



Indian Knowledge Systems in Odisha's Disaster Mitigation Framework

Seema Rani Sethi¹ & Jagadish Sahu²

^{1.} Faculty in Political Science, Nilgiri College, Nilgiri, Odisha

^{2.} Faculty in Political Science, MPC Autonomous College, Baripada, Odisha

Abstract: Odisha, located on India's eastern coast, is one of the most disaster-prone states in the country, facing recurrent cyclones, floods, and droughts. Over the past two decades, the state has transformed from experiencing catastrophic losses during the 1999 Super Cyclone to achieving near-zero casualties during Cyclone Phailin in 2013. This remarkable transformation has been attributed not only to modern institutional preparedness but also to the integration of indigenous and traditional knowledge systems with contemporary disaster management practices. This paper examines the role of Indian Knowledge Systems in disaster management in Odisha, drawing upon a comprehensive review of scholarly literature. Traditional ecological knowledge, community-based coping strategies, and indigenous practices across various communities—including fisher, tribal, and agricultural communities—contribute to disaster resilience, which is discussed in the paper. The key findings indicate that indigenous knowledge exists in various forms, including traditional forecasting practices based on environmental observations, ecosystem-based management practices, community-level disaster preparedness strategies, and culturally appropriate adaptation strategies. The combination of these traditional practices with scientific knowledge and institutional arrangements has been successful in mitigating disaster risks and building resilience. This paper proposes the documentation, validation, and institutionalization of indigenous knowledge practices to enhance the effectiveness of disaster management policies and build sustainable resilience in Odisha and other disaster-prone areas.

Keywords: Odisha, Indigenous Knowledge System, Disaster Management, Community-Level Disaster Preparedness, Sustainable Resilience.

Received 11 Feb., 2026; Revised 20 Feb., 2026; Accepted 23 Feb., 2026 © The author(s) 2026.

Published with open access at www.questjournals.org

I. Introduction

Disaster management has evolved significantly over the past few decades, shifting from a purely reactive, relief-focused approach to a more comprehensive framework that emphasizes preparedness, mitigation, and resilience-building. Within this evolving paradigm, there is growing recognition of the value of indigenous and traditional knowledge systems in complementing scientific approaches to disaster risk reduction. Indigenous knowledge, accumulated over generations through direct interaction with the environment, offers locally contextualized, time-tested solutions that are often more accessible and culturally appropriate than externally imposed interventions (Srivastava, 2012).

Odisha, a state on India's eastern seaboard, presents a compelling case for examining the role of indigenous knowledge in disaster management. The state is highly vulnerable to multiple natural hazards, including tropical cyclones, floods, droughts, and coastal erosion. The devastating Super Cyclone of 1999, which claimed approximately 20,000 lives, marked a turning point in the state's approach to disaster management (Tripathy, 2023). Over the subsequent decade, Odisha developed a robust institutional framework for disaster preparedness, which, combined with community-level resilience mechanisms, enabled the state to achieve remarkable success during Cyclone Phailin in 2013, when over 500,000 people were evacuated within 48 hours with zero direct casualties (Tripathy, 2023).

This transformation raises important questions about the role of indigenous knowledge systems in building disaster resilience. How do traditional practices contribute to disaster preparedness and response? What specific indigenous knowledge practices exist among different communities in Odisha? How can these practices be effectively integrated with modern scientific approaches and institutional frameworks? This research paper

addresses these questions through a comprehensive review of scholarly literature on indigenous knowledge systems in disaster management, with a specific focus on Odisha.

Statement of the Problem

Odisha is one of India's most disaster-prone states, frequently facing devastating cyclones, floods, and droughts due to its 480-km coastline and specific geographical characteristics. While modern institutional frameworks have significantly reduced casualties—most notably, from 20,000 deaths in the 1999 Super Cyclone to near-zero in 2013's Cyclone Phailin—disaster vulnerability remains uneven across communities. Specifically, coastal fishers, interior tribal populations, and agricultural workers in drought-prone regions face differentiated risks that standard, externally imposed scientific interventions may not fully address. There is a critical need to understand how accumulated, locally contextualized indigenous wisdom can be validated and integrated with modern scientific approaches to build more sustainable and inclusive resilience (Beriwal et al., 2025; Nayak & Sethi, 2025; Pradhan et al., 2025).

Research Objectives

The primary goal of this research is to examine the role of Indian Knowledge Systems in Odisha's disaster management framework. The specific objectives include:

- To identify and document specific indigenous knowledge practices among diverse communities (fisher, tribal, and agricultural) in Odisha.
- To evaluate how traditional practices contribute to disaster preparedness, response, and long-term resilience.
- To analyze the success of integrating indigenous and scientific knowledge in past disaster events, such as Cyclone Phailin.
- To propose strategies for the institutionalization of indigenous knowledge into formal disaster management policies.

Research Questions

1. What specific indigenous knowledge practices regarding forecasting, ecosystem management, and community coping exist among different communities in Odisha?
2. How do traditional practices effectively contribute to disaster preparedness and response in ways that complement scientific methods?
3. What are the key factors, challenges, and mechanisms required for the successful integration of indigenous knowledge with modern institutional frameworks?

II. Literature Review

The literature review examines the evolution of disaster management from a reactive, relief-focused approach to a proactive framework that emphasizes indigenous resilience (Ediga, 2015; Patel et al., 2021; Ray-Bennett, 2011). Adhya (2022) and Srivastava (2012) argued that Indigenous knowledge is defined as the accumulated wisdom, beliefs, and practices developed over generations through direct interaction with the environment. Unlike codified scientific data, IKS is often tacit, orally transmitted, and deeply embedded in local cultural contexts. It is dynamic, evolving through continuous adaptation to changing social and environmental conditions (Adhya, 2022; Iloka, 2016; Kurnio et al., 2021; Markolinda et al., 2025; Mikulecký et al., 2023; Sabar & Midya, 2024; Srivastava, 2012). A significant body of research highlights that communities act as "producers" of meteorological knowledge rather than just passive recipients of official warnings. Coastal and fisher communities predict cyclones by observing wind direction changes, unusual animal behavior (e.g., bird migration, fish school movements), and sea color (Chinyoka & Steeneveld, 2023; Dash, 2024; Haque et al., 2021; Lala et al., 2022; Rico, 2022). Local populations often innovate by triangulating official generic forecasts with fine-grained local observations to make information locally applicable (Dinsa et al., 2022; Mavhura & Mushure, 2019; Zvobgo et al., 2023). Mangroves are cited as a critical defense against storm surges, with their protective value estimated at thousands of dollars per hectare (Bimrah et al., 2022; Dasgupta et al., 2019; Dhadse et al., 2021). Sahoo et al. (2024) and Sabar & Midya (2024) argued that communities such as the Bonda and Chuktia Bhunjia in Odisha use diverse crop varieties and traditional soil/water conservation techniques to maintain food security under climate stress. Lempert et al. (2023) argue that scientific and indigenous systems are complementary rather than competing. While science offers predictive modeling and systematic data, IKS provides the local context and community-level implementation mechanisms. However, Ngwese et al. (2018), Rai & Khawas (2019), and Salgotra et al. (2018) warn of "extractive" approaches in which traditional knowledge is used without proper acknowledgment or respect for community agency. Odisha's transition following the 1999 Super Cyclone serves as a global case study for integrated disaster management. Success in subsequent events like Cyclone Phailin

