and social health-related aspects for students in gamified learning.

## **II. Literature Review**

Gamification has been studied and implemented in ancient military training such as in ancient China, Sun Tzu used it, and in Russia, A.V. Suvorov, M.I. Dragomirov, A.A. Svechin, G.S. Isserson, and many others reinforced the idea that "Train hard, fight easy."

In business and staff training gamification became popular later, focusing on increasing engagement, efficiency, and recruitment. Nowadays, gamification affects the creation of a comfortable learning environment that attracts more and more foreign and domestic scholars. Researchers have explored their interest in behavioral therapy for improved task adherence (Hall, 2004) and integrating gaming principles into people's mindsets across age groups (Retyunskikh, 2003), playing methods of canvassing customers (Popov, 2006), the problem of recruitment and management of Generation "Y" (Nemkov, 2013), and complex attraction of the game in the business processes (Zickermann & Lynder, 2014), game thinking in marketing, innovation, and motivation of employees, the prospects of learning and use in the labor process of "millennials" who have overdependence on the game (Werbach & Hunter, 2015). There poses a separate question of gamification of the educational process in universities, the creation of a favorable learning environment, and getting professional knowledge, and skills. This aspect has started to rise mainly in the 21st century. Several scientists review the effectiveness of such method of gamification in education as a game-storming (Gray, Brown & Macanufo, 2012), elements of coaching in the training of personnel (Chulanova, 2012, Zaitseva et al, 2015, Olkhovaya, 2016), for the raising of efficiency of educational process Fuzzy-approach for the composition of various training scenarios are applied (Hovakimyan, Sargsyan & Ziroyan, 2014, Vinogradova et al, 2016), gamification of the educational establishment during the grading (Vinichenko et al, 2016, Kirillov et al, 2015), gaming technologies in the creation and advance of students' time-management; coping with stress in the professional life (Barck-Holst et al, 2015, Zaitseva et al, 2016), the use of computer technology (Veretehina, 2015). Moreover, in the field of education, there is a search for standardization of the moral aspects, including gamification. Taking into account the advantages of gamification in the learning process, the Russian State Social University (RSSU) experimented to identify the nature of the gamification effect on the learning environment.

### **III. Result Analysis**

#### **Adaptive Difficulty Levels**

The whole idea of adaptive difficulty in gamification has completely changed the way we look at education especially when it comes to students who are on different levels. In a normal classroom, the teacher teaches in a way that fits everyone, and some students are left behind, and some feel that they are not challenged. Gamification, being flexible and responsive, allows for an individualized learning experience because it tailors the difficulty of the task to the performance of the student. This active process keeps the student interested, determined, and able to study without the pressure of some sort of academic assignment that either kills them with boredom or completely overwhelms them. Each student's learning is experienced by his/her needs which could create an atmosphere that encourages both intellectual development as well as emotional health.

Adaptive difficulty is the idea that learning should be neither easy nor too tough. If the students are constantly faced with trivial busy work, they will become bored and apathetic. If the assignments are too difficult then the students will become very frustrated, anxious, and not want to do anything. A balance between these two extremes must be found to keep interest and facilitate learning. This problem can be easily rectified by adaptive difficulty which constantly monitors a student's progress and makes appropriate difficulty levels. For example, if a student is struggling with a particular concept, he/she can be given with same concept in a simpler format helping them to grasp things easily. On the other hand, the students who catch on right away should be given more difficult problems to keep them interested.

This type of system not only helps the single student but the entire classroom atmosphere. With a group of mixed abilities, it is difficult for teachers to cater to every student's needs. Automatic differentiation (like a teacher's aide) is provided through the adaptive level of difficulty in the gamified environment. That way teachers can do more intricate teaching and know that every student is getting a good challenge. That way there's no stigma of being a "slow learner" or a "fast learner" since everyone's path is tailored to how they're doing, which makes it a much more accepting and supportive learning experience.

This dynamic difficulty also encourages a growth mindset in the students, that is, mistakes are not failures, but learning experiences. In traditional grading systems, mistakes are often penalized, which can create anxiety and discourage students from taking risks. However, gamified systems with adaptive difficulty scales respond immediately to the student's actions. For example, if a student answers a question incorrectly, then that student can get a hint. This allows the student to see their errors and fix them before advancing to more difficult assignments. This is like scaffolding in learning, where the teacher slowly removes the crutches and allows the students to learn and know.

Additionally, the ability for students to choose their difficulty level within a controlled environment empowers them with a sense of autonomy. Many of the adaptive gamified systems allow the student to choose when they're ready to do the more advanced problems, or if they need to practice on the basics. This lets them absorb at their rate, and that's good for people who might feel too bombarded or pressured. At the same time, the system adjusts based on their performance which ensures that they are progressing in their learning. The balance between independence and authority is such a crucial element to the sense of competence because the student is encouraged to stretch themselves, yet not to the point of utter frustration.

Adaptive difficulty allows those with learning disabilities or special educational needs an easier route to learning. While in a traditional classroom, it is impossible to provide different types of learning in gamification it is possible to design based on their performance. For example, a student with dyslexia finds it easy when tasks that focus more on visual learning or problem-solving rather than reading assignments. Gamified systems make it possible for all learners to be actively engaged simply by changing the level of difficulty and the manner of presentation. This makes for a more inclusive classroom where students of all levels can feel successful. As well as the fact that adaptive difficulty provides a feeling of accomplishment which is necessary in keeping motivation alive for the long run. In gamified learning, students get daily rewards for their progress these can either be personal or master goals of certain concepts so that students have some idea of how they are progressing. This immediate feedback allows students to gain confidence. In normal education, success is usually measured through exams and assignments but in gamification, students can experience success every day.

