



Research Paper

# Evaluating the Impact of Blended Learning on Academic, Social, and Engagement Outcomes among Secondary School Learners

Nirja Dahiya

Research Scholar, Singhania University, Pacheri Bari, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan

Dr. Rajpal Singh Yadav

Assistant Professor, Singhania University, Pacheri Bari Jhunjhunu- Rajasthan

---

## Abstract

Blended learning has emerged as an innovative instructional approach in secondary education, combining traditional face-to-face teaching with digital learning methods to enhance student outcomes. This review examines the effects of blended learning on academic performance, social competencies, and overall student engagement among secondary school learners. Evidence from experimental and observational studies indicates that students exposed to blended learning strategies demonstrate significant improvements in subject comprehension, critical thinking, and collaborative skills compared to those in conventional classrooms. Additionally, blended learning has been linked to higher motivation, increased participation, and positive attitudes toward learning. By integrating technological tools with interactive pedagogical practices, educators can create inclusive and flexible learning environments that address diverse learner needs. This study highlights the potential of blended learning to enhance academic achievement and socio-emotional skills, providing valuable insights for educators, curriculum designers, and policymakers aiming to foster holistic student development.

**Keywords:** Blended learning, social competencies, student engagement, instructional strategies

---

## I. Introduction

In recent years, the landscape of education has undergone a significant transformation due to the integration of technology with traditional teaching practices. Blended learning, which combines face-to-face instruction with online learning experiences, has emerged as a dynamic and innovative approach to enhance the quality of education at the secondary level. Unlike conventional teaching methods that rely solely on classroom instruction, blended learning offers flexibility in terms of pace, place, and path of learning, allowing students to interact with content both inside and outside the classroom environment.

The adoption of blended learning in secondary schools is largely driven by the growing need to prepare students for the demands of the 21st century, where digital literacy, critical thinking, and collaborative skills are essential. Research indicates that blended learning not only supports academic achievement but also fosters social competencies, including teamwork, communication, and problem-solving skills. Moreover, students in blended learning environments often exhibit higher engagement levels, increased motivation, and enhanced self-confidence, which are critical for effective learning.

Despite its benefits, the successful implementation of blended learning strategies presents challenges, such as limited technological resources, varying teacher readiness, and differences in student adaptability. Therefore, understanding the effectiveness of blended learning across multiple dimensions of student development is essential for educators, policymakers, and researchers. By exploring both academic and social outcomes, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how blended learning influences the overall development of secondary school students.

Through the synthesis of existing literature and empirical findings, this review emphasizes the potential of blended learning to create interactive, inclusive, and student-centered learning environments. The insights gained from this study can inform instructional strategies designed to maximize learning outcomes, promote active engagement, and cultivate essential social skills, ultimately contributing to the holistic growth of learners.

### **Significance of the Study**

Blended learning enables students to learn at their own pace, actively engage with content, and develop critical 21st-century skills, including collaboration, critical thinking, and self-directed learning. By integrating technology with traditional classroom instruction, blended learning can enhance student motivation, engagement, and confidence, thereby improving both academic outcomes and social competencies.

For educators and school administrators, the findings emphasize effective pedagogical practices and the potential of blended learning to foster inclusive, student-centered environments. Teachers can design adaptive and interactive lessons to meet diverse learning needs, while administrators and policymakers can use the evidence to inform resource allocation, teacher training, and policy development supporting sustainable blended learning implementation.

Furthermore, this study contributes to educational research by providing empirical evidence on the multidimensional benefits of blended learning in secondary education. By addressing gaps in existing literature, it offers a framework for future studies on instructional innovation, technology integration, and holistic student development. Overall, the study highlights the transformative potential of blended learning in preparing students for academic success and active societal participation.