(2013) resulted from combining institutional reforms with community-level preparedness informed by local leadership and social networks.

III. Background and Theoretical Foundations

Disaster Vulnerability in Odisha

Odisha's geographical location and socio-economic characteristics make it one of India's most disaster-prone states. The state has a 480-kilometer coastline along the Bay of Bengal, making it highly vulnerable to tropical cyclones and storm surges. The Mahanadi Delta, which covers five coastal districts (Puri, Khordha, Jagatsinghpur, Kendrapara, and Bhadrak), is particularly susceptible to floods, cyclones, and coastal erosion (Balaram & Dhananjay, 2025; Clark-Ginsberg et al., 2020; Pradhan et al., 2025). Additionally, western Odisha experiences recurrent droughts despite the state's average annual rainfall of 1100 mm (Joyce et al., 2022a; Sultana et al., 2023)

The 1999 Super Cyclone remains one of the deadliest natural disasters in India's recent history, causing approximately 20,000 deaths and massive economic losses (Kumar & Pradhan, 2022; Tripathy, 2023). This catastrophic event exposed the inadequacies of existing disaster management systems and catalyzed significant reforms in institutional preparedness, early warning systems, and community engagement. The state's subsequent success in managing Cyclone Phailin in 2013 and Cyclone Hudhud in 2014 demonstrated the effectiveness of improved disaster management procedures, including better cyclone warnings, evacuation protocols, and community preparedness (Balaram & Dhananjay, 2025; Clark-Ginsberg et al., 2020; Pradhan et al., 2025).

However, disaster vulnerability in Odisha is not uniform. Coastal fishing communities, tribal populations in interior regions, and agricultural communities face different types and degrees of risk. Understanding these differentiated vulnerabilities and the specific coping mechanisms developed by various communities is essential for developing effective, inclusive disaster management strategies (Pradhan, 2026).

Conceptualizing Indigenous Knowledge Systems

Indigenous knowledge, also referred to as traditional knowledge, local knowledge, or traditional ecological knowledge, encompasses the accumulated wisdom, practices, and beliefs that communities have developed through generations of direct experience with their environment. This knowledge is often tacit rather than codified, transmitted orally through cultural practices, and deeply embedded in local contexts (Srivastava, 2012). Indigenous knowledge systems are dynamic rather than static, continuously evolving through innovation and adaptation to changing environmental and social conditions (Pradhan et al., 2026).

In the context of disaster management, indigenous knowledge manifests in several forms: traditional forecasting methods based on observation of environmental indicators, ecosystem-based management practices that reduce vulnerability, community-level preparedness and coping strategies, and culturally appropriate recovery mechanisms (Amin et al., 2023). Traditional knowledge provides valuable information about local climate systems, longstanding adaptation practices, and the adaptive capacities of vulnerable communities (A. Sharma & Chauhan, 2013).

The value of indigenous knowledge in disaster risk reduction has been increasingly recognized in international frameworks. The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 explicitly calls for documenting and utilizing community resilience mechanisms and traditional coping strategies (Balaram & Dhananjay, 2025; Clark-Ginsberg et al., 2020; Pradhan et al., 2025; Tripathy, 2023). This recognition reflects a broader shift toward participatory, community-based approaches to disaster management that acknowledge local communities not merely as passive recipients of aid but as active knowledge producers and agents of resilience.

Integration of Indigenous and Scientific Knowledge

While indigenous knowledge offers significant advantages in terms of local appropriateness, accessibility, and cultural acceptability, it also has limitations. Traditional practices may not be sufficient to address the scale and complexity of contemporary disasters, particularly in the context of climate change and rapid urbanization. Therefore, the integration of indigenous and scientific knowledge has emerged as a key strategy for effective disaster risk reduction (Srivastava, 2012).

Successful integration requires recognizing that the two knowledge systems are complementary rather than competing. Scientific knowledge provides systematic data collection, predictive modeling, and technological tools for early warning and response. Indigenous knowledge contributes fine-grained local observations, contextual understanding, and community-level implementation mechanisms (Acharya & Prakash, 2019). The challenge lies in creating institutional and policy frameworks that facilitate dialogue between these knowledge systems while respecting the autonomy and agency of local communities.

Research from various contexts in India demonstrates both the potential and challenges of knowledge integration. Studies have shown that communities often innovate by triangulating official early warning information with local observations to make generic forecasts locally applicable (Acharya & Prakash, 2019).

However, integration efforts can fail when traditional knowledge is extracted without proper acknowledgment, when power imbalances marginalize local voices, or when post-disaster recovery programs miss opportunities to incorporate traditional practices (Sharma & Chauhan, 2013).

Indigenous Knowledge Practices in Disaster Management Traditional Forecasting and Early Warning Systems

One of the most significant contributions of indigenous knowledge to disaster management is traditional forecasting based on environmental indicators. Communities across India have developed sophisticated methods for predicting cyclones, floods, and other hazards through careful observation of hydro-meteorological indicators and bioindicators (U. Sharma et al., 2013).

Research on cyclone-prone coastal areas reveals that fishermen and coastal communities anticipate cyclones based on environmental precursors such as changes in wind direction, unusual animal and plant behavior, changes in sea color, sudden increases in humidity, calm and waveless rivers, sudden migration of fish schools, and unusual bird behavior (Chowdhury et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2013). These traditional forecasting methods are not merely folklore but represent accumulated empirical knowledge refined over generations.

A study on flood forecasting in the Gandak River basin in Bihar documented how local communities deploy sophisticated means to forecast floods and heavy rainfall through complex interaction between fine-grained local observations and official early warning systems (Acharya & Prakash, 2019). Communities practice knowledge innovation by making generic flood forecasting information locally applicable through the triangulation of multiple information sources. Importantly, this research highlights that local communities should be recognized as producers of meteorological and flood forecasting knowledge, not merely as disseminators of official information.

