



Research Paper

## Personalized Learning

V.G Aasish

School of Electronics Engineering  
Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, Tamilnadu, India.

Prof. G. Anburaj

Assistant Professor of English  
Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, Tamilnadu India.

---

### Abstract

This paper focuses on strategies for personalized learning, resources, and implementation practices adjusted for multiple types of learners. As individualized approaches gain importance in educational institutions, so has understanding how to adapt learning experiences effectively become more the rule than the exception. This paper elaborates and discloses existing knowledge about personalized learning, some of the chief strategies emphasized being that of technology integration, data-driven decision-making, and culturally responsive teaching. This highlights that the level of access to personal resources, which is a part of critical aspects of what teachers do to make such experience become possible, is equitable. It also shows some loopholes with the present practice along with areas where research is yet to be done, such as long-term impacts of personalization and if socio-economic factors have any impact on personalization or not, and the need for new methods of assessment. Addressing the various dimensions discussed in this paper will lead to a more all-encompassing framework of education with every learner empowered to enhance their engagement and motivation so that it leads to better academic outcomes.

**Keywords:** Diverse Learners, Adapted Strategies, Vocational Education, Personalized learning, Encompassing framework, Standardized Education.

Received 01 Nov., 2024; Revised 09 Nov., 2024; Accepted 11 Nov., 2024 © The author(s) 2024.

Published with open access at [www.questjournals.org](http://www.questjournals.org)

### I. Introduction

In the highly diverse learning environment, personalized learning is emerging as the transformative approach that serves the unique needs of every learner. This methodology coordinates education with the strength, choice, and learning style of every learner, making the learning environment more inclusive and effective. Optimizing a Personalized Learning Environment calls for searching for strong strategies, proper resources, and best practices relevant to its application, especially among learners from diversified backgrounds. Meaningful educational outcomes are achieved through effective personalized learning methods. Examples of these techniques include differentiated instructions, adaptive learning technologies, and collaborative projects. With these techniques, learners will never get bored because they are challenged at their level of competency. Additionally, the processes help faculty members focus on different learning goals for individual students while utilizing multiple assessment strategies to support the journey of each learner. Resources play a significant role in enabling personalized learning. It enables edutainment platforms and tools that provide tailored content, timely feedback, and tracking mechanisms to empower students to take control of their own learning. A system for providing all kinds of resources such as interactive simulations, multimedia, and online courses could be focused on learners' preference for different learning and awarded in return with a more diverse educational experience. Without sound planning and continuous innovation in practice, success in implementing personalized learning will not be possible. High-quality designs of personalized learning require educators to have knowledge, such as designing personal experience for learners that is aligned with curriculum standards and in sync with the diverse needs of every student. In this sense, educators should be able to delineate strong learning objectives, data-driven insights on progress, and adapted strategies at various levels of instruction. After all, the effort of optimizing personalized learning is a collective one that depends on whether

educators, administrators, and other stakeholders are willing to do their best. With proper strategies, the right means, and an improvement in the best implementation practice, we can create an environment in schools in which all learners grow regardless of their background or style of learning. This method works towards knowledge remediation, but still readies each learner to reach their full potential amidst the ever-changing face of the world.

### **Problem Statement**

The acknowledged benefits of personalized learning in meeting the diverse needs of students, however, different educational institutions commonly identify several major challenges in its effective implementation. One major problem is the lack of standardized strategies that allow a variety of learning styles and backgrounds to vary educationally. Also, there is a lack of training and resources among educators in designing and delivering personalized learning, which leads to missed opportunities for engaging and developing students. Most importantly, whatever software is available to support personalization in education at the moment cannot properly serve the learning needs of all learners-many of whom have diverse abilities or language proficiency-in accessing good quality educational opportunities or closing gaps in achievement. The challenge becomes even more complex as the whole exercise becomes a continuous assessment and adaptation of personally learned plans that require professional skills, grounded in data-driven insights, and continuous professional development among the teaching force. Optimizing personalized learning without an obvious framework will make most students vulnerable to missing out on the personal tailored experiences that are required to foster academic growth and their motivation toward studying. It will be important, therefore, to explore best practices in resource allocation and practice of implementation for personalization to better improve learning for all. Overcoming barriers to effective personalized learning may help educators and stakeholders lead to a fairer and more potent educational environment that will empower every student to succeed.

### **Research Gap**

Despite the much hype created towards personalized learning, the literature still has huge gaps in successful realization in the lives of diverse learners. For example, very few comprehensive studies have been done in order to assess the influence of different personalized learning strategies on students with special needs, English language learners, and students from various socio-economic backgrounds. In fact, there is much discourse over the availability of mass resources and technologies that seem to support personalized learning. Still, there is less research about how these tools are used in practice, or how effective they can be in actually realizing educational outcomes. Teachers constantly complain of overwhelming support, because they have too many choices without adequate guidance on how to choose and effectively implement the best among them. It has also understudied professional development needed to be adopted by educators for effective personalized learning. There are certain training programs undertaken, but very few assessments are made into how those support the teachers to implement personalized practices effectively. There is a scarcity of longitudinal studies that analyze long-term impacts of personalization on student engagement and motivation toward learning as well as impact on their achievement. It is necessary to address such gaps in the literature to design a sound structure for optimizing personalized learning in varying educational settings for maximum success among students.

