Quest Journals
Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Science
Volume 13 ~ Issue 8 (August 2025) pp: 205-211
ISSN(Online):2321-9467
www.questjournals.org

Research Paper

Land Acquisition, Compensation, and Rehabilitation: A Socio-Legal Study of the Impact on Displaced Communities in Telangana

Deeti Ravinder
LLM Dr B.R. Ambedkar Law College, Hyderabad

Abstract
Land acquisition paves the way for infrastructure but entails significant economic and social costs on communities whose land, housing and livelihoods are impacted. This paper explores the interrelation of acquisition, compensation, and rehabilitation in Telangana using a qualitative documentary socio-legal approach It examines the national legislative framework, the amendments and rules from Telangana, select judicial decisions and interdisciplinary scholarship on displacement. Disputes related to Ananthagirisagar and Kondapochamma Sagar reservoirs of Kaleshwaram irrigation project is given special attention. This study differentiates between compensation for property and for livelihood, as well as for physical resettlement. Evidence presented in court points out the need for real consent, transparency of value, recognition of eligible family member and separate consideration of rehabilitation entitlements. The paper asserts that payment and relocation are not sufficient metrics of successful rehabilitation as displaced people may remain in unstable, poorly paid jobs, with diminished resource access and social networks. It suggests the use of inclusive baseline surveys and accessible legal aid and also calls for independently verified state relocation readiness, livelihood restoration, and longitudinal monitoring. As no original household survey was conducted the conclusions identify documented legal failures and analytically plausible impact mechanisms rather than an estimate of their incidence across Telangana.
Keywords: *land acquisition, Telangana, displacement, compensation, rehabilitation, resettlement, livelihood restoration, socio-legal justice*

I. Introduction

The issue of land acquisition presents a basic problem of development. Projects that are aimed at producing common benefits may impose all their costs on particular communities. A land is required for irrigation infrastructure, roads, industrial facilities and urban development. But the land acquired can also support agriculture, housing, livestock, small businesses and community institutions. A monetary transaction, therefore, cannot fully capture the transformation/experience of affected people.

In Telangana, the relationship between national enactments, state amendments, administrative practice, and judicial oversight must be examined in an acquisition. Do these institutions effectively convert entitlements that are formally stated to sustained economic and social security? An additional question concerns distribution: whose losses are recognised, whose interests remain administratively invisible, and who has the resources to secure a remedy?

They're not the same and do not compensate for each other. Levien identifies them in changing relations of public authority and investment, meanwhile Chakravorty states complications of land pricing and state intervention (Levien; Chakravorty). These views encourage questioning the justification of the project and the burden it imposes.

The paper claims that compensation, resettlement rehabilitation are related but distinct responsibilities. Compensation deals with legally acknowledged losses, resettlement with relocation and rehabilitation with reconstructing the conditions of a viable life. We must evaluate their effectiveness together You can't pay someone to restore the livelihood that was lost. A house cannot provide access to work, school, healthcare or anything else.

The paper concentrates on documentary evidence related to reservoir acquisition in Telangana. It is not to present the dispute of the individual as representative of all displaced communities and to distinguish judicial findings from allegation and analysis propositions.

II. Objectives, Methodology, and Scope

The study will undertake four tasks. It seeks to examine the legal framework applicable to displacement. Next, it aims to analyse selected judicial decisions from Telangana. Further, it aims to identify mechanisms through which displacement can generate unequal outcome. Finally, it will formulate an accountable framework for rehabilitation along with the above-mentioned tasks.

Its method combines qualitative socio-legal research with doctrinal legal research. The primary materials consist of statutes, rules and judgments. Secondary materials consist of academic books peer reviewed articles institutional guidance Sources were chosen based on their relevance to valuation, consent, eligibility, livelihood reconstruction, participation and implementation.

Two Telangana verdicts are the main local case evidence for the indictment of Merugu Narsaiah alias Narsimha Reddy v. State of Telangana and Yedla Babulu v. State of Telangana. The disputes are different and thus not appropriate for inclusion within a single project-wide dataset. The requirements imposed by international standards and wider scholarship are not evidence but merely analytical benchmarks. They do not govern every project relating to Telangana automatically.

A household interview, original socioeconomic survey, or comprehensive extraction of administrative records was not conducted. As a result, the paper does not provide false sample sizes, displacement totals, satisfaction percentages, and income changes. The findings discovered legal issues and mechanisms requiring empirical investigations.