#### **Interactive Simulations**

Interactive simulations in education are the wave of the future, they take all the theoretical ideas and apply them to lively, realistic situations. This approach completely blows memorization out of the water because the student gets to experience feel learn and use these sometimes-abstract theories first-hand. But once students can witness these abstract theories acted out on simulations, then they have a much better comprehension of the

subject which of course affects how much they learn. Instead of having the students simply memorize meaningless information, they are learning, so they are much more likely to remember the information and be able to apply it to problems.

One of the best things about interactive simulations is that they make learning more interesting. In a traditional classroom, the students are required to take information from books or lectures but in simulations it allows the students to do something with the material. This hands-on experience retains the student's attention and allows for full commitment to the learning experience. It makes the student want to learn the material instead of just memorizing the information to regurgitate it back on a test when they get to see the theoretical concepts applied in a virtual world. This kind of learning promotes curiosity and a better understanding of the subject because the students get to see how these theories apply to real-life situations.

Challenges in interactive simulations are an important part of gamified learning. Many educational games have challenges that are designed to specific goals that the student must achieve to move on, these challenges include finding patterns, solving problems, and completing tasks to the next level. By having these goals in place, it gives the students something to strive for, it gives them a purpose to stay on track and be motivated. It can be earning rewards, conquering some extra hard challenge, or even learning new material, but these things keep the students interested and give them that feeling of accomplishment. Also, interactive simulations have the problem that the challenges increase gradually. The assignments become more challenging as the students advance through the levels to encourage intellectual development. By gradually increasing the difficulty level, students are continually challenged, but never feel overwhelmed, and this balance allows for continual engagement without frustration. That kind of mental exercise is so important in the development of the student's ability to think. Case solving (in simulations for example) asks the student to examine data, choose, and try different approaches. This kind of learning is not just about memorizing facts but getting the students to think about how to use the information they have learned.

One other advantage of interactive simulations is that they allow group learning. Many simulations and educational challenges are designed to be completed in teams, which encourages students to work together to solve problems. This type of cooperation encourages socialization, and more importantly, develops teamwork and communication skills. As the world becomes more and more connected the ability to work well on teams grows in importance. With interactive simulations, students get to apply these skills in a realistic setting and therefore they are better prepared for future group projects in college, as well as group projects in the workplace. One strong point of interactive simulations is the immediate feedback they offer. In a normal classroom, students would have to wait for a teacher to grade their papers or test to see how they did but in interactive simulations, they provide immediate feedback to the student based on the decisions and actions that they have taken. And the immediate feedback lets the students know exactly what they did wrong or right, so they know what to do or not to do. And therefore, students can change, and improve their errors, and experiment with their approaches to reaching their goals. This process of learning through trial and error is the one that is very useful in allowing students to gradually build skills and knowledge.

The instant feedback along with the immediate chance to try again helps to keep the students interested, and more likely to keep trying. Students are more likely to stay focused and learn if they see immediate results and rewards from their actions. Another is that if they can play well and advance in the simulation, then they feel as if they have accomplished something. The cycle of challenge, feedback, improvement, and then another challenge, is a very involving learning experience that makes the student want to go further, to improve. Interactive simulations can also serve to motivate students as well because they can provide rewards for progress. It's something about being able to move on to the next level unlock new stuff or earn points, but that reward shows the student's work. This encourages the students to not only continue to learn but also feel as though they have achieved something. Also, the reward system in simulations can be set up to reward the learning of important concepts so that students will want to learn those concepts to get the rewards.

### **Team-based challenges**

The gamified learning experience has many team challenges that are great because it allows the students to work together and communicate, and it builds up their skills. If students are all working towards a common goal (solving a problem, a project, or some kind of milestone) then they will want to learn, grow, and improve to succeed with their group. This team-oriented method is a group activity to increase cooperation and responsibility. Doing this not only encourages active participation but also helps students develop essential life skills such as teamwork, communication, and collaboration skills.

When it comes to a team mission, many times the tasks are divided among the different members of the team and each student gets to do what they are good at and what they are capable of doing. Students are also able to learn from their teammates because they all have something different to offer. Working with peers also gives the students an idea of what they are going to be like when they are working in a group and how they can best contribute to the goal at hand. Plus, during this process, students also get to see the strong points and what everyone else has to offer, so they learn more about each other, and respect each other's abilities.

Gamified learning often includes competition in the form of leaderboards or prizes for the completion of assignments. With these elements combined in team competition games, the students feel a sense of healthy competition that drives them to try harder not only for themselves but for their group as well. Friendly competition can make students outperform their level and ability to push the boundaries but since this is a team competition and not individual, it makes the students want to help each other out so that they can reach their goals together. This collaborative spirit not only enhances individual performance but also teaches about the importance of teamwork as success is dependent on each person.

The good thing about team contests is that it makes every person on the team feel responsible. Every student has an assigned part or job so they know that if they don't pull their weight, then the entire group will suffer. This feeling of responsibility makes the students feel as if they are playing a part and makes them want to become involved in the learning process. This also allows them to build time management and organizational skills because if they don't get their part done then they hold up the whole team. It is all this responsibility that makes the students more accountable for the subject and makes them better students because if they don't do their part, they know that they are letting their group down. The other kind of challenge is the team challenges, which also help the students build some good relationships with the other students as well. Moreover, friendship that works towards a common goal shares a common identity. These social networks are not only beneficial in enhancing the learning process but are also very conducive to emotional health. Students will be more motivated and confident of their capabilities if they feel they are part of a certain supportive team. Not only that, but the friendships and social connections made through these team-oriented contests last a lifetime and help students develop a sense of belonging.

