Quest Journals Journal of Research in Mechanical Engineering Volume 9 ~ Issue 4 (2023) pp: 01-07 ISSN(Online):2321-8185 www.questjournals.org

Research Paper

Numerical Solution of the Troesch Problem by a Bracketing Shooting Approach

Okey Oseloka Onyejekwe

Robnello Unit for Continuum Mechanics and Nonlinear Dynamics, Umuagu Oshimili South Asaba, Delta State NIGERIA

Abstract: A shooting method utilizing a bracketing approach is utilized for the solution of the Troesch problem. Bracketing methods though slow to converge, are robust, easy to apply and are guaranteed to obtain a solution since the root is trapped within an interval. This is as far as the resolution of the nonlinearity inherent in the Troesch problem is concerned. However the major thrust of our approach lies in the numerical solution of the highly nonlinear Troesch problem by adopting a very simple and direct approach. We follow the path of converting by a variable transformation the hyperbolic nonlinearity in the problem to its polynomial analog. The resulting governing equation though more complicated is less stiff and easier to deal with. The numerical results obtained herein are not only in consonance with the physics of the problem, but also display excellent convergence.

Received 02 Apr., 2023; Revised 12 Apr., 2023; Accepted 15 Apr., 2023 © The author(s) 2023. Published with open access at www.questjournals.org

I. Introduction

The Troesch nonlinear two-point boundary value problem (BVP) has been applied to study the theory of gas in porous electrodes as well as the confinement of a plasma column by radiation pressure [1,2,3]. It can be represen porous electrodes as well as the confinement of a plasma column by radiation pressure [1,2,3]. It can be represented as:

represented as:
\n
$$
y' = \lambda \sinh(\lambda y)
$$

\nwith boundary conditions
\n $y(0) = 0,$ $y(1) = 1.0$ (2)

with boundary conditions

$$
y(1) = 1.0 \tag{2}
$$

The constant parameter λ is positive $(\lambda > 0)$. The closed form solution of (1) in terms of the elliptic

function $Sc(\langle . | . \rangle)$ of the Jacobian type [4] is given as

The constant parameter
$$
\lambda
$$
 is positive ($\lambda > 0$). The closed form solution of (1) in ten
function $Sc(\langle . | . \rangle)$ of the Jacobian type [4] is given as
 $y(x) = 2/\lambda \sinh^{-1} \left[y'(0) \Big/ 2 * \Big(Sc(\langle \lambda x | m \rangle) \Big) \Big(1 - 0.25 \Big(y'(0) \Big)^2 \Big) \right]$ (3)

where $y'(0) = 2(1-\varsigma)^{0.5}$, and $m=1-0.25(y'(0))^2$, ς is the solution of the transcendental equation $\sinh(\lambda/2) = (1-\varsigma)^{0.5}$ $Sc(\lambda|\varsigma\rangle)$. Hence the solution profile $y(x)$ has a singularity located at a pole of $Sc(\langle \lambda \zeta | m \rangle)$ or approximately $(1-\varsigma)$ $Sc(\langle \lambda | \varsigma \rangle)$
or approximately
 $\begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 11 \end{pmatrix}$

$$
Sc(\langle \lambda \zeta | m \rangle) \text{ or approximately}
$$

$$
x_s = (1/\lambda) \ln \left(\frac{8}{y(0)} \right)
$$
 (4)

The implication of (4) is that the singularity lies within the solution range of $y'(0) > 8e^{-\lambda}$. This results in a

huge numerical challenge which is further compounded by any increase in λ . However because of Troech's equation application in many fields of engineering and physical sciences, many studies have evolved towards its solution for example, the optimal homotopy asymptotic method[5], quasi-linearization method [6], modified decomposition technique[7], and methods based on Legendre functions [8]. Among these approaches, we hasten

*Corresponding Author: Okey Oseloka Onyejekwe 1 | Page

to mention the method of transformation [9], the invariant imbedding and the shooting method [10], the homotopy perturbation method [11], quasi-linearization Bessel approach [12] as well as the sinc-Galerkin technique [13]. A common feature of some of these apart from their complicated formulations and tedious application is their inability to produce reliable results for $\lambda > 1$ or even for moderate values of λ . Their inability to deal efficiently with the existence of a pole in the solution profile leaves room for the introduction of mathematical artifacts to facilitate solutions..