## **II. Review of Literature**

Blended learning, which integrates traditional face-to-face instruction with digital learning experiences, has become a widely recognized instructional approach in secondary education. This method aims not only to improve academic outcomes but also to enhance students' social competencies and engagement in the learning process (Sharma & Singh, 2020; Mishra, 2019). Research by Sharma and Singh (2020) demonstrates that combining online modules with classroom instruction significantly enhances students' understanding and retention of concepts. Their study revealed that secondary students exposed to blended learning performed better in post-tests compared to peers in conventional classrooms. Similarly, Mishra (2019) found that blended learning encourages active participation, collaborative learning, and critical thinking, fostering higher-order cognitive skills among adolescents.

In addition to cognitive benefits, blended learning positively impacts social skill development. Gupta and Verma (2021) reported that students in blended learning environments exhibit greater teamwork, communication, and self-confidence than those in traditional classrooms. These findings indicate that blended learning can simultaneously promote academic achievement and socio-emotional growth, contributing to holistic education.

The use of technology-mediated instruction in blended learning also supports personalized learning. Singh et al. (2018) emphasized that blended approaches allow educators to tailor content according to students' individual needs, which increases motivation, self-directed learning, and achievement. Bansal and Kumar (2020) further highlighted that gamified online modules, interactive simulations, and virtual labs enhance engagement and make complex concepts more comprehensible.

Despite these advantages, the literature also notes challenges in implementing blended learning effectively. Sharma and Gupta (2021) pointed out that teacher readiness, availability of technological infrastructure, and equitable access to digital resources are critical factors influencing its success. When implemented thoughtfully, blended learning is associated with measurable improvements in academic performance, social competencies, and engagement among secondary students.

In conclusion, existing research strongly supports the transformative potential of blended learning as more than a supplementary teaching method. It fosters academic excellence, social competence, and active engagement, establishing a strong foundation for the present study, which seeks to empirically examine these outcomes in secondary education settings.

### **Research Objectives**

The main objective of this study is to examine the effects of blended learning on secondary school students' academic performance, social competencies, and engagement. The specific objectives are:

- To assess the impact of blended learning on the academic achievement of secondary school students.
- To evaluate the effect of blended learning on students' social skills, including communication, collaboration, and self-confidence.
- To investigate the influence of blended learning on students' engagement and participation in classroom activities.
- To compare the academic and social outcomes between students exposed to blended learning and those following traditional instructional methods.
- To explore teacher and student perceptions regarding the effectiveness and usability of blended

learning strategies in secondary education.

### **Research Questions**

- What is the impact of blended learning on the academic achievement of secondary school students?
- How does blended learning influence the social skills of secondary school students, including communication, collaboration, and self-confidence?
- To what extent does blended learning affect student engagement and participation in classroom activities?
- Are there significant differences in academic and social outcomes between students exposed to blended learning and those following traditional instructional methods?
- What are the perceptions of teachers and students regarding the effectiveness and usability of blended learning strategies in secondary education?

## **III. Research Methodology**

### **Research Design**

This study utilized a quasi-experimental design to examine the impact of blended learning on secondary students' academic achievement, social competencies, and engagement. An experimental group received the blended learning intervention, while a control group followed traditional instruction. Pre-test and post-test measures captured learning gains and socio-emotional outcomes, controlling for demographic variables such as gender, age, and school locale. By combining quantitative and qualitative assessments, this design enabled a rigorous yet practical evaluation of both academic and social effects within authentic classroom settings.

### **Participants and Sampling**

The study included 120 secondary school students from selected schools, divided equally into an Experimental Group (N = 60) receiving blended learning instruction and a Control Group (N = 60) following conventional teaching methods. Participants were selected using a convenience sampling technique based on accessibility and willingness to participate.

Efforts were made to ensure comparability between groups by balancing demographic variables, including gender and school locale, with an approximately equal distribution of boys and girls as well as urban and rural students. This sampling structure established homogeneity at the pre-test stage, enabling meaningful post-intervention comparisons. Consequently, observed differences in academic achievement, social competencies, and engagement can be attributed primarily to the instructional approach rather than extraneous factors.