One of the most significant features of team-based challenges is the ideas and perspectives that students bring. When students work in groups everyone brings their unique thought process and way to solve a problem. Variety in thinking leads to creativity and new ways to solve problems. Students realize and even appreciate the fact that everyone thinks differently when they state their own opinions and listen to others, and this is very important when it comes to cooperation. This exposure to viewpoints teaches students that there is often more than one way to approach a problem and that solutions can be enriched through open-mindedness and collaboration. Plus, it lets the students do some group challenges so that will help them learn how to communicate better, resolve conflicts, learn how to lead, etc. The students need to be able to communicate with each other, share ideas, and work out any problems that will inevitably occur for them to accomplish their mission. This kind of experience helps the students learn how to work through group problems and how to settle disputes constructively. And not to mention leadership skills are acquired during these team building exercises because most of the time the students are forced to take control delegate duties and manage their team to the completion of the mission. Students need to learn how to lead and how to follow, and that is why they are required to do group work here, and they will have to do it in the future. The fact that the team challenges deal with real-life problems that require imagination and analysis. Not only does it let the students think "outside the box" but it forces them to be innovative, thus they develop problem-solving skills that can be used outside of the classroom. With team challenges, everyone can think and then feed off of each other's thoughts, and it ends up much more effective and well-rounded. Cooperative problem-solving improves the students' creativity and shows them that it sometimes takes cooperation to accomplish certain goals that they cannot accomplish alone.

### **Badges in Gamification**

Badges are an important part of gamification because they provide not only a reward but also recognition for a student's accomplishments. Not just some digital tokens, but badges are an emblem of pride and honor, something that will motivate the students to do better and reach for higher achievements. The confidence that students develop through earning badges makes them more motivated in their learning, while also creating a positive mindset towards education. With the addition of badges to the learning atmosphere, the educational experience becomes more interactive and goal-oriented where accomplishments are not only seen but felt and the student is encouraged to go further. Badges will be a type of achievement for students to earn by completing an assignment, learning a new skill, or mastering some kind of knowledge. That feeling of achievement lets the student know that what they're doing is paying off. Badges are marked as an achievement and once they are achieved it encourages students to invest more in learning. For instance, a student who earns a badge for mastering

a math concept feels more confident in their ability to tackle more challenging material. This cycle of achievement develops a positive learning attitude as students are motivated to study.

One of the advantages of using badges in education is their role in goal setting. The badges give students a specific goal to strive for which allows them to set and achieve learning. This sense of direction is a vital component in the motivation process because students have concrete goals to work for, and can see their progress as they work towards these goals. Badges make the students feel like they are progressing and that encourages them to want to do more. When a student earns a badge, it reinforces the behaviors and efforts that led to success, encouraging them to apply the same strategies to earn additional badges. That way it creates a type of "vicious cycle" of achievement being the motivator for further knowledge and self-development. Badges also tap into students' natural competitive instincts. The competitive element of gamified learning is particularly effective when leaderboards or ranking systems are introduced alongside badges. This kind of friendly competition can spur students to outperform their peers and themselves, pushing them to constantly improve their skills and knowledge. In competitive settings, students are incentivized to go above and beyond what is required to earn badges and, in the process, develop a deeper connection with the learning material. Badges themselves serve as an outside acknowledgement of a student's accomplishments, but in turn, create a drive within the student to do better.

An additional motivator within the badge system is the use of incremental rewards. Not only for the completion of the entire or overall goals but badges can be given out for smaller accomplishments or daily practice. For instance, students could earn a badge for doing certain things every day, like working a certain number of problems, or for simply conquering some kind of challenge. This allows for the frequent reward of the student, which in turn keeps their interest because they know if they put the effort in, they will be rewarded for their hard work. This keeps the learning process flowing because the student is always encouraged to keep up the work.

Badges can also be used in gamified learning to encourage a growth mindset. When students have difficulties, they tend to look at these difficulties as a way of bettering themselves instead of just a stumbling block. These badges are there to remind the students that hard work and perseverance are the ingredients to success. This kind of thought transition is imperative if one is to persist in the long run in schooling, for it will help build a sense of hardiness and the willingness to endure suffering. Instead of feeling discouraged by their failures, students with badges learn that failures are just steps along the way to success. Badges not only reward individual achievement but also promote teamwork and cooperation through group badges. In a cooperative learning environment, badges could be given to students. These pins are for groups and are awarded to the effort of everyone on the team and they are to motivate students to cooperate to reach the same goal. When the student is working for these group badges it allows them to learn to work as a group and they can also learn communication skills because whatever they know will contribute to the group to participate and share their thoughts and ideas, and work off one another to figure things out. Therefore, these group badges in a way create a sense of common identity and friendship, and without this unity, there can never be a cooperative learning experience. The fact that badges are like a form of recognition and that fosters a healthy learning atmosphere. When other students walk around with badges students feel like they have to do more work to earn a badge. This then causes a domino effect, when other students see other students succeeding, they in turn will want to reach their goals as well. Thus, the badges promote a culture of continual improvement, an environment where learning is rewarded and students are always trying to improve themselves.