## **II. Literature Review**

The study confirms that the students of the present generation are not in an impressionable state as much as the previous generation when it comes to standardized education services. Personalized learning approaches, including critical technology and project-based learning, are proven effective methods that can prompt students to become more engagingly satisfied. The approaches are helpful both for students and educators in the practice of vocational education and it also suggests institutional structures, curriculum analysis, and guidelines for implementation of personalized strategies. It, however, underlines the necessity for a model which interweaves multiple teaching strategies and encourages further research in the interrelation between student motivation and personalized learning outcomes. Finally, studies should include the facilitating role of the teacher to create interactions between students and intelligent learning systems. Under this respect, personalized learning is of great importance as it forms a natural process influenced by the individual's own experiences, cognitive factors, as well as background cultures and it has been confirmed that adaptive and personalized learning have become an essential paradigm in educational technology. The results drawn attention to the benefits of incorporating personality traits into adaptive learning environments while outlining growing interest in Educational Data Mining (EDM) and Learning Analytics (LA). Despite the growth in policy attention, study findings indicate that there is a need for educators and researchers to be engaged by meeting the challenges and collaborating in designing strong personalized learning models responsive to individual needs. The study requires further research and collaboration among experts to establish stable and unified personalized

learning systems. Finally, the conclusion implies a further push toward more articulate definitions and holistic frameworks to advance the effectiveness of personalized learning as technology continues to evolve. The evolution scenario of personalized learning in the backdrop of intelligent education, with special emphasis on its value within the dramatically rapid advancement made by artificial intelligence. It provides in-depth elaboration on what the concept of personalized learning is, what drives it, theory and practice, and how human abilities can be developed. It has been delineated which datasets are so widely used and has focused on cognitive diagnostics, on learning analytics, and on methods of personalized recommendation. These further sub-divides personal recommendations into course, exercise, or learning path recommendations, demonstrating how these findings regarding cognition might impact learning support for specific students. It also provides a methodological framework for the assessment of personalized learning, where there is evidence from many applications and platforms. However, it raises further challenges in data privacy, ethical considerations, and explainability of the models. Ahead, the review elaborates on the anticipation of new technologies and large data models that may bring innovations for personal learning to be even better. It caters to both academics and practitioners toward a holistic understanding of personal learning and encourages on-going research and discussion in the field.

### **III. Result Analysis**

#### **Effective Methods and Strategies in Personalized Learning**

Personalized learning has taken on as the new-fangled approach to education that caters to the different needs and preferences of the learner. One of the many methods is known as differentiated instruction, where teaching approaches can be adjusted to conform to various learning styles, abilities, and interests in order to accommodate all students appropriately while engaging them in learning. The content, processes, and products can be designed based on the needs of the students so that every single student is learning something new and is appropriately challenged. Another equally important learning strategy is competency-based learning, in which students are expected to progress based on their own capabilities. They progress only if they prove mastery over specific skills or concepts. This manner allows for much more room to individualize trajectories since students spend more time over problems, they find difficult and accelerate through areas where they are easy for them. The class setting must also be flexible. Creating a variety of settings in the classroom, which will meet various activities such as group work, independent study, or one-on-one instruction would make for a more personalized learning experience by allowing for varying needs and requirements from students to be met, thus creating an even more exciting learning atmosphere. Because technology integration is one of the most important personalization options, the utilization of adaptive learning platforms and learning management systems provides the use of personalized resources and feedback. These resources gather data on the performance of the students and offer content based on it; this means that the learning path will be way more unique. Encouragement to set goals builds a feeling of ownership and responsibility through self-directed learning. When learners set personal goals for themselves, they are more likely to be motivated and engaged in the educational process. One important factor in personalized learning involves regular assessment and feedback. Running formative assessments, providing timely feedback, and constantly evaluating the progression of students allows educators to immediately make all necessary adjustments in instruction and provision of resources to meet individual needs. Collaborative learning is also pretty effective in that it allows learners to learn from one another. Group works and discussions can support individual strengths while offering occasions for social learning, thus ultimately enhancing the general learning experience. Finally, culturally responsive teaching makes the learning relevant and interesting with the inclusion of students' cultural backgrounds and experiences in the curricula. This approach, in turn, welcomes difference and encourages a conducive place in the learning environs. All these excellent methods and strategies can ensure the personalization of the learning process, directed towards the unique needs of all learners and building engagement, motivation, and eventual success.