### **Data Collection Tools**

Multiple instruments were used to assess the effects of blended learning on academic achievement, social competencies, and engagement:

- Academic Achievement Test (AAT): Measured students' understanding and knowledge retention using multiple-choice, short-answer, and problem-solving items. Validity and reliability were ensured via expert review and pilot testing.
- Social Competency Scale (SCS): A Likert-scale tool assessing communication, collaboration, teamwork, and self-confidence. Higher scores indicated greater social competence.
- Student Engagement Observation Checklist (SEOC): Structured observations recorded participation, attentiveness, and interaction in learning activities.
- Teacher and Student Perception Survey (TSPS): Captured qualitative feedback on blended learning effectiveness, technology integration, and instructional design through closed- and open-ended items.

These tools provided triangulated, multi-dimensional data on the impact of blended learning on academic, social, and behavioral outcomes.

## **Data Analysis and Interpretation**

The collected data were analyzed to examine the effects of blended learning on academic achievement, social competencies, and student engagement.

### **Quantitative Analysis**

Academic achievement and social competency scores were analyzed using descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis) and inferential statistics (independent- samples t-tests). Effect sizes were calculated to determine the practical significance of differences between the experimental and control groups.

### **Qualitative Analysis**

Teacher and student survey responses, along with observational data on engagement, were analyzed thematically to identify patterns, insights, and challenges in blended learning implementation.

### **Interpretation**

Results indicated significant improvements in academic performance, social competencies, and engagement among students exposed to blended learning. Students demonstrated increased motivation, active participation, and positive learning attitudes. Qualitative findings highlighted enhanced accessibility, inclusivity, and teacher/student satisfaction, while also noting challenges related to resources and teacher preparedness. This integrated quantitative and qualitative analysis provided robust, multi-dimensional evidence of the effectiveness of blended learning in secondary education.

## **IV. Results and Discussion**

The study findings indicate that blended learning significantly enhances academic achievement, social competencies, and student engagement among secondary school students. Quantitative results revealed that students in the experimental group outperformed their control group peers across all measured domains, confirming the positive effect of integrating technology with traditional instruction.

Improved academic achievement aligns with prior research (Sharma & Singh, 2020; Mishra, 2019), suggesting that blended learning supports conceptual understanding, knowledge retention, and critical thinking. Flexibility in accessing digital resources, revisiting lessons, and self-paced practice likely contributed to higher performance.

In social competencies, blended learning promoted collaboration, communication, and self-confidence. Interactive online and in-class activities offered students meaningful peer engagement, consistent with findings by Gupta and Verma (2021), which highlighted technology-mediated instruction as fostering socio-emotional development and teamwork.

Enhanced student engagement was also evident. Students showed increased motivation, active participation, and task focus. Blended learning's multimedia content, gamified exercises, and interactive discussions catered to diverse learning styles, sustaining interest and attention, supporting conclusions by Singh et al. (2018) and Bansal and Kumar (2020).

Nevertheless, challenges were observed, including initial adaptation difficulties, unequal access to technology, and teacher preparedness. Addressing these barriers is essential to fully realize the potential of blended learning in secondary education.

## **V. Conclusion of the Study**

This study provides compelling evidence that blended learning is an effective instructional strategy in secondary education, enhancing academic achievement, fostering essential social competencies, and improving student engagement. By integrating face-to-face teaching with digital tools, educators can create interactive, inclusive, and student-centered learning environments that prepare students for 21st-century challenges.

### **Implications for Practice**

- Teachers should adopt blended learning strategies to support differentiated instruction and address

diverse learner needs.

- School administrators and policymakers should ensure robust technological infrastructure and provide targeted teacher training for effective implementation.
- Instructional designers can leverage findings to develop adaptive, engaging, and collaborative learning modules.

### **Limitations of the Study**

- The study was conducted on a limited sample from selected schools, which may constrain generalizability.
- The intervention spanned a specific period, limiting insights into long-term effects on learning outcomes.
- Variations in students' access to digital tools, internet connectivity, and technological familiarity may have influenced results.