### **Diverse Engagement**

Learning approaches used in gamification offer a rich learning chart, animation, sound tools, and learning pathways to assist students when handling information. By use of gamification, there is an opportunity for the different modes of learners such as visual, auditory, reading; writing, and independent learners to be able to learn on their own. This combination of interactive and interesting components not only makes learning more available but also lets the students monitor their progress, establish goals and remain motivated for the entirety of the learning experience. And for the visual learners out there, gamified learning offers a wide variety of graphics like charts, animations, etc. It's those little things that make the information so much easier to digest because they are broken down into more manageable pieces. Many visual learners benefit from some sort of spatial organization of information since they can see the connections and patterns that exist between various ideas. In a traditional classroom, you may give diagrams or flow charts, but in gamification, it goes to the next level where actual animations and features illustrate ideas on a live basis. The charts behind these are further modified depending on the performance of students to add another level of flexibility and interactivity to the learning process to enable students to see and redetermine their progress.

Gestures and feedback in the form of animations also help a lot in retaining the attention of learners especially when dealing with conceptual lessons which is best explained in a science, Mathematics, or history class. For example, using animations, it is possible to illustrate how an ecosystem operates, how the performing

of a sequence of operations in Mathematics alters the equation, or even how history occurred. By animating these processes, the students get a much better understanding of how this stuff works, and they'll remember it and be able to use it so much better.

However auditory learners would benefit extremely benefitted by sound-related aspects in gamified atmospheres. Auditory learning styles are supported through verbal instructions, role-playing scenarios, and the inclusion of auditory feedback or prompts. These things enable the auditory learner to take in information aurally, and therefore become more involved with the subject. Like podcasts or lectures on gamified kind of things that have the students listen to the information, or interactive role-playing games with spoken parts that put the students in situations where they are more likely to understand the material and not just for the kinaesthetic learners, those who learn best by reading and writing can also be taught through gamified learning. As such, these learners benefit from course activities that involve reading or even taking notes. It also assists with this type of learning style such as quizzes, puzzles, and other text-based activities that involve the student to bend written information. For example, tests that involve requiring students to read a passage and answer questions, or complete writing assignments afford reading and writing learners the ability to process content familiarly.

Games that require the student to jot down key ideas or thoughts also support the learning process. Some forums allow students to have like a journal online where they can type their notes or track their progress or just reflect on what they have learned. These are the kind of activities that appease the student who has to "write" about the subject to fully grasp the concepts, but still be able to connect with the material. Independent learners, who prefer working at their own pace without external pressure, can greatly benefit from personalized learning environments offered by gamification. A lot of gamified platforms give students individualized challenges and levels that they can go through on their own, so they can advance as they are able and as they see fit. This method removes the stress of competition with peers, or the stress of a set schedule, which is very attractive to the self-motivated learner. With adaptive difficulty levels, these platforms can tailor the content to the learner's current skill level, ensuring that they are neither overwhelmed nor under-stimulated. Independent learners can thrive in such environments where they are free to explore content, set their own pace, and challenge themselves without external stress. This includes the ability to advance to new levels, earning badges, and immediate feedback, which provides an even greater incentive to keep these learners interested in the material. Additionally, the variety of material presented on gamified sites appeals to different types of learners, so students can select the method of learning that is most comfortable to them. With videos, interactive games, quizzes, puzzles whatever gamification just makes it so that all students have some way to learn that appeals to them. For example, videos provide a dynamic, audio-visual experience that can cater to both visual and auditory learners, while quizzes and puzzles encourage cognitive engagement through problem-solving, appealing to students who prefer more structured tasks.

In gamified learning environments, interactivity encourages active participation in the information. For instance, interactive games can simulate real-world situations, allowing students to practice skills in a controlled, risk-free environment. They are games that require critical thinking and decision making and also a little creativity which are three very important things in both school and real life. Interactive games are especially helpful for the kinaesthetic learner, the person who learns best with hands-on activities and needs to actually feel, or physically interact with the material.

#### **IV. Discussion on the Results**

The use of gamification in learning environments has produced both qualitative and complex impacts with an overall enhancement of the learning experience among learners. The most revealing finding is the improvement in the interaction and interest demonstrated by learners is a result of gamification. A major challenge that traditional instructional models face is that they fail to capture student's attention and interest which causes the students to fail to show interest in learning. On the other hand, the strategy of gamification is closely connected with the use of points, badges, and leaderboards, as well as interactive challenges in a stimulating learning environment. While this method simply enchants students it also implores the encouragement of performance from intrinsic factors. Whenever learners get some incentives and get into the credit books, likewise they develop a personal phase in them, thus encouraging the learners to get into the content. This change from external motivation to self-motivation is significant is essential to engage students and motivate them to assume responsibility for their learning processes.

In addition, the use of the game increases the rate of comprehension of the information with ease and the ability to retain it. Through the use of games, instructions, information and concepts are given in as many ways as there are learners: through sight, hearing, reading/writing, and through touch/feeling thus capturing every learning type. For instance, kinaesthetic learners get to experience animated objects as well as perform a 'click and zoom' on

charts, while those who learn through audio use audio cues such as verbal instructions and podcasts. This multiple approach enables learners to first assimilate the content using their multiple intelligences, thus enhancing their ability to understand the content better. Studies prove that active learning improves students' ability to recall information over traditional formats because of its use in forms of teaching that are more creative. Also, most of the time, incorporation of applicable scenarios is made in the game, where new theory is applied practically. Such a type of learning enhances comprehension of the material and allows for an awareness of the applicability of what is being learned to real life.