#### **Addressing Diversity and Individual Needs in Personalized Learning**

In this regard, one of the most basic building blocks of personalized learning is that it responds to differences in student diversity as well as individual needs. That every student is taught based on their different circumstances is a fundamental aspect. One core area that needs to be acknowledged is the different forms of learning styles around the classroom. Students learn best in different ways; some require more visual activity, and others would do better with auditory or kinesthetic activities. Embracing these diverse teaching approaches can help create a more inclusive classroom environment for all these differences. Another significant one is socio-economic and cultural origin. Such factors impact a learner's educational experience and involvement rates. For instance, students from under-resourced backgrounds might face obstacles regarding their capability to actively participate in class activities. Teachers are expected to be aware of these challenges, and it thus becomes their duty to establish conducive environments with fair access to resources such as technology, learning material, and extracurricular activities. Another thing that comes with individualized learning is

integrating students with special needs. Here, teachers are mandated to design particular education plans, which are commonly referred to as IEPs, for the identification of explicit goals and accommodations unique to the needs of each student. Some differentiated instruction methods and specialized equipment along with collaborative support from specialists can provide a chance for equal opportunities for students with disabilities. Building a relationship with the students is also required in addressing the individual needs of each student. When the educators take the time to discover students' interests and their strong and weak points, they will be able to provide a friendly and trusting environment. This type of relationship building helps students clearly state their needs and stand up for themselves, which is very important for growth. Assessment should be continuous, thereby providing effective responses to the diversity. Using formative assessments and feedback mechanisms, the educator would identify every area in which the learner might need support and devise different teaching strategies. The approach makes instruction responsive to the changing needs of each learner and, in turn, encourages continuous improvement and involvement with learning. Thus, a more holistic approach will be required to address diversity and individual needs under the concept of personalized learning. Different learning styles, socio-economic and cultural contexts of the students, and special needs support tailored to each need must therefore be placed among the strategies of the educators who foster these relationships with individual learning needs that also result in utilizing ongoing assessment. Such commitment ensures that engagement and motivation are enhanced among learners besides effectiveness in academic success.

### **Resources and Tools for Enhanced Learning**

Resources and tools play a crucial role in the concept of personalized learning to efficiently deliver an engaging and effective educational experience. One very significant category of resources is that of digital learning platforms, including Khan Academy, Google Classroom, Edmodo, among various interactive content and even allow teachers to prescribe a lesson that may fit a student's needs. These platforms will provide students with a vast amount of learning material and permit students to learn at their pace, discover more about topics that interest them, and gain insights that could otherwise be missed. Another significant tool in personal learning is adaptive learning technology, which measures the understanding of a student through data analytics and, based on the assessment, changes the task difficulty accordingly. These programs like DreamBox and IXL adapt in real-time to provide immediate feedback and targeted exercises that meet each learner's proficiency at the moment; this approach to personal learning will bridge some knowledge gaps and reinforce learning through targeted practice. Multimedia resources, such as videos, podcasts, and interactive simulation, also contribute to learning personalization. Such materials offer diverse learning preferences, which make complicated ideas more understandable and engaging. For instance, instructional videos might help the visual learner, while the auditory learner might prefer it in podcasts. With a wide array of multimedia, not only is the experience richer, but the students are also kept motivated and interested. Collaborative tools can also foster communication among students and team work. For instance, utilizing all of Microsoft Teams, Zoom, and Slack, group projects and discussions may be done without regard to location. These tools encourage peer learning and enable the student to seek and consult diverse viewpoints-one of the more important things in a personalized learning environment. The comprehensive arrangements of learning management systems also support personalized learning. The LMS platforms allow teachers to curate course content, track student progress, and provide timely feedback in one spot. These tools, therefore, aid in streamlining administrative functions so that more time is devoted to personalized instruction. Last is the use of community resources and partners. This can be very effective in personalizing learning. Schools are most likely to engage other organizations such as libraries, museums, businesses among others for partnerships. These can provide real experiences that will be imbued into the experience of students. Such experiences open avenues for experience and experiential learning through internships and mentorship, and for this reason, the students will be able to apply the knowledge and there are many types of resources and tools are available for enhancing personalized learning. A digital learning platform, an adaptive technology, a multimedia resource, a collaborative tool, a learning management system, or even a community partnership each contributes to a more personalized and challenging learning environment. By employing these resources properly, educators can better attend to the needs of individual students and, in so doing, support all learners toward success.