The research also has time limitations. The method of acquisition, notice, the legal provision concerned and orders thereafter. Judgement of history establishes what the court held in that dispute; it does not establish the present implementation status of every direction. The future research should link each household to its acquisition intimation, award, payment history, and the relocation record.

III. Conceptual Framework: From Asset Loss to Livelihood Reconstruction

According to Cernea's impoverishment risks and reconstruction model, various interconnected displacement risks such as landlessness, joblessness, homelessness, marginalisation, food insecurity, deterioration of health, loss of common resources and social disarticulation are identifiable. It is a vital concept that permits the evolution of analysis beyond the immediate worth of the acquired property towards the reconstruction of lives affected by development (cernea).

These categories are meant to raise research questions rather than yield certain conclusions about Telangana. For instance, the loss of agricultural land may reduce household income, but the outcome depends on compensation, replacement assets, employment opportunities and household capabilities. Researchers must create the pathways with evidence.

The distinction between property and access by Ribot and Peluso helps in this approach. The direct control or possession of resources by an individual or group does not always confer ownership rights. Those who use land for agriculture, raise livestock or use resources may land without title. Ownership registers are insufficient to infer economic exposure.

The capability framework set forth by Sen offers a further evaluative principle: rehabilitation should be judged by what people have been able to do and be after their displacement, rather than by the money received (Sen). A family's financial situation may be growing, but they may also have less job autonomy and increased dependence.

Vanclay considers that displacement management is a development issue dealing with compensation, livelihood reconstruction, participation, and benefit-sharing. When taken together, these perspectives offer a multi-dimensional assessment that emphasises legal recognition, material resources, social relations, and meaningful choices.

IV. Legal Framework and Telangana's Institutional Context

4.1 Constitutional and statutory protection

Article 300A exempts deprivation of property by any authority of law. It is submitted that equality and personal liberty can also be relied upon as potential additional constitutional grounds to examine state action (India, Constitution, arts. 14, 21, and 300A).

In Vidya Devi v. State of Himachal Pradesh, the Supreme Court rejected the deprivation of property by the state without lawful authority. The ruling provides a key constitutional reference point, even though it has a non-Telangana genesis (Vidya Devi).

The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act of 2013 incorporates procedures of acquisition, compensation and rehabilitation. The relevant provisions relate to affected families, social impact assessment, valuation, awards, possession and institutional remedies. Its schedules differentiate entitlements for compensation, rehabilitation, and resettlement infrastructure. The legal

definition of affected families is inclusive of non-titleholders under certain conditions. Eligibility must be examined, not assumed (India, Right to Fair Compensation, sec. 3 and schedules I–III).

To begin with, implementation must identify which legal route to take. A broad discussion on the central enactments is no substitute for the examination of the Telangana amendments, the project notifications and the circumstances of the claimant.

4.2 Telangana amendments and rules

The Telangana Amendment Act, 2016, was passed by the Act of 21 of 2017. Chapter II and III exemptions for the specified categories of projects may also be notified under 10A subject to the conditions. The amendment also provided for agreement-based mechanisms and lump-sum rehabilitation payments. The fact that these changes were enacted cannot justify the description of "all acquisitions as exempt" or "all rehabilitation obligations as extinguished".

Based on Telangana's Revenue Department, the voluntary-acquisition and 2017 consent-award rules further structure implementation. It must be proved relevant in each case. The acquisition based on an agreement brings forward crucial issues regarding information and bargaining power. And whether the affected party could understand the consequences of accepting the terms.

While administrative efficiency is a legitimate issue, the demands of speed and fairness require evaluation by a separate standard. A shorter process might reduce uncertainty, but it could also narrow opportunities for identifying omitted interests unless adequate safeguards operate.

4.3 Scheduled Areas and forest-dependent communities

Scheduled Areas and recognized or claimable forest rights may attract additional protections. According to PESA, Scheduled Areas must be consulted before acquisition and rehabilitation, while the Forest Rights Act confers specified individual and community forest rights (India, Provisions, sec. 4(i); India, Scheduled Tribes). These frameworks need to be looked at with acquisition law. Researchers must avoid homogenizing tribes, or treating any settlement as falling under a single legal regime. The location, rights, purpose and procedural history of the project continue to be determinative.

V. Telangana Judicial Evidence

5.1 Consent, valuation, and rehabilitation in *Merugu Narsaiah*

The Telangana High Court recently looked into acquisition-related agreements concerning small farmers in Allipur and Ananthagiri villages for the Ananthagirisagar reservoir in Merugu Narsaiah. The court declared the agreements or consent awards in issue to be coercive and unconscionable and directed for redetermination of compensation. It also found entitlement to rehabilitation payments under the relevant statute (Merugu Narsaiah, 88-109).