However, the use of gamification also enables the development of other appropriate skills tackled beyond classrooms. The games allow the student to develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills through a game-based learning environment. Games allow learners to work through, plan, and act on a course of action, and this improves their thinking abilities. For instance, group problem-solving and group quizzes and brainteasers help enhance the students' understanding of group processes. Such experiences not only help to develop academic abilities but also help students become ready for the current real world and its challenges as for the process skills that are important for the modern working environment, such as cooperation, flexibility, and problem-solving.

Finally, it should be mentioned that the use of gamification has a positive impact on the development of the students' academic and cognitive performance as well as providing them with friends and encouraging group work and communication. Division of activities into teams fosters communication, understanding of other points of view, and cooperation within the group, students can also become friends. This makes teamwork all the more advantageous for honing social skills in particular, and overall personality in general since the latter is so important in one's day to day. The feeling of with-ness that is created from teamwork in pursuit of group objectives is very healthy to nurture seat and promote the well-being of a classroom by providing a platform whereby each subject suspects the other, and can see the value of the other's effort in the achievement of common goals. Another objective consequence of gamification is the possibility to address the issue of individualization of the learning process. Each of the given games or sections of the program is characterized by an adapted level of difficulty so that learners work at their own pace. It also engages learner autonomy to manage their learning process by meeting their different needs as they go about a course. For example, learners who may need more time or buyers may review basic principles without fear of being left behind, or learners who can master concepts quickly may solve other complex problems. This not only contributes to high learning satisfaction among the student but also assists in developing confidence and competence while learning from material that is on the level of the particular student. Besides, other benefits associated with gamification include that participants also embrace the growth mentality. When stars of learning are translated towards the side of elements that are difficult to learn as opportunities, this indicates that learners will be more receptive to embracing errors as being on the route to success. Such a change of attitude encourages every learner to be resilient and ready to take some risks, which is so important to lifelong learning. Thus, the feedback, which is constant in the processes using gamified approaches, helps the student orient in the learning process and make the right choices in the learning activity. As with their actions get feedback instantly, and the learners tend to modify their strategies, well resulting in improved learning and mastery of skills.

### **Unexpected findings**

Although gamification has proven to be quite successful in the classroom, some surprising conclusions arose from the experiment. One of the most unexpected findings was the possibility of dependence on extrinsic rewards (i. e. badges, points, leaderboards, etc. to the point where the students cared more about getting rewards rather than learning the material. The only problem with this is that it often kills the students' natural interest in the subject for the sake of extrinsic motivation, so the students would be more focused on the mechanics of the game than on actually learning. Another unexpected finding was highly competitive across different student groups where gamification worked well for high-achieving students, some students who are less competitive struggle with self-confidence especially if their ranks are lower. That demonstrated the importance of balancing the competitive nature so that everyone feels included and encouraged not just the top performers. There are also cases where technical issues become a problem especially students coming from limited resources found it difficult to access the technology fully. Apart from these findings, gamification has great potential to enhance cognitive learning, its success depends on thoughtful implementation and adaptation to various learning environments.

### **Scope for further research**

However, most of these applications have measured short-term engagement and motivation while long-term effects on learning and academic performance remain to be highly researched. Although gamified learning environments seem to promote initial interest and motivation, it is unknown whether these environments promote long-term retention of knowledge or whether these environments truly lead to better grades in a variety of subjects. A further space remains in examining the applicability for different segments of students, such as by socio-economic background and learning ability to determine whether the success of gamification in higher education



extends to cultural differences or technology access. Future research will also examine whether subjects became over-reliant on rewards. Lastly, teacher training and the scalability of gamified environments can be the focus of further research. Knowing how teachers can effectively create and apply gamified lessons in various budgetary limitations, will allow gamification to be more widely spread throughout schools.

## V. Conclusion

To sum up, the use of gamification in learning space has been established as an effective model to foster teaching and learning to meet the satisfy the needs of the learners and enrich the process. Through adding points, badges, leaderboards, and various interactive challenges gamification maintains an environment of sheer interest and engagement which gradually triggers the desire of the students to engage actively within their learning paths. What might be seen as the proverbial ‘kids these days paying more attention in class than our generation’ is not a superficial increase in attention but an increase in attention correlated with better academic performance marked by better memory and understanding of coursework. In this way, initially created formats help students process the necessary material as comprehensively as possible – visually, audibly, or through manipulation of objects – which is useful for developing an effective learning strategy with complex material. Additionally, learning through games also fosters skills unrelated to academic achievements, as well. Each problem-solving challenge and collaborative interaction that is assigned translates into the improvement of the critical thinking skills, creativity, and teamwork that are essential in the global society of the twenty-first century. Creating a shared purpose of cooperation in the classroom creates a strength of learner community for them to embrace each other, appreciate each other’s views, and work towards a common goal. This inclusion of social interaction not only improves the learning results but also helps build the emotional and social competence of the learners essential for success of learners in today’s society.