### **Goal Setting and Progress Assessment**

The core element of personalized learning is empowering the students with taking control over their own learning journey. When students set their own learning objectives, they become even more involved in pursuing their own success and achievement toward definite outcomes. This begins with determining individual strengths, weaknesses, and interests as a basis for which to formulate meaningful goals that are achievable. Being able to set short-term and long-term goals will lead learners to clearly and know which way to go, in which direction they want to go, giving them a reason for moving in that specific direction. Definition of expectations helps in maximizing success more effectively. Teachers can assist students in defining the

expectations through the SMART criteria: specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound in guiding students of what their goals should be. Instead of merely assisting the student in writing down her aspirations, such a framework would guide her to assess her progress. Working towards the set goals, the student would monitor her achievements, review her learning processes, and make necessary adjustments. Like the objective, progress assessment is very significant in personalized learning as it helps teachers know whether the students are achieving their set objectives. These include frequent formative assessments, which include quizzes, submission of projects, and reflective journals that inform the teacher about the progression of students. At times, progress assessment may identify strengths and weaknesses. These may be the areas where a teacher will need to alter some teaching strategies. In return, timely feedback is also critical, for it offers students actionable information that guides their learning and encourages growth. Other steps include self-assessment and peer assessment. Self-assessment makes the students aware of their learning process. It compels the students to look back at what they have learned in a period of time and take the responsibility to learn themselves. Peer assessment enforces collaboration by promoting interaction and exchange of perspectives among learners and providing constructive feedback as well. All of these make a learning-friendly atmosphere; it makes students more confident in sharing their thoughts and experiences. technology can help in setting goals and reporting and monitoring the student's progress. Digital media and platforms facilitate tracking individual goals, real time feedback, and inform data-driven instructional decisions. Using such avenues may allow educators to create an increasingly dynamic and responsive learning experience that is individually adapted to every student's needs. personalized learning requires effective setting and reviewing goals for progress. This can be achieved by involving the students in the goal-setting process, clear success criteria establishment, regular assessments, and incorporating technology as an aid. In this way, supportive conditions for academia can be created so as to make the students take ownership and succeed.

### **Improving Implementation of Personalized Learning**

In order to leverage personalization effectively, it has to be implemented well. The key steps are comprehensive professional development for educators and readying all teachers to adopt personalization approaches. A large number of teachers are not ready to incorporate personalization methods mainly due to a lack of education. It is, therefore possible that teaching skills and confidence could also be improved through workshops or training sessions focused on more personalized instructional strategies and ongoing support to instill a variety of skills in educators. Another significant aspect of successful implementation is the encouragement of a collaborative culture in schools. The facilitation of teamwork among educators allows them to share the best of practices, resources, and insights concerning personalized learning. PLCs help in the facilitation of such collaboration between teachers, who then gain the opportunity to discuss issues meaningfully, view each other's practices, and co-develop personalized learning strategies. Such an environment would enhance efficacy among the teacher's body while creating a cohesive approach toward student-centered learning. Students and parents also should be involved in this personal learning process. The teacher needs to elicit the opinions of what the students would like to learn and what they really feel enthusiastic about so that the plan will be personalized so that they find this learning meaningful and exciting. Involving the parents in goal setting and discussing helps create a support system which provides reinforcement in this personalized learning experience outside the school setting. Educators working in collaboration with their learners and families may have a more holistic education approach. The proper technology can significantly enhance learning for the individual. The help of adaptive learning systems and analytic tools teaches us the outcome of students' performance to adjust instruction accordingly. Through technology integration by teachers, they can offer real-time feedback to their learners, learn about gaps, and make adjustments in their methodologies to support each learner better. Educationists must, however, learn and socialize these students regarding the use of the right tools for these technologies. It is very important in ensuring that the implementation of personalized learning will be effective is through assessment and reflection. Ongoing evaluation of the effectiveness of personalized strategies continues to emerge through data analysis and feedback from both students and educators. Educators can identify from there what works and what doesn't and make all necessary adjustments in enhancing the personal learning experience by establishing a culture of reflection. Improving the implementation of personalized learning requires professional development that is comprehensive, collaboration among educators, student and parent involvement, effective use of technology, and focus on continuing assessment. Therefore, these areas would be addressed in educational institutions to create an environment that effectively and inclusively caters to the various needs of every student through personalized learning.

## **IV. Discussion on results**

It can be observed that a paramount factor for the success of the personalized learning policy is the alignment of all three factors: institutional structures, teacher training, and technology use. But those schools adopting a structured approach with the involvement of stakeholder feedback and piloting in initiatives have

shown greater student engagement and achievement. However, the common issue that was prevalent had to do with a lack of proper training and resistance to change. Such factors highlight the need for ongoing professional development as well as a changeable culture that encourages innovation. Thus, effective methods of goal setting and assessment proved equally important. Instructing educators also who involve students in goal-setting found greater ownership and motivation among these students. Adaptive assessment tools facilitated tailored paths of learning and timely interventions for struggling students. There were diverse kinds of sources available and ways they were deployed to access to a range of resources also meaningfully influence personal learning experiences, with more kind of digital tools used seeing more access to content however underlines the importance of providing equalized access to technology from differences in the availability of resources between socio-economic context. The students' needs and diverse backgrounds were resolved using culturally responsive teaching methodologies, which increased their engagement and performance. Lastly, the exploration revealed efficient methodologies like project-based learning and differentiated instruction- as a recommendation, in making the learning personalized, that a multi-faceted approach should be used. Overall, though, personalized learning holds a lot of promise, and with effective deployment, stringent assessment practices, and commitment to serving the vast needs in all learners, success may be at an all-time high. Future focus should therefore be on scaling successful practices while ensuring equity in resource access.