In Odisha, fisher communities have developed their own methods for predicting weather patterns and sea conditions, which inform their decisions about when to venture into the sea and when to seek shelter (Balaram & Dhananjay, 2025; Clark-Ginsberg et al., 2020; Pradhan et al., 2025; Tripathy, 2023). These traditional forecasting methods complement modern early warning systems by providing locally specific, timely information that communities can act upon immediately.

Ecosystem-Based Disaster Management

Indigenous communities have long recognized the protective role of ecosystems in reducing disaster vulnerability. Ecosystem-based disaster management, grounded in traditional ecological knowledge, represents a sustainable approach to risk reduction that simultaneously addresses environmental conservation and community resilience (Joyce et al., 2022a; Sultana et al., 2023).

In Odisha, traditional ecological knowledge has been applied to drought risk mitigation and management. Despite the state's average annual rainfall of 1100 mm, western Odisha faces regular droughts, necessitating ecosystem-based approaches that enhance water retention and soil fertility (Joyce et al., 2022a; Sultana et al., 2023). Traditional agricultural practices, water harvesting techniques, and biodiversity conservation strategies contribute to drought resilience.

Coastal ecosystems, particularly mangroves, play a crucial role in protecting communities from cyclones and storm surges. Research across South Asia has documented the significant protective value of mangroves, with studies estimating their storm protection value at USD 68,586 per kilometer width and USD 4335 per hectare (Haque et al., 2021). In Odisha, the value of mangroves in reducing super-cyclone damage has been recognized, and community-based initiatives have focused on mangrove restoration and conservation (Haque et al., 2021).

Climate-vulnerable communities in South Asia, including Odisha, use local and indigenous techniques to construct physical infrastructure for preventing flooding, reducing saltwater intrusion, and controlling erosion (Amin et al., 2023). These practices integrate traditional wisdom with available local resources, demonstrating the practical application of indigenous knowledge in disaster risk reduction (Ali et al., 2021; Reyes et al., 2020).

Community-Based Coping Strategies

Beyond forecasting and ecosystem management, indigenous knowledge encompasses a wide range of community-based coping strategies that enhance resilience before, during, and after disasters. These strategies are often embedded in social institutions, livelihood practices, and cultural norms. Fisher communities in Odisha have developed specific disaster-resilient techniques that enable them to withstand the impacts of cyclones and floods (Balaram & Dhananjay, 2025; Clark-Ginsberg et al., 2020; Pradhan et al., 2025; Tripathy, 2023). These coping strategies include diversification of livelihoods, maintenance of social networks for mutual support, traditional methods of securing boats and fishing equipment, and knowledge of safe locations and evacuation routes. Documentation of these community practices provides valuable insights for policymakers seeking to institutionalize best practices and strengthen coastal resilience (De Sisto et al., 2024; Lempert et al., 2023).

In Bhubaneswar, Odisha's capital, policy experiments have incorporated local knowledge into disaster preparedness training. Civil defense corps volunteers were trained in disaster management and response techniques, including basic search-and-rescue methods using locally available resources, such as improvised rescue rafts made from fallen trees and life jackets constructed from discarded plastic water bottles and fallen coconuts (Chu, 2016). This approach demonstrates how indigenous knowledge of local materials and improvisation can enhance disaster response capacity.

Community-based disaster reduction initiatives across South Asia have shown that empowering communities through participatory approaches, combining advanced technology with indigenous knowledge, and utilizing nature-based solutions are key to building resilience (Amin et al., 2023). These initiatives recognize that communities possess experience and knowledge to foresee, analyze, prepare for, and face challenges with resilience (Gupta & Singh, 2011).

Tribal and Indigenous Agricultural Practices

Tribal communities in Odisha have developed distinctive agricultural practices and environmental management systems that contribute to climate change adaptation and disaster resilience. These practices reflect deep understanding of local ecosystems and sustainable resource management.

The Bonda tribal women in Malkangiri district, Odisha, employ indigenous knowledge to address the challenges of climate change. Their farming methods have evolved with the natural world, emphasizing environmental protection and food security (Satapathy, 2024). These traditional agricultural practices serve as adaptation and risk reduction strategies, enabling the community to maintain livelihoods despite environmental stresses.

Similarly, the Chuktia Bhunjia tribe of Odisha has developed indigenous agricultural practices that intersect knowledge with landscape, promoting sustainable food production and climate change response (Sabar & Midya, 2024). These practices demonstrate how traditional knowledge systems integrate agricultural production with ecosystem management to create resilient livelihood systems.

Research on indigenous agriculture in tribal communities reveals several common features: use of diverse crop varieties adapted to local conditions, traditional soil and water conservation techniques, integration of agriculture with forest resources, and seasonal management practices based on environmental observations. These practices not only enhance food security but also reduce vulnerability to climate-related disasters such as droughts and floods.

Case Studies from Odisha

Fisher Communities and Coastal Resilience

Fisher communities along Odisha's coast represent a critical case for understanding indigenous knowledge in disaster management. These communities, whose livelihoods depend on marine resources, have developed sophisticated coping strategies to navigate the risks posed by cyclones, storm surges, and rough seas (Tripathy, 2023), (Balaram & Dhananjay, 2025; Clark-Ginsberg et al., 2020; Pradhan et al., 2025).

Research on fisher communities in Odisha highlights several key resilience mechanisms. First, fishers possess detailed knowledge of weather patterns and sea conditions, enabling them to make informed decisions about when to fish and when to seek shelter. This knowledge is based on observation of environmental indicators such as wind patterns, wave behavior, and animal movements. Second, fishers maintain strong social networks that facilitate information sharing, mutual assistance during crises, and collective action for disaster preparedness. Third, livelihood diversification strategies, such as engaging in agriculture or other income-generating activities during the fishing off-season, reduce economic vulnerability.

The documentation of these community practices serves multiple purposes. It provides evidence of effective resilience mechanisms that can inform policy development. It validates the knowledge and agency of fisher communities, countering narratives that portray them as passive victims of disasters. And it offers concrete examples of how traditional knowledge can be institutionalized to strengthen disaster management systems (Tripathy, 2023).

The Sendai Framework's emphasis on community resilience mechanisms aligns with the experiences of Odisha's fisher communities. By recognizing and supporting these grassroots practices, disaster management policies can become more effective, equitable, and sustainable. The challenge lies in creating institutional mechanisms that genuinely engage with communities, respect their knowledge, and provide resources to strengthen their resilience capacities.