Also, in light of the above-discussed risks of gamification in education, it is important to locate its benefits. Such an approach to learning automatically enables students to assume responsibility for their education. It means that by letting learners move at the pace that is comfortable for them and select the tasks they are ready to complete, gamification responds to individual wants and requirements. This independence develops ownership in their learning and knocks down barriers as the student’s set targets for themselves. The fact that, at its base, it is adaptive, means that all students, at their respective learning levels and rates, are made to think and progress, further making students realize that learning is not a one-size-fits-all situation. Another hopeless area of focus in gamification is the ability to encourage a growth mindset among students. The fact that it’s fun to fail and that challenges are presented as opportunities for fun and learning in turn leads to fewer students avoiding mistakes. Such a paradigm change prepares students for the future, making them prevent failure and learn how to take risks, all of which are vital for learning enthusiasts. The real-time feedback also nurtures this belief system in a gamified environment, which lets the students reconsider their actions immediately. Since learners develop higher self-efficacy as they achieve through persistence, their motivation is improved as they face other tasks.

## References

- [1]. Agarwal, R., and Karahanna, E. 2000. "Time Flies When You're Having Fun: Cognitive Absorption and Beliefs About Information Technology Usage," *MIS Quarterly* (24:4), pp. 665-694.
- [2]. Armstrong, M. B., and Landers, R. N. 2017. "An Evaluation of Gamified Training: Using Narrative to Improve Reactions and Learning," *Simulation & Gaming* (48:4), pp. 513-538.
- [3]. Azevedo, R. 2015. "Defining and Measuring Engagement and Learning in Science: Conceptual, Theoretical, Methodological, and Analytical Issues," *Educational Psychologist* (50:1), pp. 84-94.
- [4]. Baard, P. P., Deci, E. L., and Ryan, R. M. 2004. "Intrinsic Need Satisfaction: A Motivational Basis of Performance and Well-Being in Two Work Settings," *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* (34:10), pp. 2045-2068.
- [5]. Bandura, A. 1977. "Self-Efficacy: Toward a Unifying Theory of Behavioral Change," *Psychological Review* (84:2), p. 191.
- [6]. Bandura, A. 2006. "Guide for Constructing Self-Efficacy Scales," *Self-efficacy beliefs of adolescents* (5:1), pp. 307-337.
- [7]. Banfield, J., and Wilkerson, B. 2014. "Increasing Student Intrinsic Motivation and Self-Efficacy through Gamification Pedagogy," *Contemporary Issues in Education Research* (7:4), pp. 291-298.
- [8]. Barata, G., Gama, S., Jorge, J., and Gonçalves, D. 2014. "Identifying Student Types in a Gamified Learning Experience," *International Journal of Game-Based Learning* (4:4), pp. 19-36.
- [9]. Baxter, R. J., Holderness Jr, D. K., and Wood, D. A. 2016. "Applying Basic Gamification Techniques to IT Compliance Training: Evidence from the Lab and Field," *Journal of Information Systems* (30:3), pp. 119-133.
- [10]. Bhattacharjee, A., and Premkumar, G. 2004. "Understanding Changes in Belief and Attitude toward Information Technology Usage: A Theoretical Model and Longitudinal Test," *MIS Quarterly*, pp. 229- 254.
- [11]. Black, A. E., and Deci, E. L. 2000. "The Effects of Instructors' Autonomy Support and Students' Autonomous Motivation on Learning Organic Chemistry: A Self-Determination Theory Perspective," *Science education* (84:6), pp. 740-756.
- [12]. Broer, J. 2014. "Gamification and the Trough of Disillusionment," *Mensch & Computer 2014- Workshopband*.
- [13]. Broudy, H. S. 2017. "Types of Knowledge and Purposes of Education," in *Schooling and the Acquisition of Knowledge*. Routledge, pp. 1-17.
- [14]. Buckley, P., Doyle, E., and Doyle, S. 2017. "Game On! Students' Perceptions of Gamified Learning," *Journal of Educational Technology & Society* (20:3), pp. 1-10.
- [15]. Burke, M., and Hiltbrand, T. 2011. "How Gamification Will Change Business Intelligence," *Business Intelligence Journal* (16:2), pp. 8-16