### **Unexpected findings**

Striking research findings in the pursuit of maximizing personalization in learning for different learners come through in a number of interesting finds. For instance, while gamification has been commonly viewed as a merely an engagement booster and not a rich concept, it significantly increases the engagement level of students who are presumably less motivated, thus revealing that the reliance of personalization approaches on more gamified elements might be wrong. Additionally, the effectiveness of some peer learning opportunities may question the extent to which personalization should focus more on individualized paths instead of considering how all, or most of them, can make a difference. Cultural context is also crucial, and hence strategies must be culturally adaptable rather than responsive to an individual alone. Surprisingly, many educators fail to exploit available technology, thus pointing out some gap between resources and actual usage. In contrast, low-tech or no-tech personalized learning methods such as print materials and mentorship could be the most effective method for certain populations in an unexpected manner. Moreover, evidence may show that students thrive on more structure in learning contexts than presently thought. Emotional factors such as self-efficacy and belonging may be stronger predictors of outcomes than cognitive load. The same demographic shows variability, thus exposing the suffocating nature of one-size-fits-all approaches. Second, teachers may be less likely to support personalized learning strategies since they are not aware of the intervention approach, and professional development is required in such a case. Finally, the findings may indicate that the introduction of personalized strategies at an earlier age in school results in long-term positive impacts in adaptability and problem-solving skills and shows a need for early intervention.

### **Scope for further research**

There are a number of important areas for further research on tailoring personalized learning to diverse learners. A good number of the more important areas that can be investigated through longitudinal studies include tracking the long-term consequences of different personalized learning strategies on student performance, giving insights into the sustainability and effectiveness of such strategies over time. With respect to very specific personalized learning strategies, making them appear feasible and feasible for different populations could perhaps be an area of improvement. The effectiveness of professional development programs designed to arm educators with practical applications to implement personalized learning would elucidate best practices and support systems that must be in place. Research into emerging technologies - artificial intelligence and machine learning - how they augment personalized learning experiences, and the role these play in enhancing learner engagement and achievement will serve to enlighten. Finally, it is the equity of access dimension, where exploration of how socioeconomic factor impacts access resources for personalized learning can inform strategies aimed at bridging those gaps for underserved populations and new forms of assessment should be explored that can feed into the personalization goals-better looking at the pace at which students make progress. Cross-disciplinary approaches will reveal the unique challenges and solutions to the application of personalized learning across a range of subjects. Understanding the interplay between emotion and social factors in personalized learning as well as the role that parents and community could play in advancing these initiatives can add up to a more holistic framework toward making personalized education more effective.

## V. Conclusion

Personalized learning for diverse learners represents one of the great strides made in education today. As educational environments increasingly recognize the value in tailoring learning experiences to individual needs, the need for effective strategies, resources, and implementation practices assumes central significance. Personalized learning promotes student engagement and motivation but helps address the challenges that diverse populations uniquely face. Educators are able to capitalize on emergent technologies, data-driven insights, and fair access to resources in making learning environments more equitable for all students to thrive. Besides, areas of research on the effectiveness of personalized learning strategies, cultural adaptation, and the impact of teacher training will remain important to tailor these approaches accordingly. Further, collaboration among educators, researchers, and communities at large would be helpful towards creating a more responsive and effective landscape in pursuit of deepened exploration into the nuances of personalized education. The ultimate purpose is to tap into the total power of personalization in order to improve educational outcomes, lifelong learning, and the sustainability of learning solutions in view of diverse future demands.