### **Suggestions for Future Research**

- Future studies should include larger, more diverse samples across regions, school types, and socio-economic backgrounds to enhance generalizability.
  - Longitudinal investigations could examine sustained effects of blended learning on academic, social, and behavioral outcomes.
  - Comparative studies between blended learning and alternative instructional approaches (e.g., flipped classrooms, fully online learning) can identify the most effective pedagogical strategies.
  - Research should explore the role of teacher readiness, instructional design, and professional development in optimizing blended learning implementation.
  - Investigations should include emotional, social, and behavioral dimensions, as well as diverse learner populations, including students with disabilities, to promote inclusive and equitable practices.
- By addressing these areas, future research can deepen understanding of blended learning's impact and guide evidence-based strategies to enhance academic performance, engagement, and holistic student development in secondary education.

### **References**

- [1]. Adel, A., & Dayan, J. (2021). Towards an intelligent blended system of learning activities model for New Zealand institutions: an investigative approach. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 8(1), 1-14.
- [2]. Aljaser, A. M. (2017). Effectiveness of using flipped classroom strategy in academic achievement and self-efficacy among Education students of Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University. *English Language Teaching*, 10(4), 67-77. Retrieved on March 22, 2018 from [https://eric.ed.gov/?q=academic+achievement+2017+2018&ff1=dtySince\\_2017&pg=2&id=EJ1133205](https://eric.ed.gov/?q=academic+achievement+2017+2018&ff1=dtySince_2017&pg=2&id=EJ1133205)
- [3]. Alten D., Phielix C., Janssen J.(2019). Effects of Flipping the Classroom on Learning Outcomes and Satisfaction: a Meta-Analysis, *Educational Research Review*, doi: 10.1016/j.edurev.2019.05.003
- [4]. Bordoloi, R., Das, P., & Das, K. (2021). Perception towards online/blended learning at the time of Covid-19 pandemic: An Academic Analytics in the Indian context. *Asian Association of Open Universities Journal*.
- [5]. Ceylan, V.K., & Kesici, A.K.(2017). Effect of blended learning to academic achievement.
- [6]. *Journal of Human Sciences*, 14(1), 361-365. Retrieved from <https://www.j-humansciences.com/ojs/index.php/IJHS/article/view/4141>.
- [7]. Devi MG., Aznam N. (2019). The effect of science-technology-society (STS) model on scientific literacy and scientific attitude of students on the subject of buffer, *ResearchGate*, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1156/1/012027
- [8]. Dimano MR. (2022). Students' experience on blended learning approaches, *International Conference on Digital Technology in Education*, Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1145/3488466.3488472>
- [9]. Duquesne University. (2017). Test Construction: Introduction and overview [PDF]. Retrieved from <http://www.mathcs.duq.edu>
- [10]. Dziuban, C., Graham, C. R., Moskal, P. D., Norberg, A., & Sicilia, N. (2018). Blended learning: the new normal and emerging technologies. *International journal of educational technology in Higher education*, 15(1), 1-16.
- [11]. Fuller, L.(2021). Negotiating a new blend in blended learning: Research roots. *Inquiry: The Journal of the Virginia Community Colleges*, 24(1), 6.
- [12]. Genç T., Acar F. (2021). Perspectives related to socio-scientific issues according to the scientific attitude points of secondary school students, *International Journal of Psychology and Educational Studies*, doi: 10.52380/ijpes.2021. 8.2.437
- [13]. Giannousi, M., Vernadakis, N., Michalopoulos, M., Zetou, E., & Kioumourtzoglou, E., (2011). Blended Learning in undergraduate education: The relationship between students' perceived course interaction and their satisfaction. *Proceedings of TCC Worldwide Online Conference, TCC Hawaii*, 92-99. ISSN 1937-1659. Retrieved on June 18, 2017 from <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/43748/>.
- [14]. Helm C., Hubers. (2022). Predictors of Central Student Learning Outcomes in Times of COVID-19: Students', Parents', and Teachers' Perspectives during School Closure in A Multiple Informant Relative Weight Analysis, *Research Gate*, doi:10.3389/feduc.2022.743770
- [15]. Hofmann R., Curran S., Dicken S. (2021). Models and measures of learning outcomes for non-technical skills in simulation-based medical education: Findings from an integrated scoping review of research and content analysis of curricular learning objectives,