- [16]. Chandra, S., Srivastava, S. C., and Yin-Leng, T. 2012. "Cognitive Absorption and Trust for Workplace Collaboration in Virtual Worlds: An Information Processing Decision Making Perspective," *Journal of the Association for Information Systems* (13:10), pp. 797-835.
- [17]. Chentanez, N., Barto, A. G., and Singh, S. P. 2005. "Intrinsically Motivated Reinforcement Learning," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pp. 1281-1288.
- [18]. Csikszentmihalyi, M. 1990. "Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Performance." New York: Harper and Row.
- [19]. Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., and Warshaw, P. R. 1992. "Extrinsic and Intrinsic Motivation to Use Computers in the Workplace 1," *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* (22:14), pp. 1111-1132.
- [20]. Dawson, K. P. 1992. "Attitude and Assessment in Nurse Education," *Journal of Advanced Nursing* (17:4), pp. 473-479.
- [21]. de Sousa Monteiro, B., Gomes, A. S., and Mendes Neto, F. M. 2016. "Youubi: Open Software for Ubiquitous Learning," *Computers in Human Behavior* (55), pp. 1145-1164.
- [22]. de-Marcos, L., Domínguez, A., Saenz-de-Navarrete, J., and Pagés, C. 2014. "An Empirical Study Comparing Gamification and Social Networking on E-Learning," *Computers & Education* (75), pp. 82-91.
- [23]. de-Marcos, L., García-López, E., García-Cabot, A., Medina-Merodio, J.-A., Domínguez, A., MartínezHerráiz, J.-J., and Díez-Folledo, T. 2016. "Social Network Analysis of a Gamified E-Learning Course: Small-World Phenomenon and Network Metrics as Predictors of Academic Performance," *Computers in Human Behavior* (60), pp. 312-321.
- [24]. Deci, E. L., and Ryan, R. M. 1980. "The Empirical Exploration of Intrinsic Motivational Processes," in *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*. Elsevier, pp. 39-80.
- [25]. Deterding, S., Sicart, M., Nacke, L., O'Hara, K., and Dixon, D. 2011. "Gamification. Using Game-Design Elements in Non-Gaming Contexts," CHI'11 extended abstracts on human factors in computing systems: ACM, pp. 2425-2428.
- [26]. Dichev, C., and Dicheva, D. 2017. "Gamifying Education: What Is Known, What Is Believed and What Remains Uncertain: A Critical Review," *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* (14:1), pp. 1-36.
- [27]. Ding, L., Kim, C., and Orey, M. 2017. "Studies of Student Engagement in Gamified Online Discussions," *Computers & Education* (115), pp. 126-142.
- [28]. Ding, L., and Orey, M. 2018. "An Exploratory Study of Student Engagement in Gamified Online Discussions," *Computers & Education*.
- [29]. Dweck, C. S. 1999. *Self-Theories: Their Role in Motivation, Personality, and Development*. psychology press.
- [30]. Elliot, A. J. 1999. "Approach and Avoidance Motivation and Achievement Goals," *Educational psychologist* (34:3), pp. 169-189.
- [31]. Filsecker, M., and Hickey, D. T. 2014. "A Multilevel Analysis of the Effects of External Rewards on Elementary Students' Motivation, Engagement and Learning in an Educational Game," *Computers & Education* (75), pp. 136-148.
- [32]. Fitz-Walter, Z., Johnson, D., Wyeth, P., Tjondronegoro, D., and Scott-Parker, B. 2017. "Driven to Drive? Investigating the Effect of Gamification on Learner Driver Behavior, Perceived Motivation and User Experience," *Computers in Human Behavior* (71), pp. 586-595.
- [33]. Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., and Paris, A. H. 2004. "School Engagement: Potential of the Concept, State of the Evidence," *Review of educational research* (74:1), pp. 59-109.
- [34]. Frost, R. D., Matta, V., and MacIvor, E. 2015. "Assessing the Efficacy of Incorporating Game Dynamics in a Learning Management System," *Journal of Information Systems Education* (26:1), pp. 59-70.
- [35]. Galbis-Córdova, A., Martí-Parreño, J., and Currás-Pérez, R. 2017. "Higher Education Students' Attitude Towards the Use of Gamification for Competencies Development," *Journal of E-Learning & Knowledge Society* (13:1), pp. 129-146.
- [36]. Goel, L., Johnson, N. A., Junglas, I., and Ives, B. 2011. "From Space to Place: Predicting Users' Intentions to Return to Virtual Worlds," *MIS Quarterly* (35:3), pp. 749-A745.
- [37]. Greene, B. A. 2015. "Measuring Cognitive Engagement with Self-Report Scales: Reflections from over 20 Years of Research," *Educational Psychologist* (50:1), pp. 14-30.
- [38]. Greene, B. A., Miller, R. B., Crowson, H. M., Duke, B. L., and Akey, K. L. 2004. "Predicting High School Students' Cognitive Engagement and Achievement: Contributions of Classroom Perceptions and Motivation," *Contemporary educational psychology* (29:4), pp. 462-482.
- [39]. Hamari, J., and Koivisto, J. 2013. "Social Motivations to Use Gamification: An Empirical Study of Gamifying Exercise," *ECIS 2013 Completed Research*.
- [40]. Hamari, J., Koivisto, J., and Pakkanen, T. 2014a. "Do Persuasive Technologies Persuade? -a Review of Empirical Studies," *International conference on persuasive technology*: Springer, pp. 118-136.
- [41]. Hamari, J., Koivisto, J., and Sarsa, H. 2014b. "Does Gamification Work?--a Literature Review of Empirical Studies on Gamification," *System Sciences (HICSS)*, 2014 47th Hawaii International Conference on IEEE, pp. 3025-3034.
- [42]. Hamari, J., Shernoff, D. J., Rowe, E., Coller, B., Asbell-Clarke, J., and Edwards, T. 2016. "Challenging Games Help Students Learn: An Empirical Study on Engagement, Flow and Immersion in Game-Based Learning," *Computers in Human Behavior* (54), pp. 170-179.
- [43]. Hamzah, W. M. A. F. W., Ali, N. H., Saman, M. Y. M., Yusoff, M. H., and Yacob, A. 2015. "Influence of Gamification on Students' Motivation in Using E-Learning Applications Based on the Motivational Design Model," *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning* (10:2), pp. 30-34.
- [44]. Handelsman, M. M., Briggs, W. L., Sullivan, N., and Towler, A. 2005. "A Measure of College Student Course Engagement," *The Journal of Educational Research* (98:3), pp. 184-192.
- [45]. Hanus, M. D., and Fox, J. 2015. "Assessing the Effects of Gamification in the Classroom: A Longitudinal Study on Intrinsic Motivation, Social Comparison, Satisfaction, Effort, and Academic Performance," *Computers & Education* (80), pp. 152-161.
- [46]. Henning, M., Hagedorn-Hansen, D., and von Leipzig, K. H. 2017. "Metacognitive Learning: Skills Development through Gamification at the Stellenbosch Learning Factory as a Case Study," *South African Journal of Industrial Engineering* (28:3), pp. 105-112.
- [47]. Hew, K. F., Huang, B., Chu, K. W. S., and Chiu, D. K. W. 2016. "Engaging Asian Students through Game Mechanics: Findings from Two Experiment Studies," *Computers & Education* (92), pp. 221-236.
- [48]. Huang, X., and Mayer, R. E. 2018. "Adding Self-Efficacy Features to an Online Statistics Lesson," *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, p. 0735633118771085.
- [49]. Kankanhalli, A., Taher, M., Cavusoglu, H., and Kim, S. H. 2012. "Gamification: A New Paradigm for Online User Engagement," *ICIS 2012 Proceedings*.
- [50]. Keller, J. M. 2009. *Motivational Design for Learning and Performance: The Arcs Model Approach*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [51]. Khan, A., Ahmad, F. H., and Malik, M. 2017. "Use of Digital Game Based Learning and Gamification in Secondary School Science: The Effect on Student Engagement, Learning, and Gender Difference," *Education & Information Technologies* (22:6), pp. 2767-2804.