Tribal Communities: Bonda and Chuktia Bhunjia

Tribal communities in Odisha's interior regions face different disaster risks compared to coastal populations, primarily related to droughts, food insecurity, and climate variability. The indigenous knowledge

systems of these communities offer valuable insights into climate change adaptation and sustainable resource management.

The Bonda tribal women in Malkangiri district have developed effective strategies to adapt to climate change and mitigate its risks through indigenous knowledge and farming methods (Satapathy, 2024). A qualitative study using interviews and focus group discussions revealed that Bonda women's agricultural practices have evolved in response to environmental conditions, emphasizing environmental protection. Their traditional farming methods enable them to maintain food security despite climate challenges, demonstrating the practical value of indigenous knowledge in building resilience.

The Chuktia Bhunjia tribe provides another example of how indigenous agricultural practices contribute to sustainable food production and climate change response (Sabar & Midya, 2024). Their knowledge systems intersect with landscape management, creating integrated approaches to agriculture that enhance both productivity and environmental sustainability. These practices reflect generations of accumulated wisdom about local ecosystems, crop varieties, soil management, and seasonal patterns.

Both case studies illustrate several important principles. First, indigenous knowledge is not static but continuously evolving through innovation and adaptation. Second, women often play central roles in maintaining and transmitting traditional knowledge, particularly in agricultural and food security domains. Third, indigenous knowledge systems are holistic, integrating multiple dimensions of environmental management, social organization, and cultural practice. Fourth, these knowledge systems offer practical solutions that are locally appropriate, resource-efficient, and culturally acceptable.

Agricultural Communities in Kalahandi District

Kalahandi district in western Odisha is known for its vulnerability to drought and food insecurity. Agricultural communities in this region have developed various coping mechanisms based on traditional knowledge and community-based disaster risk reduction approaches.

A case study of Talmala village in Kalahandi district examined agriculture risk management and resilience building through community-based disaster risk reduction (Naik & Murari, 2023). The study documented how communities employ traditional practices for water conservation, soil management, and crop selection to reduce vulnerability to drought. These practices are embedded in local institutions and social networks that facilitate collective action and resource sharing.

Another study focusing on Borda village in Kalahandi district highlighted the incorporation of traditional knowledge into capacity building for disaster management (Talukdar et al., 2025). This approach recognizes that effective disaster risk reduction requires not only external interventions but also strengthening of local knowledge and capacities. By building on existing traditional practices, capacity-building initiatives can be more effective and sustainable.

The experiences of agricultural communities in Kalahandi demonstrate the importance of context-specific approaches to disaster management. Drought resilience in this region requires different strategies from those for cyclone preparedness in coastal areas. Traditional knowledge provides the foundation for these context-specific approaches, offering insights into local environmental conditions, historical patterns of climate variability, and proven coping mechanisms.

Institutional Success: From Super Cyclone to Phailin

Odisha's transformation from the catastrophic 1999 Super Cyclone to the successful management of Cyclone Phailin in 2013 represents one of the most remarkable achievements in Indian disaster management. This success resulted from a combination of institutional reforms, technological improvements, and community engagement, with indigenous knowledge playing a supporting role (Tripathy, 2023).

The 1999 Super Cyclone caused approximately 20,000 deaths and exposed serious deficiencies in disaster preparedness, early warning systems, and response mechanisms. In the aftermath, Odisha undertook comprehensive reforms, including the establishment of the Odisha State Disaster Management Authority, the development of early warning systems, the construction of cyclone shelters, and extensive community-based disaster preparedness programs (Tripathy, 2023).

During Cyclone Phailin in October 2013, these reforms were tested. The state successfully evacuated over 500,000 people within 48 hours, achieving zero direct casualties—a stark contrast to the thousands who perished in 1999 (Tripathy, 2023), (Chu, 2016). This success was attributed to several factors: improved early warning systems that provided timely and accurate information; an extensive network of cyclone shelters that provided safe refuge; well-coordinated evacuation procedures involving multiple agencies; and community preparedness resulting from years of awareness programs and drills.

While institutional and technological factors were crucial, community-level knowledge and preparedness also played important roles. Communities' understanding of cyclone risks, familiarity with evacuation procedures, and trust in authorities' warnings facilitated rapid and orderly evacuation. Traditional knowledge of safe locations, local leadership structures, and social networks supported the official evacuation efforts (Chu, 2016).

The Odisha case demonstrates that effective disaster management requires integration of multiple elements: strong institutional frameworks, advanced technology, adequate infrastructure, and community engagement informed by local knowledge. The challenge for policymakers is to maintain this integrated approach while continuously learning from each disaster event and adapting strategies accordingly.

Key Findings and Comparative Analysis

Effectiveness of Indigenous Knowledge Practices

The literature review reveals substantial evidence for the effectiveness of indigenous knowledge practices in disaster management across multiple domains. Traditional forecasting methods based on environmental indicators have proven valuable in complementing modern early warning systems, particularly in providing locally specific, timely information that communities can act upon (Acharya & Prakash, 2019; Chowdhury et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2013). Research demonstrates that nonformal education, specifically traditional knowledge for predicting cyclones based on environmental precursors, significantly determines the ability to understand and interpret warning information, leading to better decision-making and evacuation (Sharma et al., 2013).

Ecosystem-based approaches grounded in traditional ecological knowledge contribute to disaster risk reduction while simultaneously supporting environmental conservation and sustainable livelihoods (Amin et al., 2023; Haque et al., 2021; Joyce et al., 2022; Sultana et al., 2023). The protective value of mangroves, recognized in traditional knowledge systems, has been quantified in economic terms, providing evidence for policy support for ecosystem conservation (Haque et al., 2021).

Community-based coping strategies developed through indigenous knowledge enhance resilience at multiple levels: Fisher communities' coping strategies in Odisha demonstrates that grassroots resilience mechanisms can inform policy development and strengthen disaster management systems (Balaram & Dhananjay, 2025; Clark-Ginsberg, 2017; Pradhan et al., 2025; Tripathy, 2023).

However, the effectiveness of indigenous knowledge practices is context-dependent and faces several limitations. Traditional forecasting methods may not provide the precision or lead time of modern meteorological systems. Ecosystem-based approaches require long-term commitment and may not address immediate disaster risks. Community-based strategies may be insufficient in the face of extreme events or when social networks are disrupted. Therefore, indigenous knowledge is most effective when integrated with scientific knowledge and institutional support rather than viewed as a standalone solution.