## References:

- [1]. Afini Normadhi, N. B., Shuib, L., Md Nasir, H. N., Bimba, A., Idris, N., & Balakrishnan, V.. Identification of personal traits in adaptive learning environment: Systematic literature review. *Computers & Education*, 130, 168–190. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2018.11.005(2019).
- [2]. Aka, E. I. Investigating the change in career decision making self-efficacy levels of university students. *International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction*, 12(1), 310–326(2020).
- [3]. Al Kandari, M. M., Ali, M., Al Qattan, M. M., & Mousa, M. E-task-based learning approach to enhancing 21st-century learning outcomes. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(1), 551–566. doi:10.29333/iji.2020.13136a. (2020).
- [4]. Alyami, M., Melyani, Z., Johani, A. A., Ullah, E., Alyami, H., Sundram, F., Hill, A., & Henning, M. The impact of self-esteem, academic self-efficacy and perceived stress on academic performance: A cross-sectional study of Saudi psychology students. *European Journal of Educational Sciences*, 4(3), 51–63. doi:10.19044/ejes.v4no3a5(2017).
- [5]. A priza, F., Siti, Z., Herawati, S., Muhdhar, A., & Irawati, M. H. PBLPOE: A learning model to enhance students' critical thinking skills and scientific attitudes. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(2), 89–106. doi:10.29333/iji.2020.1327a.
- [6]. Artino, A. R., La Rochelle, J. S., & Durning, S. J. (2010). Second-year medical students' motivational beliefs, emotions, and achievement. *Medical Education*, 44(12), 1203–1212. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2923.2010.03712.x PMID:21091760(2020).
- [7]. Bahçeci, F., & Gürol, M. The effect of individualized instruction system on the academic achievement scores of students. *Education Research International*, 2016, 1–9. doi:10.1155/2016/7392125. (2016).
- [8]. Chan, C., Hart, A., Lister, A., & Doyle, S. Learning styles of 3rd year genetics students and their affection and perception of effectiveness of a range of instructional modes. *Proceedings of the Australian Conference on Science and Mathematics Education (Formerly UniServe Science Conference)*, 7, 62–68(2011).
- [9]. Chatti, M. A., Jarke, M., & Specht, M. The 3P learning model. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 13(4), 74–85. (2010).
- [10]. Coffield, F., Moseley, D., Hall, E., & Ecclestone, K. Learning styles and pedagogy in post-16 learning: A systematic and critical review. *Learning and Skills Research Centre*(2004).
- [11]. Cohen, A. D. *Strategies in learning and using a second language*. Routledge(2011).
- [12]. Deryagina, L. E., & Sherstennikova, A. K. The role of dominant motivation in the success (failure) of educational activity and its relationship with personal and situational anxiety. *Modern Research on Social Problems*, 12(56), 3–14. doi:10.12731/2218-7405-2015-12-1(2015).
- [13]. Edgar, S., Carr, S. E., Connaughton, J., & Celenza, A. Student motivation to learn: Is self-belief the key to transition and first year performance in an undergraduate health professions program? *BMC Medical Education*, 19(1), 111. doi:10.1186/s12909-019-1539-5 PMID:30999916(2019).
- [14]. Ehlers, T. (n.d.). Motivation to succeed and motivation to avoid failure. <https://psycabi.net/testy/272-metodikadiagnostiki-lichnosti-na-motivatsiyu-k-izbeganiyu-neudach-t-ellersa-oprosnik-t-ellersa-dlya-izucheniya-motivatsii-izbeganiya-neudach>.
- [15]. Erstad, O., & Voogt, J. The twenty-first century curriculum: issues and challenges. In *Springer International Handbooks of Education* (pp. 19–36). Springer. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-71054-9\_1(2018).
- [16]. Eseryel, D., Law, V., Ifenthaler, D., Ge, X., & Miller, R. An investigation of the interrelationships between motivation, engagement, and complex problem solving in game-based learning. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 17(1), 42–53(2014).
- [17]. Felder, R. M., & Silverman, L. K. Learning and teaching styles in engineering education. *Engineering Education*, 78(7), 674–681(1988).
- [18]. Gómez, S., Zervas, P., Sampson, D. G., & Fabregat, R. Context-aware adaptive and personalized mobile learning delivery supported by UoLmP. *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences*, 26(1), 47–61. doi:10.1016/j.jksuci.2013.10.008(2014).
- [19]. Hachfeld, A., & Lazarides, R.. The relation between teacher self-reported individualization and studentperceived teaching quality in linguistically heterogeneous classes: An exploratory study. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1007/s10212-020-00501-5(2020).
- [20]. Hayat, A. A., Shateri, K., Amini, M., & Shokrpour, N. Relationships between academic self-efficacy, learning-related emotions, and metacognitive learning strategies with academic performance in medical students: A structural equation model. *BMC Medical Education*, 20(1), 76. doi:10.1186/s12909-020-01995-9 PMID:32183804(2020).
- [21]. Hernández-Torrano, D., Ali, S., & Chan, C. K. First year medical students' learning style preferences and their correlation with performance in different subjects within the medical course. *BMC Medical Education*, 17(1), 131. doi:10.1186/s12909-017-0965-5 PMID:2878969(2017).
- [22]. Hsieh, C. W., & Chen, S. Y. A cognitive style perspective to handheld devices: Customization vs. personalization. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 17(1), 1–22. doi:10.19173/irrodl.v17i1.2168(2016).
- [23]. Kryshko, O., Fleischer, J., Waldeyer, J., Wirth, J., & Leutner, D. Do motivational regulation strategies contribute to university students' academic success? *Learning and Individual Differences*, 82, 101912. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2020.101912(2020).
- [24]. Lee, D., Huh, Y., Lin, C. Y., & Reigeluth, C. M. Technology functions for personalized learning in learner-centered schools. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 66(5), 1269–1302. doi:10.1007/s11423-018-9615-9(2018).