The decision shows why a signature alone cannot resolve a social legal enquiry into consent. The circumstances involving the process matter: how the price was set, what information was provided, and whether the affected person had real choice.

Its implications, however, should be contained. The judgement makes findings on both the petitioners and the proceedings. Every acquisition which has been negotiated in Telangana cannot be said to have been coercive.

For policy analysis, transparent valuation and separately identified entitlements are recommended by the case. When multiple elements are bundled, the affected persons must receive a clear explanation of what they are for.

5.2 Family recognition in *Yedla Babulu*

Yedla Babulu met with adult single people from project-displaced families of Mamidyala village affected by Kondapochamma Sagar reservoir. The court analyzed the disparate rehabilitation treatment and ruled that adult unmarried members who are eligible cannot be excluded or disadvantaged on the basis of marital relationship. The statutory definition of family formed the underlying logic (Yedla Babulu, pars. 26–29). This disagreement demonstrates how an administrative class can influence the allocation of considerable advantages. The tally of recognised families is not just a statistic. It is precisely that number which determines who receives individual consideration. The broader lesson is to check eligibility and related definition under relevant law. There should be documented consideration of household composition, residence, age, etc. Administrative inquiries should help us carry out these investigations and not shortcut them with alternatives of convenience.

VI. Socioeconomic Impacts and Their Mechanisms

6.1 Livelihood disruption and occupational change

Household acquisition may sever links in a productive network. Land for agricultural purposes can be used to grow crops, keep livestock, work for wages and for making small exchanges. Substituting one asset does not necessarily recreate these relationships.

Deininger's land policy analysis shows a link between land institutions, investment and poverty reduction. In this regard, the relevant question is where affected households will be able to convert compensation into secure productive opportunities. The availability of prices, skills, transport, and access to finance depends on conversion.

A measurement of employment training should be with outcomes. Completion certificates do not give steady employment and temporary construction work cannot substitute for a durable livelihood. Rehabilitation monitoring must focus on income, employment continuity, qualification, and preferences.

Downing's research involving displacement because of mining activities sends a comparative alert against allowing projects to create new impoverishment. The conceptual relevance to Telangana; the situation of entitlement status of irrigation displaced households calls for an independent investigation.

6.2 Compensation adequacy and replacement costs

Compensation adequacy contains at least three distinct questions: whether the payment is in compliance with law, whether it is made when due, and whether the household can obtain a suitable replacement.

Although a payment may seem quite substantial, the buyer may find themselves purchasing less productive land because replacement markets differ from their acquired property's market. The household's position is further affected by the costs of transacting, relocating and interrupted earning. These are empirical questions, not reasons to assume every collar is inadequate.

Valuation inputs, treatment of structures, trees, and should therefore be open to public scrutiny other recognised losses. Individuals affected by the event must receive clear calculations and available challenges information. Monies quantified through negotiation for a specific project shouldn't be written as a universal statutory rate.

Balagopal's analysis of land grants and industrial development provides a regional critique of how the advantages and losses of public intervention get distributed. The aim of this paper is to promote an examination of who benefits from land conversion and who pays for adjustment (through uncompensated losses).

6.3 Housing and access to services

The relationship of resettlement housing to daily life should be assessed. A house can enhance physical shelter while increasing the distance from work, school, healthcare, public transport, or market. Scudder's investigation of giant dams and Mathur's edited collection on Indian resettlement show the need for sustained institutional focus on social reconstruction (Scudder; Mathur). For Telangana, this means seeing how the settlements function after their occupation. The assessments will be of water reliability, sanitation, transport affordability, maintenance, etc. Communities that host also matter. Growth in population may impact shared services and local job. Rehabilitation planning should identify these pressures without assuming they conflict.

6.4 Commons and collective resources

Grazing areas, paths, water access, and shared spaces can be overlooked in priv... Households may have to purchase goods or services that were previously availed communally due to their loss.

The FAO tenure guidelines (Food and Agriculture Organisation) place a strong emphasis on legitimate tenure interests and responsible governance. As such, they provide a useful comparative benchmark for identifying which resource relationships are affected. Under the law in Telangana, these guidelines do not independently determine whether a person is entitled to compensation.

An assessment that could withstand scrutiny should map resource use prior to acquisition and test accessibility of proposed substitutes. Alternatively designating an area for grazing or other objective may not be useful it is too far away or unusable. You need collective remedy as well as individual payment for collective losses.