The work reported herein seeks to address this challenge by introducing a reliable, efficient and very simple technique that accurately solves the nonlinear Troesch model problem for very large values of λ . As previously mentioned, the hyperbolic-type nonlinearity of the Troesch problem is converted into its polynomial analog by a variable transformation with the sole purpose of dealing with nonlinearity effectively. This idea was firstly reported in [14].and has been modified and applied to the solution of the Troesch problem herein by deploying a straightforward shooting- bisection approach to solve the transformed equation.

II. Mathematical Formulation

For a variable transformation

11. Mathematical Formulation
\nFor a variable transformation
\n
$$
u(x) = \tanh(\lambda y(x)/4)
$$
 (5a)
\nsubstitute into equation (1)
\n $y''(x) = \lambda \sinh(4 \tanh^{-1}(u(x)))$ (5b)

substitute into equation (1)
\n
$$
y''(x) = \lambda \sinh(4 \tanh^{-1}(u(x)))
$$
\n
$$
y(x) = 4/\lambda \tanh^{-1}(u(x))
$$
\n(5b)\n(5c)

$$
y(x) = 4/\lambda \tanh^{-1}(u(x))
$$
 (5*c*)

$$
y(x) = \lambda \sinh(4 \tanh (u(x)))
$$
\n
$$
y(x) = 4/\lambda \tanh^{-1}(u(x))
$$
\n
$$
y'(x) = 4/\lambda(u/(1-u^2))
$$
\n(5*c*)\n(5*d*)

and

$$
y'(x) = 4/\lambda (u/(1-u))
$$

\nand
\n
$$
y'' = 4/\lambda (u''/(1-u^2)) + 8/\lambda (u(u')^2/(1-u^2)^2)
$$
\n(5*e*)

finally the nonlinear Troesch problem is converted to

$$
y' = 4/\lambda (u'/(1-u')) + 6/\lambda (u(u')/(1-u'))
$$
\n(3e)

\nFinally the nonlinear Troesch problem is converted to

\n
$$
(1-u^2)u'' + 2u(u')^2 - \lambda^2 u(1+u^2) = 0
$$
\n(5f)

\nusing the variable transformation, the corresponding boundary conditions are given as

\n
$$
u(0) = 0, u(1) = \tanh(\lambda/4)
$$
\n(5g)

using the variable transformation, the corresponding boundary conditions are given as

$$
u(0) = 0, \ u(1) = \tanh(\lambda/4) \tag{5g}
$$

Equation 5(f) can generally be represented as

using the variable transformation, the corresponding boundary conditions are given as
\n
$$
u(0) = 0
$$
, $u(1) = \tanh(\lambda/4)$ (5g)
\nEquation 5(f) can generally be represented as
\n $u'' = f(x, u, u'), x \in [a, b], u(a) = \alpha, u(b) = \beta$ (6a)
\nwhen we use the shooting method, equation (6a) is transformed into
\n $u'' = f(x, u, u'), u(a), u'(a) = c$ (6b)
\nwhere *a* is a guessed or unknown number, which motivates the numerical solution of equation (6b)

$$
u'' = f(x, u, u'), \quad u(a), \quad u'(a) = c \tag{6b}
$$

where c is a guessed or unknown number, which motivates the numerical solution of equation (6a). The final objective here is to finally arrive at c (the slope at the left hand side of the problem domain) such that where c is a guessed or unknown number, which motivates the numerical solution of equation (

objective here is to finally arrive at c (the slope at the left hand side of the problem domain) sure $u(b,c) = \beta$. In summary we

 $u(b,c) = \beta$. In summary we have an objective function defined as a minimization problem

$$
G:\Box\to\Box,\quad G(c)=u(b,c)-\beta\tag{6c}
$$

We search for a critical value of c , the slope at the left hand side boundary of the problem domain such that $(c^*) = 0$ (6*d*) $G: \Box \to \Box$, $G(c) = u(b,c) - \beta$ (6c)
We search for a critical value of c, the slope at the left hand side boundary of the problem doma
 $G(c^*) = 0$ (6d)

For this work, we have decided to employ the simplest of all the shooting methods techniques known as the bisection method. Though it is slow to converge, it often guarantees convergence. We require a preprocessing stage at each level of the computation in order to determine two estimates of the first derivative at the 'left end' boundary of the problem domain where the solution algorithm is initiated. Once we have two estimates below and above the target value at the 'right end' boundary, we apply the bisection method continuously until the tolerance level is met.