- [25]. Lehmann, T., Hähnlein, I., & Ifenthaler, D. Cognitive, metacognitive and motivational perspectives on prelection in self-regulated online learning. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 32, 313–323. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2013.07.051(2014).
- [26]. Lin, C. F., Yeh, Y. C., Hung, Y. H., & Chang, R. I. Data mining for providing a personalized learning path in creativity: An application of decision trees. *Computers & Education*, 68, 199–210. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2013.05.009(2013).
- [27]. Lu, C., Chang, M. K., Huang, E., & Chen, C. W. Context-aware mobile role-playing game for learning. In *The new development of technology enhanced learning. Lecture notes in educational technology* (pp. 131–146). Springer. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-38291-8\_8(2014).
- [28]. Malykhin, O., & Galla, A. O. The phenomenon of learning styles in the professional training of philology students. *Bulletin of Chernihiv National Pedagogical University. Series. Pedagogical Sciences*, 133, 120–123(2016).
- [29]. Mega, C., Ronconi, L., & De Beni, R. What makes a good student? How emotions, self-regulated learning, and motivation contribute to academic achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 106(1), 121–131. doi:10.1037/a0033546(2014).
- [30]. Miliband, D. Choice and voice in personalised learning. In OECD (Ed.), *Schooling for tomorrow: Personalising education* (pp. 21–30). OECD Publishing. doi:10.1787/9789264036604-2-en(2006).
- [31]. Moos, D. C., & Bonde, C. Flipping the classroom: Embedding self-regulated learning prompts in videos. *Technology. Knowledge and Learning*, 21(2), 225–242. doi:10.1007/s10758-015-9269-1(2016).
- [32]. Morgan, B., Hogan, A. M., Hampton, D., Lippert, A., & Graesser, A. C. The need for personalized learning and the potential of intelligent tutoring systems. In *Handbook of learning from multiple representations and perspectives* (pp. 1–37). Routledge. doi:10.4324/9780429443961-32(2020).
- [33]. Mousavinasab, E., Zarifsanaiy, N., & Niakan Kalhori, R., S., Rakhshan, M., Keikha, L., & Ghazi Saeedi, M. Intelligent tutoring systems: A systematic review of characteristics, applications, and evaluation methods. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 29(1), 142–163. doi:10.1080/10494820.2018.1558257(2018).
- [34]. Ngwira, F. F., Gu, C., Mapoma, H. W. T., & Kondowe, W. The role of academic emotions on medical and allied health students' motivated self-regulated learning strategies. *Journal of Contemporary Medical Education*, 5(1), 23–30. doi:10.5455/jcme.20170412124640(2017).
- [35]. Park, S., & Jun, H. Relationships between motivational strategies and cognitive learning in distance education courses. *Distance Education*, 38(3), 302–320. doi:10.1080/01587919.2017.1369007(2017).
- [36]. Pontual Falcão, T., Mendes de Andrade e Peres, F., Sales de Morais, D. C., & da Silva Oliveira, G. Participatory methodologies to promote student engagement in the development of educational digital games. *Computers & Education*, 116, 161–175. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2017.09.006(2018).
- [37]. Rodríguez-Triana, M. J., Martínez-Monés, A., Asensio-Pérez, J. I., & Dimitriadis, Y. Scripting and monitoring meet each other: Aligning learning analytics and learning design to support teachers in orchestrating CSCL situations. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 46(2), 330–343. doi:10.1111/bjet.12198(2015).
- [38]. Sagheb, M. M., Amini, M., Saber, M., Moghadami, M., Nabiei, P., Khalili, R., Rezaee, R., Bazrafcan, L., & Hayat, A. A. Teaching Evidence-Based Medicine (EBM) to undergraduate medical students through flipped classroom approach. *Shiraz E Medical Journal*, 19(2), 1–6. doi:10.5812/semj.57150(2018).
- [39]. Sajidan, M., Saputro, S., Perdana, R., Atmojo, I. R. W., & Nugraha, D. A. Development of science learning model towards Society 5.0: A conceptual model. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1511, 012124. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1511/1/012124(2020).
- [40]. Samarakoon, L., Fernando, T., Rodrigo, C., & Rajapakse, S. Learning styles and approaches to learning among medical undergraduates and postgraduates. *BMC Medical Education*, 13(1), 42. doi:10.1186/1472-6920-13-42 PMID:23521845(2013).
- [41]. Santyasa, I. W., Rapi, N. K., & Sara, I. W. W. Project based learning and academic procrastination of students in learning physics. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(1), 489–508. doi:10.29333/iji.2020.13132a(2020).
- [42]. Scheiter, K., Schubert, C., Schüler, A., Schmidt, H., Zimmermann, G., Wassermann, B., Krebs, M.-C., & Eder, T. Adaptive multimedia: Using gaze-contingent instructional guidance to provide personalized processing support. *Computers & Education*, 139, 31–47. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2019.05.005(2019).
- [43]. Schmid, R., & Petko, D. Does the use of educational technology in personalized learning environments correlate with self-reported digital skills and beliefs of secondary-school students? *Computers & Education*, 136, 75–86. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2019.03.006(2019).
- [44]. Schumacher, C., & Ifenthaler, D. The importance of students' motivational dispositions for designing learning analytics. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 30(3), 599–619. doi:10.1007/s12528-018-9188-y(2018).
- [45]. Schwinger, M., & Otterpohl, N. Which one works best? Considering the relative importance of motivational regulation strategies. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 53, 122–132. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2016.12.003(2017).
- [46]. Shemshack, A., & Spector, J. M. A systematic literature review of personalized learning terms. *Smart Learning Environments*, 7(1), 33. doi:10.1186/s40561-020-00140-9(2020).
- [47]. Smit, K., de Brabander, C. J., Boekaerts, M., & Martens, R. L. The self-regulation of motivation: Motivational strategies as mediator between motivational beliefs and engagement for learning. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 82, 124–134. doi:10.1016/j.ijer.2017.01.006(2017).
- [48]. Tapalova, O. B. Achievement motivation as an internal factor of student's personality development. *Literacy Information and Computer Education Journal*, 5(1), 1408–1412. doi:10.20533/licej.2040.2589.2014.0200(2014).
- [49]. Tapalova, O. B., Kalipanova, M. K., & Amanzhanova, G. B. Correlation of the indicators of the need for achievement with personal factors in the group of students and managers. *Bulletin of KazNU Al-Farabi. Series of Psychology and Sociology*, 64(1), 26–37. doi:10.26577/JPSS-2018-1-615(2018).
- [50]. Wang, Y. H., & Liao, H. C. Adaptive learning for ESL based on computation. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 42(1), 66–87. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8535.2009.00981.x(2011).
- [51]. Witkin, H. A., Dyk, R. B., Fattuson, H. F., Goodenough, D. R., & Karp, S. A. Psychological differentiation: Studies of development. Wiley. doi:10.1037/13128-000(1962).
- [52]. Yang, J., & Zhang, B. Artificial intelligence in intelligent tutoring Robots: A systematic review and design guidelines. *Applied Sciences (Basel, Switzerland)*, 9(10), 2078. doi:10.3390/app9102078(2019).
- [53]. Barron, K. E., & Harackiewicz, J. M. Achievement goals and optimal motivation: Testing multiple goal models. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 80, 706–722. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.80.5.706. (2001).
- [54]. Blüthmann, I., Thiel, F., & Wolfgram, C. Abbruchtendenzen in den Bachelorstudiengängen. Individuelle Schwierigkeiten oder mangelhafte Studienbedingungen [Dropout intentions in bachelor courses. Individual difficulties or bad study conditions]? *Die Hochschule*, 20, 110–116(2011).
- [55]. Boekaerts, M. Self-regulated learning: Where we are today. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 31, 445–457. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-0355(99) 00014-2(1999).