Private-property inventories might miss grazing areas, pathways, water access, and shared spaces. Households might have to buy goods or services that were previously acquired through sharing.

The FAO tenure guidelines (Food and Agriculture Organization) underscore legitimate tenure interests and responsible governance, which provide a useful comparative benchmark for identifying affected resource relationships. Under the law of Telangana these guidelines are not determinative of compensation.

A defensible assessment will map pre-acquisition resource use and test the availability of substitute resources. Providing alternative grazing area may not work if it is unusable or far from the original area. Collective remedies, together with individual payments, are necessary for collective losses.

VII. Gender, Social Inequality, and Community Relationships

Agarwal's research describes that if women in South Asia have command over property then they have fewer chances of domestic violence. In displacement studies, it is necessary to disentangle whether it is the household which receives funds or only an individual that controls how this money is used. If one member gets compensation, it wouldn't mean that every affected person's interest will be met.

Women's experience might also differ depending on their marital status, work, age, disability and reliance on local support. Relocation and its effect on travel burdens, unpaid care work, access to income and being part of the decision should be researched. We should examine these outcomes instead of taking them for granted.

The capacity to document losses and negotiate with the administration is caste-and-class-dependent. People with limited formal documentation may find it harder to prove interest. On the other hand, documentary evidence cannot be disregraded just because the claimant is well-known in society; the claim has to be assessed without bias in each case.

The edited work by Oliver-Smith examines the wider questions of social organisation and dispossession. Communities are networks of support, exchange and identity; therefore, its relevance lies in this. By moving households together, a relationship may be preserved, but not necessarily its economic basis.

These factors favour individual interviews and household surveys and community consultations. Through separate engagement, it is possible to uncover interests that representatives of the household or village do not express.

VIII. Participation, Consent, and Institutional Practice

Decisions should be influenced by participation and alternatives. Once the site, size of acquisition and package have been settled, there is little scope for anything substantive at the meeting.

Scott's analysis of administrative simplification explains why people's complex lives are reduced to standardised categories (Scott). Lipsky's discussion of officials translates policy into everyday decisions aims our attention specifically on those officials. Both perspectives recommend a re-examination of institutional processes, although neither implicates any particular Telangana office in wrongdoing.

To engage meaningfully, documents must be accessible, valuation explanations clear, records correctable and objections answered. Where agreement based acquisition takes place, independent advice can assist affected people in understanding the difference between accepting compensation and settling specific claims.

The Performance Standard no 5 of International Finance Corporation distinguishes physical and economic displacements. Its good practice handbook which sets the baseline assessment, provides practical support for implementation and monitoring (International Finance Corporation, Performance Standard 5; Good Practice Handbook). These will be comparative norms whose binding effect will depend on the project and financing arrangements.

One other benchmark for participation and resettlement management in the applicable projects is the Environment and Social Framework of the World Bank. It should enable analytical scrutiny but not be portrayed as automatically applicable domestic legislation.

IX. Access to Justice and Implementation

Legal remedies would be worthless if not able to use. Several factors like distance, document costs, unaccustomed procedures, interrupted employment, and dependence can make remedies hard to pursue .

The Legal Services Authorities Act establishes an institutional framework for the provision of legal assistance to eligible persons. Claimants can prepare applications, gather evidence, file applications, and appeal through legal aid outreach. Help should be offered before disputes turn into litigation.

A project grievance mechanism should record complaints, give acknowledgements, explain decisions and allow escalation. It shouldn't require the abandonment of any other legal remedy. Complaints about missing family members, disputed properties, late payment, and un-built houses should remain identifiable.

UN Guidelines on Development-based Evictions are establish a human-rights benchmark for safeguards and remedies (United Nations Human Rights Council). Their effective contribution is to ensure the estimated damage of displaced conditions does not allow for completed removals to become its main administrative achievement.

Winning in court isn't enough. The filing of a case, its adjudication, the payment and delivery of possession and the actual enjoyment of the remedy must be distinguished in monitoring. An order may clarify entitlement without independently establishing the ceased difficulties of the affected household.

X. A Framework for Evaluating Rehabilitation

The assessment of rehabilitation should be done over time against a credible baseline. The social framework developed by Smyth and Vanclay makes a case for appreciating the interconnections of wellbeing conditions rather than project outputs (Smyth and Vanclay).