Integration Mechanisms and Success Factors

Successful integration of indigenous and scientific knowledge requires specific mechanisms and enabling conditions. The literature identifies several key factors that facilitate effective integration:

Recognition and validation: Indigenous knowledge must be recognized as legitimate and valuable by scientific and policy communities. This requires moving beyond extractive approaches that merely document traditional practices to genuine partnerships that respect community agency and knowledge ownership (Acharya & Prakash, 2019).

Institutional frameworks: Formal institutions, including government agencies and NGOs, play crucial roles in facilitating knowledge integration. The Odisha case demonstrates how institutional reforms, combined with community engagement, can create enabling environments for utilizing both scientific and indigenous knowledge (Chu, 2016).

Participatory approaches: Effective integration requires participatory methods that engage communities as active partners rather than passive recipients. Research on community-based disaster reduction across South Asia highlights the importance of community participation, empowerment, and ownership in building resilience (Amin et al., 2023).

Documentation and systematization: While indigenous knowledge is often tacit and orally transmitted, systematic documentation can facilitate its integration with scientific knowledge and policy frameworks. However, documentation must be done carefully to avoid decontextualization or misappropriation (Srivastava, 2012).

Capacity Building: Building capacity at both community and institutional levels is essential for effective knowledge integration. This includes training community members in modern disaster management techniques while also educating officials and professionals about indigenous knowledge systems (Talukdar et al., 2025).

Gender Considerations: Research highlights the gendered dimensions of indigenous knowledge production and utilization. Women often play central roles in maintaining traditional knowledge, particularly in agricultural and food security domains, yet their contributions may be marginalized in formal disaster management systems (Acharya & Prakash, 2019).

The Odisha experience provides valuable lessons about integration mechanisms. The state's success in managing Cyclone Phailin resulted from over a decade of meticulous planning that combined institutional reforms, technological improvements, and community engagement (Tripathy, 2023), (Chu, 2016). This integrated

approach recognized the value of both scientific early warning systems and community-level preparedness informed by local knowledge.

IV. Challenges and Limitations

Despite the demonstrated value of indigenous knowledge in disaster management, several challenges and limitations remain. **First**, indigenous knowledge is often tacit and embedded in specific cultural contexts, making it difficult to codify, transfer, or scale up (Srivastava, 2012). Efforts to document and systematize traditional knowledge risk decontextualizing it or reducing complex, holistic knowledge systems to simplified technical practices.

Second, power imbalances between scientific and indigenous knowledge systems can marginalize traditional practices. When integration efforts are driven primarily by external actors, there is a risk of extractive approaches that appropriate indigenous knowledge without proper acknowledgment or benefit-sharing (Sharma & Chauhan, 2013).

Third, climate change and rapid socio-economic transformations may reduce the effectiveness of some traditional practices. Indigenous knowledge systems evolved in response to historical environmental conditions and may require adaptation to address novel challenges posed by climate change, urbanization, and globalization.

Fourth, there are gaps in the empirical evidence base for many indigenous knowledge practices. While communities may have confidence in traditional methods based on experience, scientific validation is often lacking. This creates challenges for policy integration, as decision-makers may be reluctant to support practices without robust evidence (Sharma et al., 2013).

Fifth, institutional and policy frameworks often lack mechanisms for genuinely engaging with indigenous knowledge. Post-disaster recovery programs frequently miss opportunities to incorporate traditional practices, representing misused development opportunities (Sharma & Chauhan, 2013). Creating institutional mechanisms that facilitate meaningful knowledge integration remains a significant challenge.

Finally, tensions exist between different knowledge systems and stakeholder interests. What communities consider valuable traditional practices may conflict with scientific recommendations or development priorities. Navigating these tensions requires careful negotiation, mutual respect, and a willingness to learn across knowledge systems.

V. Discussion

The Value of Indigenous Knowledge in Contemporary Disaster Management

The evidence reviewed in this paper demonstrates that indigenous knowledge systems offer significant value for contemporary disaster management in Odisha and similar contexts. This value manifests in multiple dimensions: practical effectiveness in reducing disaster risks, cultural appropriateness and community acceptance, cost-effectiveness and accessibility, contribution to sustainable development, and empowerment of local communities.

Indigenous knowledge provides locally contextualized solutions that are often more accessible and culturally appropriate than externally imposed interventions. Traditional forecasting methods, ecosystem-based management practices, and community-based coping strategies have proven effective in reducing vulnerability and enhancing resilience (Balaram & Dhananjay, 2025; K. J. Chowdhury et al., 2025; Clark-Ginsberg, 2017; Pradhan et al., 2025; Sharma et al., 2013; Tripathy, 2023). These practices are typically resource-efficient, utilizing local materials and social capital rather than requiring expensive infrastructure or technology.

Moreover, indigenous knowledge contributes to sustainable development by integrating disaster risk reduction with environmental conservation, livelihood security, and cultural preservation. The traditional agricultural practices of tribal communities in Odisha, for example, simultaneously address food security, climate adaptation, and ecosystem management (Sabar & Midya, 2024; Satapathy, 2024). This holistic approach aligns with contemporary understandings of resilience, which encompass multiple dimensions of Wellbeing rather than merely physical safety.

Perhaps most importantly, recognizing and supporting indigenous knowledge empowers local communities by validating their agency, expertise, and contributions to disaster management. This empowerment is essential for building genuine resilience, as communities that are active participants in disaster management are more likely to sustain preparedness efforts and adapt to changing conditions (Balaram & Dhananjay, 2025; Clark-Ginsberg et al., 2020; Pradhan et al., 2025; Tripathy, 2023).

However, the value of indigenous knowledge should not be romanticized or essentialized. Traditional practices have limitations and may not be sufficient to address the scale and complexity of contemporary disasters, particularly in the context of climate change. The key insight is that indigenous and scientific knowledge are complementary rather than competing, and effective disaster management requires integration of both knowledge systems (A. Sharma & Chauhan, 2013; Srivastava, 2012).

Policy Implications and Recommendations

Based on the findings of this review, several policy implications and recommendations emerge for strengthening disaster management in Odisha and similar contexts:

Systematic documentation and validation: Governments and research institutions should invest in the systematic documentation and validation of indigenous knowledge practices related to disaster management. This documentation should be conducted through participatory methods that respect community ownership and ensure benefit-sharing. Where possible, traditional practices should be validated through scientific research to build the evidence base for policy integration (Srivastava, 2012; Tripathy, 2023).