- [52]. Kuh, G. D. 2009. "What Student Affairs Professionals Need to Know About Student Engagement," *Journal of College Student Development* (50:6), pp. 683-706.
- [53]. Kyewski, E., and Krämer, N. C. 2018. "To Gamify or Not to Gamify? An Experimental Field Study of the Influence of Badges on Motivation, Activity, and Performance in an Online Learning Course," *Computers & Education* (118), pp. 25-37.
- [54]. Lambert, J. 2017. "An Examination of the Relationship between Higher Education Learning Environments and Motivation, Self-Regulation, and Goal Orientation," *Technology, Instruction, Cognition & Learning* (10:4), pp. 289-312. Lamborn, S., Newmann, F., and Wehlage, G. 1992. "The Significance and Sources of Student Engagement," *Student engagement and achievement in American secondary schools*, pp. 11-39.
- [55]. Landers, R. N. 2014. "Developing a Theory of Gamified Learning: Linking Serious Games and Gamification of Learning," *Simulation & Gaming* (45:6), pp. 752-768.
- [56]. Landers, R. N., and Armstrong, M. B. 2017. "Enhancing Instructional Outcomes with Gamification: An Empirical Test of the Technology-Enhanced Training Effectiveness Model," *Computers in Human Behavior* (71), pp. 499-507.
- [57]. Landers, R. N., and Landers, A. K. 2014. "An Empirical Test of the Theory of Gamified Learning: The Effect of Leaderboards on Time-on-Task and Academic Performance," *Simulation & Gaming* (45:6), pp. 769- 785.
- [58]. Lee, H., and Doh, Y. Y. 2012. "A Study on the Relationship between Educational Achievement and Emotional Engagement in a Gameful Interface for Video Lecture Systems," *Ubiquitous virtual reality (isuvr), 2012 International Symposium on IEEE*, pp. 34-37.
- [59]. Liu, D., Li, X., and Santhanam, R. 2013. "Digital Games and Beyond: What Happens When Players Compete?," *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems* (37:1), pp. 111-124.
- [60]. Liu, D., Santhanam, R., and Webster, J. 2017. "Toward Meaningful Engagement: A Framework for Design and Research of Gamified Information Systems," *MIS quarterly* (41:4).
- [61]. Looyestyn, J., Kernot, J., Boshoff, K., Ryan, J., Edney, S., and Maher, C. 2017. "Does Gamification Increase Engagement with Online Programs? A Systematic Review," *PLoS ONE* (12:3), pp. 1-19.
- [62]. Lowry, P. B., Gaskin, J. E., and Moody, G. D. 2015. "Proposing the Multimotive Information Systems Continuance Model (Misc) to Better Explain End-User System Evaluations and Continuance Intentions," *Journal of the Association for Information Systems* (16:7), pp. 515-579.
- [63]. Lowry, P. B., Gaskin, J. E., Twyman, N. W., Hammer, B., and Roberts, T. L. 2013. "Taking "Fun and Games" Seriously: Proposing the Hedonic-Motivation System Adoption Model (Hmsam)," *Journal of the Association for Information Systems* (14:11), pp. 617-671.
- [64]. Luzzo, D. A., Hasper, P., Albert, K. A., Bibby, M. A., and Martinelli Jr, E. A. 1999. "Effects of Self-Efficacy Enhancing Interventions on the Math/Science Self-Efficacy and Career Interests, Goals, and Actions of Career Undecided College Students," *Journal of Counseling Psychology* (46:2), p. 233.
- [65]. MacKenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M., and Podsakoff, N. P. 2011. "Construct Measurement and Validation Procedures in Mis and Behavioral Research: Integrating New and Existing Techniques," *MIS quarterly* (35:2), pp. 293-334.
- [66]. Midgley, C., Maehr, M. L., Hrada, L. Z., Anderman, E., Anderman, L., Freeman, K. E., and Urdan, T. 2000. "Manual for the Patterns of Adaptive Learning Scales," *Ann Arbor* (1001), pp. 48109-41259.
- [67]. Nel, D., van Niekerk, R., Berthon, J.-P., and Davies, T. 1999. "Going with the Flow: Web Sites and Customer Involvement," *Internet research* (9:2), pp. 109-116.
- [68]. Ngan, O. M. Y., Tang, T. L. H., Chan, A. K. Y., Chen, D. M., and Tang, F. M. K. 2017. "Blended Learning in Anatomy Teaching for Non-Medical Students: An Innovative Approach to the Health Professions Education," *Health Professions Education*.