Analysis at the very least should include examination of housing security and actual household income, employment stability, indebtedness, food access, services, resource use, and unresolved claims. Findings should be broken down by relevant social and household characteristics. While overall results might be positive, small groups can have sizeable losses.

The input-money spent on compensation, outputs-number of houses completed and training delivered. Sustained access to services is an outcome of it. Keeping this difference prevents administrative costs being confused with proven rehabilitation.

The World Commission on Dams (2000) calls for a broader framework for considering development choices and their distributional effects. It is essential for Telangana to check the alternatives before displacement is irreversible.

Attributions should be carefully examined also through research. Income shifts might mirror market conditions, rains or broader job trends. When there are repeated observations and suitable comparison groups, the interpretation is improved, but all sources of bias are not eliminated.

XI. Recommendations

To begin with, acquisition planning must document alternatives and explain the land requirement. Identifying the exemptions that may apply: Legal basis and scope. Project design should reduce avoidable displacement.

Ownership and livelihood dependence should be identified through baseline surveys. The draft eligibility lists must be made available for corrections with reasoned exclusion. Survey methods have to be in accordance with the given definition of family.

Third, compensation statements must separate land, structures, other recognised losses and rehabilitation components. Those affected must be given clear calculations and information on remedies. Procedures should ensure clear disclosure and access to independent advice.

Relocation readiness should be independently verified. Availability of housing is not enough: essential services, safe access, transport and arrangements for vulnerable neighbours need to be looked at.

Livelihood programmes should align with occupational realities. An assessment of household needs and relevant entitlements should be the basis for determining new land, other employment support, enterprise assistance. Training shouldn't become a replacement for proven income recovery.

Sixth, responsibilities for implementation should be assigned clearly.

Public progress reports should present expenditure separately from completed entitlements and sustained outcomes. Confidential personal data must not be divulged.

Ultimately, after relocation, follow-up should continue. Independent reviews should identify households who have not yet adequately recovered and make recommendations. The project budget must include the resources for these reviews rather than them being an afterthought post construction.

XII. Limitations and Conclusion

The legal requirements prior to setting a documentary method are specified along with implementation failures that were selected, but the frequency of these cannot be estimated across Telangana. The great majority of litigation involves a contested case. Institutional standards describe expected practice not the actual local outcome. Hands-on research is essential.

Combining administrative records, stratified household interviews, settlement observations, and repeated follow-up will power stronger research. Participants should be protected from retaliation with ethical safeguards that distinguish allegations from verified findings.

All the same, the evidence leads to an obvious conclusion; acquisition cannot be assessed by the area of land transferred or the compensation paid. The ongoing legal tussles related to Telangana reflect the need for separate consideration of consent, valuation, eligibility, and rehabilitation. A successful implementation must connect legal entitlements with usable housing, viable livelihoods, public services and enforceable remedies. Development becomes more fair when displaced people can safely rebuild their lives and can participate in decisions about their future.

Works Cited

[1]. Agarwal, Bina. *A Field of One's Own: Gender and Land Rights in South Asia*. Cambridge UP, 1994.

[2]. Balagopal, K. "Land Unrest in Andhra Pradesh-II: Impact of Grants to Industries." *Economic and Political Weekly*, vol. 42, no. 39, 29 Sept. 2007, pp. 3906–07.

[3]. Cernea, Michael M. "The Risks and Reconstruction Model for Resettling Displaced Populations." *World Development*, vol. 25, no. 10, 1997, pp. 1569–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(97)00054-5.

[4]. Chakravorty, Sanjoy. *The Price of Land: Acquisition, Conflict, Consequence*. Oxford UP, 2013.

[5]. Deininger, Klaus. *Land Policies for Growth and Poverty Reduction*. World Bank and Oxford UP, 2003.

[6]. Downing, Theodore E. *Avoiding New Poverty: Mining-Induced Displacement and Resettlement*. International Institute for Environment and Development, 2002. MMSD Working Paper 58. www.iied.org/g00549.

[7]. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *Voluntary Guidelines on the Responsible Governance of Tenure of Land, Fisheries and Forests in the Context of National Food Security*. 1st revision, 2022. https://doi.org/10.4060/i2801e.

[8]. India. *The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987*. Act no. 39 of 1987. *National Legal Services Authority*, nalsa.gov.in/the-legal-services-authorities-act-1987/.

[9]. India. *The Provisions of the Panchayats (Extension to the Scheduled Areas) Act, 1996*. Act no. 40 of 1996. *India Code*, www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/1973/1/A1996-40.pdf.