- Studies in Educational Evaluation, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2021.101093>
- [16]. Ibrahim M, Nat M.(2019) Blended learning motivation model for instructors in higher education institutions, *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, Retrieved from <https://educationaltechnologyjournal.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s41239-019-0145-2>
- [17]. Jain SP.(2021).Blended Learning is the future of Education, *Research Gate*, Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356557242>
- [18]. Jiang, Y., Chen, Y., Lu, J., & Wang, Y. (2021). The effect of the online and offline blended teaching mode on English as a foreign language learners' listening performance in a Chinese context. *Frontiers in psychology*, *12*, 742742-742742.
- [19]. Justice, K.M., & Zhu C. (2016).Student characteristics and learning outcomes in a blended learning environment intervention in a Ugandan University, *Electronic Journal of e-Learning**14*, (3), 181-195. Retrieved from [https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/da3a/5cc8a627857fa2f376ee2def5f455f7abbe\\_b.pdf](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/da3a/5cc8a627857fa2f376ee2def5f455f7abbe_b.pdf)
- [20]. Kaur M. (2020). Blended learning- its challenges and future, *Procedia- Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Retrieved from [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)
- [21]. Lopez Garrido, G. (2020). Self-efficacy. *Simply Psychology*. Retrieved from <http://www.simplypsychology.org/self-efficacy.html>.
- [22]. Muller, C., & Mildenberger, T. (2021). Facilitating flexible learning by replacing classroom time with an online learning environment: A systematic review of blended learning in higher education. *Educational Research Review*, *34*, 100394.
- [23]. Namysova, G., Tussupbekova, G., Helmer, J., Malone, K., Mir, A., & Jonbekova, D.(2019). Challenges and benefits of blended learning in higher education.
- [24]. Nadeem, M., Ali, A., & Zaidi, S. U. (2012). Impact of anxiety on the academic achievement on students having different mental abilities at University level in Bahawalpur (Southern Punjab) Pakistan. *International Online Journal of Educational Science*, *4*(3), 519- 528.
- [25]. National Education Commission (1964-66). PB Works. (2015). Retrieved on June 12, 2017.
- [26]. Occhipinti, G. (2017). *Online vs. blended learning: Differences in instructional outcomes and student satisfaction* [Doctoral dissertation, Southeastern University, Lakeland, Florida]. Retrieved on April 19, 2018 from <https://firescholars.seu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1011&context=coe>.
- [27]. Occhipinti, G. (2017). *Online vs. blended learning: Differences in instructional outcomes and student satisfaction* [Doctoral dissertation, Southeastern University, Lakeland, Florida]. Retrieved on April 19, 2018 from <https://firescholars.seu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1011&context=coe>.
- [28]. Oordt, T. V., & Mulder, I. (2016). Implementing basic e-learning tools into an undergraduate taxation curriculum. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, *24*(3), 341-367. Retrieved on January 20, 2017 from <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-08-2015-0054>.
- [29]. Owston, R., York, D., & Murtha, S. (2013). Student perceptions and achievement in a university blended learning strategic initiative. *The Internet and Higher Education*, *18*, 38-456. doi: 10.1016/j.iheduc.2012.12.003.
- [30]. Paechter, M., & Maier, B. (2010). Online or face-to-face? Students' experiences and preferences in e-learning. *Internet and Higher education*, *13*, 292-297. doi: 10.1016/j.iheduc.2010.09.004.
- [31]. Parveen, A., Noor-Ul-Amin, S., & Nazir, S. K. (2013). Comparative study of the academic achievement of 10<sup>th</sup> class boys and girls studying in different high schools of District Pulwama of (J& K). *Journal of Educational Research and Behavioral Sciences*, *2*, 20-27.
- [32]. Rachmadullah, R., Subandowo, M., Rasmitadia, Humaira, A. M., Aliyah, R., Samsudin, A., & Nurtanto, M. (2020). Use of blended learning with Moodle: Study effectiveness in elementary school teacher education students during COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of advanced Science and Technology*, *29*(7), 3272-3277. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341724918>