Institutional mechanisms for knowledge integration: Disaster management institutions should develop formal mechanisms for engaging with indigenous knowledge. This could include establishing advisory committees with community representatives, incorporating traditional knowledge into disaster management plans, and creating platforms for dialogue between scientific experts and knowledge holders (Chu, 2016; Tripathy, 2023).

Community-based disaster risk reduction: Policies should prioritize community-based approaches that build on existing local knowledge and capacities. This includes supporting community-led initiatives, providing resources for strengthening traditional practices, and ensuring that disaster management programs are participatory and culturally appropriate (Amin et al., 2023; Naik & Murari, 2023; Talukdar et al., 2025).

Capacity building at multiple levels: Capacity-building initiatives should work at multiple levels: strengthening communities' disaster preparedness while also educating officials and professionals about indigenous knowledge systems. This two-way capacity building is essential for effective knowledge integration (Talukdar et al., 2025).

Gender-sensitive approaches: Given the important roles that women play in maintaining and transmitting indigenous knowledge, particularly in agricultural and food security domains, disaster management policies should adopt gender-sensitive approaches that recognize and support women's contributions (Acharya & Prakash, 2019; Satapathy, 2024).

Ecosystem-based disaster risk reduction: Policies should support ecosystem-based approaches to disaster risk reduction, recognizing the protective value of natural ecosystems such as mangroves, forests, and wetlands. This includes supporting community-based conservation initiatives that integrate traditional ecological knowledge (Amin et al., 2023; Haque et al., 2021; Joyce et al., 2022; Sultana et al., 2023).

Learning from success stories: The Odisha experience demonstrates that remarkable improvements in disaster management are possible through sustained commitment, institutional reforms, and community engagement. Other disaster-prone regions should learn from Odisha's success while adapting strategies to their specific contexts (Chu, 2016; Tripathy, 2023).

Post-disaster recovery as opportunity: Post-disaster recovery programs should be designed to incorporate traditional knowledge and strengthen community resilience rather than merely restoring pre-disaster conditions. This requires careful planning and genuine community participation (Sharma & Chauhan, 2013).

Future Research Directions

While this review has synthesized existing knowledge on indigenous knowledge systems in disaster management in Odisha, several gaps and opportunities for future research remain:

Empirical validation of traditional practices: More research is needed to empirically validate the effectiveness of specific indigenous knowledge practices. This includes both quantitative studies measuring outcomes and qualitative research exploring the mechanisms through which traditional practices contribute to resilience (Sharma et al., 2013).

Climate change adaptation: As climate change alters environmental conditions and disaster patterns, research is needed on how indigenous knowledge systems are adapting and the support required to strengthen their adaptive capacity. This includes studying innovation processes within communities and identifying barriers to adaptation (Sabar & Midya, 2024; Satapathy, 2024).

Knowledge transmission and intergenerational change: Research should examine how indigenous knowledge is transmitted across generations and how processes of social change, education, and urbanization affect knowledge transmission. Understanding these dynamics is essential for ensuring the continuity of valuable traditional practices (Srivastava, 2012).

Integration mechanisms: More research is needed on the institutional, social, and technical mechanisms that facilitate or hinder the integration of indigenous and scientific knowledge. Comparative studies across different contexts could identify best practices and common challenges (Acharya & Prakash, 2019; Sharma & Chauhan, 2013).

Gender dimensions: The gendered aspects of indigenous knowledge production, transmission, and utilization warrant greater attention. Research should explore how gender relations shape knowledge systems and how disaster management policies can better recognize and support women's contributions (Acharya & Prakash, 2019; Satapathy, 2024).

Economic valuation: While some research has quantified the economic value of ecosystem services associated with disaster risk reduction, further work is needed to assess the economic benefits of other indigenous knowledge practices. Such a valuation could strengthen the case for policy support (Haque et al., 2021).

Scaling and replication: Research should examine how successful community-based initiatives can be scaled up or replicated in other contexts while maintaining their effectiveness and cultural appropriateness. This includes studying the conditions under which traditional practices can be adapted to new contexts (Amin et al., 2023; Naik & Murari, 2023).

Long-term resilience: Most research focuses on immediate disaster response and short-term recovery. More longitudinal research is needed to understand how indigenous knowledge contributes to long-term resilience and sustainable development (Balaram & Dhananjay, 2025; Tripathy, 2023).

VI. Conclusion

This research paper has examined the role of Indian Knowledge Systems in disaster management in Odisha through a comprehensive review of scholarly literature. The evidence demonstrates that indigenous knowledge—manifested in traditional forecasting methods, ecosystem-based management practices, community-based coping strategies, and indigenous agricultural systems—makes significant contributions to disaster resilience in Odisha.

The state's remarkable transformation from the catastrophic 1999 Super Cyclone to the successful management of Cyclone Phailin in 2013 illustrates the potential of integrated approaches that combine institutional reforms, scientific knowledge, and community engagement informed by traditional practices. Case studies of fisher communities, tribal populations, and agricultural communities reveal diverse indigenous knowledge practices adapted to specific contexts and hazards.

Key findings indicate that indigenous knowledge is most effective when integrated with scientific knowledge and institutional support rather than viewed as a standalone solution. Successful integration requires recognition and validation of traditional knowledge, participatory approaches that respect community agency, institutional mechanisms for knowledge dialogue, and capacity building at multiple levels.

However, significant challenges remain, including power imbalances between knowledge systems, gaps in empirical validation, difficulties in scaling traditional practices, and institutional barriers to genuine community engagement. Addressing these challenges requires sustained commitment from policymakers, researchers, and communities.

The policy implications are clear: disaster management in Odisha and similar contexts should systematically document and validate indigenous knowledge, create institutional mechanisms for knowledge integration, prioritize community-based approaches, adopt gender-sensitive strategies, and support ecosystem-based disaster risk reduction. Post-disaster recovery programs should be designed to strengthen traditional practices rather than merely restore pre-disaster conditions.

Looking ahead, future research should focus on empirically validating traditional practices, understanding adaptation to climate change, examining knowledge transmission processes, identifying effective mechanisms for integration, exploring gender dimensions, and assessing long-term resilience outcomes.

In conclusion, indigenous knowledge systems represent a valuable yet underutilized resource for disaster management in Odisha. By recognizing, respecting, and integrating traditional knowledge with modern approaches, disaster management policies can become more effective, equitable, and sustainable. The Odisha experience demonstrates that remarkable improvements are possible when institutional commitment, scientific knowledge, and community wisdom work together. As climate change intensifies disaster risks, the integration of indigenous and scientific knowledge will become increasingly essential for building resilient communities and sustainable futures.