[10]. India. *The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013*. Act no. 30 of 2013. *India Code*, www.indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/17043.

[11]. India. *The Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006*. Act no. 2 of 2007. *Ministry of Tribal Affairs*, tribal.nic.in/FRA.aspx.

[12]. International Finance Corporation. *Good Practice Handbook: Land Acquisition and Involuntary Resettlement*. 2023. www.ifc.org/en/insights-reports/2023/handbook-land-acquisition-and-involuntary-resettlement.

[13]. International Finance Corporation. *Performance Standard 5: Land Acquisition and Involuntary Resettlement*. 1 Jan. 2012. www.ifc.org/en/insights-reports/2012/ifc-performance-standard-5.

[14]. Levien, Michael. *Dispossession without Development: Land Grabs in Neoliberal India*. Oxford UP, 2018.

[15]. Lipsky, Michael. *Street-Level Bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the Individual in Public Services*. 30th anniversary expanded ed., Russell Sage Foundation, 2010. https://doi.org/10.7758/9781610446631.

[16]. Mathur, Hari Mohan, editor. *Managing Resettlement in India: Approaches, Issues, Experiences*. Oxford UP, 2006.

[17]. *Merugu Narsaiah alias Narsimha Reddy and Others v. State of Telangana and Others*. Writ Petition nos. 37769 of 2017, 16344 of 2019, 21740 of 2019, and 26575 of 2019. High Court for the State of Telangana, 3 June 2020. *Indian Kanoon*, indiankanoon.org/doc/21898422/.

[18]. Oliver-Smith, Anthony, editor. *Development and Dispossession: The Crisis of Forced Displacement and Resettlement*. School for Advanced Research Press, 2009.

[19]. Ribot, Jesse C., and Nancy Lee Peluso. "A Theory of Access." *Rural Sociology*, vol. 68, no. 2, 2003, pp. 153–81. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1549-0831.2003.tb00133.x.

[20]. Scott, James C. *Seeing Like a State: How Certain Schemes to Improve the Human Condition Have Failed*. Yale UP, 1998.

[21]. Scudder, Thayer. *The Future of Large Dams: Dealing with Social, Environmental, Institutional and Political Costs*. Earthscan, 2005.

[22]. Sen, Amartya. *Development as Freedom*. Oxford UP, 1999.

[23]. Smyth, Eddie, and Frank Vanclay. "The Social Framework for Projects: A Conceptual but Practical Model to Assist in Assessing, Planning and Managing the Social Impacts of Projects." *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, vol. 35, no. 1, 2017, pp. 65–80. https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2016.1271539.

[24]. Telangana. *The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement (Telangana Amendment) Act, 2016*. Act no. 21 of 2017. *The Telangana Gazette*, pt. IV-B, extraordinary, 17 May 2017, pp. 1–7. *FAOLEX*, faolex.fao.org/docs/pdf/ind202040.pdf.

[25]. Telangana, Revenue Department. *The Telangana State Land Acquisition (Consent Award, Voluntary Acquisition and Lump-Sum Payment towards Rehabilitation and Resettlement) Rules, 2017*. G.O.Ms.No.120, 30 June 2017. *Internet Archive*, archive.org/details/in.gov.telangana.goir.2017-06-30.revenue-manuscript-120.

[26]. United Nations Human Rights Council. "Basic Principles and Guidelines on Development-Based Evictions and Displacement." *Report of the Special Rapporteur on Adequate Housing, Miloon Kothari*, A/HRC/4/18, 5 Feb. 2007, annex I. *Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights*, www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/Housing/Guidelines_en.pdf.

[27]. Vanclay, Frank. "Project-Induced Displacement and Resettlement: From Impoverishment Risks to an Opportunity for Development?" *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, vol. 35, no. 1, 2017, pp. 3–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2017.1278671.

[28]. *Vidya Devi v. State of Himachal Pradesh and Others*. Civil Appeal nos. 60–61 of 2020. Supreme Court of India, 8 Jan. 2020. Supreme Court judgment.

[29]. World Bank. *Environmental and Social Framework*. 2017. pubdocs.worldbank.org/en/837721522762050108/Environmental-and-Social-Framework.pdf.

[30]. World Commission on Dams. *Dams and Development: A New Framework for Decision-Making*. Earthscan, 2000.

[31]. *Yedla Babulu and Others v. State of Telangana and Others*. Writ Petition no. 2188 of 2020. High Court for the State of Telangana, 3 Sept. 2020. *Indian Kanoon*, indiankanoon.org/doc/41955600/.