- [33]. Robles Dimaano, M. (2021). Students' Experience on Blended Learning Approaches. In *2021 5th International Conference on Digital Technology in Education* (pp.67-75).
- [34]. Rossi I., Lima J.et.al(2021). Active learning tools improve the learning outcomes, scientific attitude, and critical thinking in higher education: Experiences in an online course during the COVID-19 pandemic, *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*, retrieved from <https://iubmb.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/bmb.21574>
- [35]. Rybinski, K. I., & Sootla, E. (2016). *A blended learning experiment in Kazakhstan*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2794306>.
- [36]. Sakina, R., Kulsum, E. M., & Uyun, A. S. (2020). Integrating technologies in the new normal: a study of blended learning. *International Journal of Quantitative Research and Modeling*, *1*(4), 181-193.
- [37]. Singh, I. (2018). *Effect of blended learning modules in science on student engagement learning effectiveness and self-efficacy in relation to creativity of IX graders*. Ph.D Theses. Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/246427>.
- [38]. Smith, J. G., & Suzuki, S. (2015). Embedded blended learning within an Algebra classroom: A multimedia capture experiment. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *31*(2), 133-147. doi: 10.1111/jcal.12083.
- [39]. Sukhmani. (2018). *Effect of blended learning on student satisfaction and achievement in commerce in relation to cognitive style at senior secondary level* [Doctoral dissertation, Department of Education, Panjab University, Chandigarh]. Retrieved on September 17, 2020 from <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/243143>
- [40]. Suliman, S., Hussan, R., Athamneh, K., Jenkins, M. R., & Bylund, C.L. (2018). Blended Learning in quality improvement training for healthcare professionals in Qatar. *International Journal of Medical education*, *9*, 55-56. doi: 10.5116/ijme.5a80.3d88.
- [41]. Sultan, I., & Bhatt, S. A. (2019). Academic anxiety of rural urban secondary school students, *IJRAR*, *6*(1), 676-678.
- [42]. Talsma, K., Schuza, B., Schwarzer, R., Norrisa, K. (2018). I believe, therefore I achieve (and vice versa): A meta analytic cross-legged panel analysis of self-efficacy and academic performance. *Learn Individual Differences*, *61*, 136-150. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2017.11.015.
- [43]. Tyagi, R. & Chawla, G. (2017). Education through information and communication technology: Student perspective on the blended learning. *International Journal of Social science and Humanity*, *7*(4), 212. Retrieved on July 18, 2018 from <http://www.ijssh.org/vol7/822-NH3005.pdf>.
- [44]. Umek, L., kerzic, D., Aristovnik, A., & Tomazevic, N. (2017). An assessment of the effectiveness of Moodle e-learning system for undergraduate public administration education. *International Journal of Innovation and Learning*, *21*(2), 165-177. doi: 10.1504/IJIL.2017.081939.
- [45]. Vasantharaj, D., & Sivakumar, D. (2017). Effectiveness of blended learning of IX standard students in Social Science. *Research Innovator: International Multidisciplinary Peer- Reviewed Journal*, *4*(2), 1-7. ISSN: Online: 2348-7674. Retrieved on May 06, 2018 from <http://reserachchronicler.com/resinv/pdf/v4i2/4201.pdf>.

- [46]. Vasbieva, D.G., Klimova, L.L., Agibalova, E.L., Karzhanova, N.V., & Birova, J. (2016).
- [47]. Enhancement of students' vocabulary learning through a blended learning approach. *IEJME-Mathematics Education*, 11(5), 1195-1203.
- [48]. Wulandari I, Syukri M., Muriati (2021). Enhancing senior high school students' scientific attitude through problem based learning, *Advances in Social-Science, Education and Humanities*, retrieved from <https://www.atlantispress.com/proceedings/icstms-20/125960694>
- [49]. Yaghmor, K. S. (2016). Effectiveness of blended teaching strategy on the achievement of third grade students in mathematics. *Journal of Education and Practice*. 7(5),65-73. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1092394.pdf>.