References

- [1]. Acharya, A., & Prakash, A. (2019). When the river talks to its people: Local knowledge-based flood forecasting in Gandak River basin, India. *Environmental Development*, 31, 55–67. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2018.12.003>
- [2]. Adhya, S. (2022). Indigenous Knowledge in Disaster Management in India. In *Boloji.Com*. <https://www.boloji.com/articles/53265/indigenous-knowledge-in-disaster-management-in-india>
- [3]. Ali, T., Paton, D., Buergelt, P. T., Smith, J. A., Jehan, N., & Siddique, A. (2021). Integrating Indigenous perspectives and community-based disaster risk reduction: A pathway for sustainable Indigenous development in Northern Pakistan. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 59, 102263. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2021.102263>
- [4]. Amin, F., Baidya, S., & Gupta, A. K. (2023). Community-Based Disaster Reduction for Climate Resilience in Developing World. In *The Palgrave Handbook of Socio-ecological Resilience in the Face of Climate Change* (pp. 11–19). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-2206-2_2
- [5]. Balaram, P., & Dhananjay, N. (2025). Adaptive Governance for Disaster Risk Reduction: Lessons from Odisha. In *Disaster Advances* (Vol. 18, Number 11, pp. 57–64). World Researchers Associations. <https://doi.org/10.25303/1811da057064>
- [6]. Beriwal, S., Ratna, R. S., & Huang, J. (2025). From storm to strength: Odisha's "zero casualty" model for community-centered disaster resilience. In *ESCAP*. <https://www.unescap.org/blog/storm-strength-odishas-zero-casualty-model-community-centered-disaster-resilience#>

- [7]. Bimrah, K., Dasgupta, R., Hashimoto, S., Saizen, I., & Dhyani, S. (2022). Ecosystem Services of Mangroves: A Systematic Review and Synthesis of Contemporary Scientific Literature. *Sustainability*, 14(19), 12051. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912051>
- [8]. Chinyoka, S., & Steeneveld, G. J. (2023). Evaluation of downscaling seasonal climate forecasts for crop yield forecasting in Zimbabwe. *Climate Services*, 30, 100380. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2023.100380>
- [9]. Chowdhury, A., Maiti, S. K., & Bhattacharyya, S. (2016). How to communicate climate change 'impact and solutions' to vulnerable population of Indian Sundarbans? From theory to practice. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2816-y>
- [10]. Chu, E. K. (2016). The Governance of Climate Change Adaptation Through Urban Policy Experiments. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 26(6), 439–451. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eet.1727>
- [11]. Clark-Ginsberg, A., McCaul, B., Bremaud, L., Cáceres, G., Mpanje, D., Patel, S. S., & Patel, R. B. (2020). Practitioner approaches to measuring community resilience: The analysis of the resilience of communities to disasters toolkit. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101714>
- [12]. Dasgupta, S., Islam, S., Huq, M., Khan, Z. H., & Hasib, R. (2019). Quantifying the protective capacity of mangroves from storm surges in coastal Bangladesh. *PLoS One*, 14(3), 0214079. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214079>
- [13]. Dash, B. (2024). Gap between impact-based and impact forecast and warning: Implications for people-centric early warning system (EWS) in India. *Natural Hazards Research*, 4(1), 110–117. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nhres.2023.09.005>
- [14]. De Sisto, M., Shearing, C., Heffernan, T., & Sanderson, D. (2024). Reshaping disaster management: An integrated community-led approach. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12668>
- [15]. Dhadse, S., Majumdar, P., Valluri, A., & Sakhare, P. (2021). Status, Threats and Conservation of the Wetland Ecosystem Dominated with Mangroves in India. *Plantae Scientia*, 4(6), 277–286. <https://doi.org/10.32439/ps.v4i6.277-286>
- [16]. Dinsa, A. B., Wakjira, F. S., Demmesie, E. T., & Negash, T. T. (2022). Indigenous astronomical knowledge based seasonal weather forecast: evidence from Borana Oromo pastoralists of Southern Ethiopia. *F1000Research*, 11, 1217. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.121926.1>
- [17]. Ediga, S. (2015). India's disasters and its disaster management. In *Factly*. <https://factly.in/india-disaster-management-major-disasters-economic-loss/>
- [18]. Gupta, A. K., & Singh, A. (2011). Traditional Intellect in Disaster Risk Mitigation: Indian Outlook-Rajasthan and Bundelkhand Icons. In *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge* (Vol. 10, Number 1).
- [19]. Haque, A. K. E., Mukhopadhyay, P., Nepal, M., & Shamin, R. (2021). Communities, climate change adaptation and win-win solutions. In *Climate Change and Community Resilience: Insights from South Asia* (pp. 445–454). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-0680-9_29
- [20]. Iloka, N. G. (2016). Indigenous knowledge for disaster risk reduction: An African perspective. *Jamba (Potchefstroom, South Africa)*, 8(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.4102/jamba.v8i1.272>
- [21]. Joyce, K. E., Fickas, K. C., & Kalamandeen, M. (2022). The unique value proposition for using drones to map coastal ecosystems. *Cambridge Prisms: Coastal Futures*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cf.2022.7>
- [22]. Patel, Kishore S., Mukherjee, S., & Nanda, A. (2021). Importance of Insurance Mechanisms in Natural Disasters' Risk Mitigation: A case study in India. *Journal of Disaster and Emergency Research*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/jder.5664>
- [23]. Kumar, S., & Pradhan, B. (2022). Comparative Analysis of Disaster Management system between the State of Odisha and Gujarat. In *Quest Journals Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Science* (Vol. 10, Number 6). www.questjournals.org
- [24]. Kurnio, H., Fekete, A., Naz, F., Norf, C., & Jüpner, R. (2021). Resilience learning and indigenous knowledge of earthquake risk in Indonesia. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 62, 102423. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2021.102423>
- [25]. Lala, J., Lee, D., Bazo, J., & Block, P. (2022). Evaluating prospects for subseasonal-to-seasonal forecast-based anticipatory action from a global perspective. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 38, 100510. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2022.100510>
- [26]. Lempert, R., Busch, L., Brown, R., Patton, A., Turner, S., Schmidt, J., & Young, T. (2023). Community-Level, Participatory Co-Design for Landslide Warning with Implications for Climate Services. *Sustainability*, 15(5), 4294. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15054294>
- [27]. Markolinda, Y., Sawirman, S., Ramadani, M., Yusya, F., Husna, N., Azmi, F., Surya, R. F., Taufiqurrahman, R. A., & Panjaitan, M. L. D. B. (2025). Indigenous knowledge for disaster mitigation and climate threats in Mentawai, Indonesia. *Jamba (Potchefstroom, South Africa)*, 17(1), 1877. <https://doi.org/10.4102/jamba.v17i1.1877>
- [28]. Mavhura, E., & Mushure, S. (2019). Forest and wildlife resource-conservation efforts based on indigenous knowledge: The case of Nharira community in Chikomba district, Zimbabwe. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 105, 83–90. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2019.05.019>
- [29]. Mikulecký, P., Punčochářová, A., Babič, F., Bureš, V., Čech, P., Husáková, M., Mls, K., Nacházel, T., Ponce, D., Štekerová, K., Triantafyllou, I., Tučník, P., Sunanda, V., & Zanker, M. (2023). Dealing with risks associated with tsunamis using indigenous knowledge approaches. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 86, 103534. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.103534>
- [30]. Naik, R., & Murari, K. K. (2023). Agriculture Risk Management and Resilience Building Through Community-Based Disaster Risk Reduction: A Case Study of Talmala Village in Kalahandi District. In R. Al Khaddar, S. K. Singh, N. D. Kaushika, R. K. Tomar, & S. K. Jain (Eds.), *Recent Developments in Energy and Environmental Engineering* (Vol. 333, pp. 145–157). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-1388-6_11
- [31]. Nayak, R., & Sethi, S. R. (2025). Disaster management and public policy in India: Governance, institutional frameworks, and community resilience. *International Journal of Political Science and Governance*, 7(11), 104–110. <https://doi.org/10.33545/26646021.2025.v7.i11b.749>
- [32]. Ngwese, N. M., Saito, O., Sato, A., Bofo, Y. A., & Jasaw, G. S. (2018). Traditional and Local Knowledge Practices for Disaster Risk Reduction in Northern Ghana. *Sustainability*, 10(3), 825. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10030825>
- [33]. Pradhan, B. (2026). The contribution of Indian leaders to disaster management: An overview. *International Journal of Political Science and Governance*, 8(1), 24–28. <https://doi.org/10.33545/26646021.2026.v8.i1a.828>
- [34]. Pradhan, B., Dhal, S., & Mohanty, M. (2026). Role of local self-government in disaster risk reduction. *International Journal of Social Science and Education Research*, 8(1), 141–148. <https://doi.org/10.33545/26649845.2026.v8.i1b.501>
- [35]. Pradhan, B., Kumar, S., & Nayak, D. (2025). From Vulnerability to Resilience: A Systematic Review of Odisha's Integrated Approach to Disaster Risk Reduction. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Management*, 7(1), 519–532. <https://doi.org/10.18485/ijdrm.2025.7.1.30>
- [36]. Ray-Bennett, N. S. (2011). History of Disaster Relief, India. In *Encyclopedia of Disaster Relief*. SAGE Publications, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412994064.n111>
- [37]. Reyes, J. A. L., Ayo, K. A., Baluyan, M. P., & Balaguer, A. S. R. (2020). Indigenous Knowledge in Disaster Risk Reduction. *The Copenhagen Journal of Asian Studies*, 37(1), 103–132. <https://doi.org/10.22439/cjas.v37i1.5908>