- 
- [56]. Chen, X. Students who study science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) in postsecondary education. *Stats in Brief* (NCES 2009-161) (2009).
- [57]. Washington, DC: NCES. Cooper, C. A., & Corpus, J. H. Learners' developing knowledge of strategies for regulating motivation. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 30, 525–536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2008.12.032>(2009).
- [58]. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11, 227–268. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104\\_01](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01)(2000).
- [59]. Dresel, M., & Grassinger, R. Changes in achievement motivation among university freshmen. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 1, 159–173. <https://doi.org/10.11114/jets.v1i2.147>(2013).
- [60]. Eckerlein, N., Roth, A., Engelschalk, T., Steuer, G., Schmitz, B., & Dresel, M. The role of motivational regulation in exam preparation: Results from a standardized diary study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 81. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00081>(2019).
- [61]. Eckerlein, N., Steuer, G., & Dresel, M. Fostering competences for motivational regulation in higher education: A training study. Poster session presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association (AERA), New York City April(2018).
- [62]. Elliot, A. J. Approach and avoidance motivation and achievement goals. *Educational Psychologist*, 34, 169–189(1999).
- [63]. Fletcher, J. M., & Frisvold, D. E. Higher education and health investments: Does more schooling affect preventive health care use? *Journal of Human Capital*, 3, 144–176. <https://doi.org/10.1086/645090>(2009).
- [64]. Gibney, A., Moore, N., Murphy, F., & O'Sullivan, S. The first semester of university life; “will I be able to manage it at all?”. *Higher Education*, 62, 351–366. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-010-9392-9>(2011).
- [65]. Grunschel, C., Schwinger, M., Steinmayr, R., & Fries, S. Effects of using motivational regulation strategies on students' academic procrastination, academic performance, and well-being. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 49, 162–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2016.06.008>(2016).
- [66]. Hayes, A. F. (2018). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Press. Heublein, U. Student drop-out from German higher education institutions. *European Journal of Education*, 49, 497–513(2014).