- [38]. Rico, J. J. (2022). Island Schools-Communities' Preparedness and Resiliency for Disaster Management. *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Studies*, 4(3), 48–66. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jhsss.2022.4.3.5>
- [39]. Sabar, B., & Midya, D. K. (2024). Intersecting Knowledge With Landscape: Indigenous Agriculture, Sustainable Food Production and Response to Climate Change – A Case Study of Chuktia Bhunjia Tribe of Odisha, India. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 59(1), 123–141. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00219096221099634>
- [40]. Sahoo, P. K., Badanayak, S., Patra, P. K., & Chinara, M. (2024). Traditional alcoholic beverages of the Bonda tribe in Odisha, India: evidence from the field. *Journal of Ethnic Foods*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42779-024-00235-5>
- [41]. Satapathy, S. S. (2024). Climate Change Adaptation, Risk Reduction and Indigenous Knowledge Based Resilience: A Case of Bonda Tribal Women in Odisha. In A. Sarkar, N. Bandyopadhyay, S. , Singh, & R. Sachan (Eds.), *Risk, Uncertainty and Maladaptation to Climate Change. Disaster Risk Reduction* (pp. 59–72). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-9474-8_4
- [42]. Sharma, A., & Chauhan, S. (2013). Incorporating Traditional Knowledge in Post Disaster Recovery to Integrate Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Risk Reduction. In R. Shaw (Ed.), *Disaster Recovery* (pp. 265–286). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-54255-1_14
- [43]. Sharma, U., Patwardhan, A., & Patt, A. G. (2013). Education as a determinant of response to cyclone warnings: Evidence from coastal zones in India. *Ecology and Society*, 18(2). <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-05439-180218>
- [44]. Srivastava, S. K. (2012). Managing indigenous and scientific knowledge for resilience building: Case studies from disaster-prone regions of India. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 9(1), 45–63. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09727981211225644>
- [45]. Sultana, R., Irfanullah, H. Md., Selim, S. A., & Budrudzaman, M. (2023). Vulnerability and ecosystem-based adaptation in the farming communities of drought-prone Northwest Bangladesh. *Environmental Challenges*, 11, 100707. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2023.100707>
- [46]. Talukdar, Swapan, Chatterjee, Ranit, Bera, Somnath, Prashar, Atish, & Shaw, Rajib. (2025). Disaster Risk Management in South Asia Through Innovations, Communication, and Technological Advances. In S. Talukdar, R. Chatterjee, S. Bera, A. Prashar, & R. Shaw (Eds.), *Communication, Science, Technology, and Innovation in Disaster Risk Management. GIScience and Geo-environmental Modelling* (pp. 3–13). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-77189-7_1
- [47]. Tripathy, S. (2023). Fishers, Community Resilience, and Disaster Management: Learning from the Grassroots of Odisha, India. In *International Handbook of Disaster Research* (pp. 355–368). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-8388-7_20
- [48]. Zvobgo, L., Johnston, P., Olagbegi, O. M., Simpson, N. P., & Trisos, C. H. (2023). Role of Indigenous and local knowledge in seasonal forecasts and climate adaptation: A case study of smallholder farmers in Chiredzi, Zimbabwe. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 145, 13–28. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2023.03.017>