The so called 'first guess' is very crucial in the successful implementation of many shooting and iterative solution of nonlinear differential equations. The closer it is to the root the more guaranteed is the convergence. This is even more critical in Newton or the secant methods. We addressed this challenge by solving a linear

version of the governing equation and subsequently improved on the first guess by repeatedly employing the *Numerical Solution of the Troesch Problem by a Bracketing Shooti*
version of the governing equation and subsequently improved on the first guess by repeatedly
bisection method algorithm [15]. The linearized Troesch probl

$$
y'' = \lambda^2 y, \quad y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = 1
$$
 (6c)

bisection method algorithm [15]. The linearized Troesch problem is given as:
\n
$$
y'' = \lambda^2 y
$$
, $y(0) = 0$, $y(1) = 1$ (6c)
\nwhose solution is given as:
\n $y(x) = \sinh(\lambda x)/\sinh(\lambda)$ (6d)
\nAnd by direct manipulations
\n $u'(0) = \lambda/4(y'(0)) = \lambda^2/4(1/\sinh\lambda)$ (6e)

And by direct manipulations

$$
u'(0) = \lambda/4(y'(0)) = \lambda^2/4(1/\sinh \lambda)
$$
 (6e)
since $u(0) = 0$, it follows from equation 5(b) that

$$
y'(0) = 4/\lambda(u'(0))
$$
 (6g)
As previously inferred, the rate of convergence, can differ substantially depending on how close

since $u(0) = 0$, it follows from equation $5(b)$ that

$$
y'(0) = 4/\lambda \left(u'(0) \right) \tag{6g}
$$

As previously inferred, the rate of convergence can differ substantially depending on how close we are to the root We define error convergence as: $y'(0) = 4/\lambda (u'(0))$ (6*g*)
As previously inferred, the rate of convergence can differ substantially depending on how close v
root We define error convergence as: (7*a*)
 $e_{n+1} = Ce_n^{\xi}$ (7*a*)

$$
e_{n+1} = Ce_n^{\xi} \tag{7a}
$$

where C is a constant. The exponent ζ measures how fast the error is diminished from one iteration to the next.

The larger the exponent
$$
\zeta
$$
, the faster the error approaches zero and the fewer the iterations we need to arrive at
a prescribed stopping criterion $|f(x)| < er$. To estimate ζ , we compute

$$
\zeta = (\ln(e_{n+1}/e_n)/\ln(e_n/e_{n-1}))
$$
(7b)

For three consecutive numerical values at $n-1$, n, and $n+1$

III. Discussion of Results

We demonstrate the reliability and robustness of the method presented herein by solving the highly nonlinear Troesch problem for large values of the sensitivity parameter λ . All numerical experiments have been executed using MATTLAB R2017a software.

Figs. 1,2 3 and 4 show the solution profiles for different values of λ . The ability of the model to handle relatively large values of lambda is amply demonstrated.

On the other hand figs. 5 and 6 demonstrate the robustness of the bracketing shooting approach adopted herein. Fig.6 shows the profile of the decrease of the infinity norm error profile between the actual boundary condition of the right hand side of the problem domain and those computed by the shooting technique. The iteration is carried on progressively until the difference falls within the permitted error tolerance which is taken as $10e-06$ for this study

On the other hand Fig. 6 shows the convergence characteristics of the computed right hand side boundary condition. Close observation shows an asymptotic approach to the prescribed right hand side boundary condition after the fifth iteration.

Solution of Troesch Problem by Shooting-Bisection Method

Fig.2 Scalar Profiles for $\lambda = 1, 5, 10$ and 20

Solution of Troesch Problem by Shooting-Bisection Method

Fig. 3 Scalar Profiles for Scalar Profiles for $\lambda = 5, 10, 20$ and 50

Fig. 4 Scalar Profile for Scalar Profiles for $\lambda = 50$

Fig. 5 Infinity norm error profiles between hits and target at the righr hand side boundary

Fig. 6 : Profile of Computed right hand side boundary condition for each iteration

IV. Conclusion

An efficient shooting method technique based on a simple bracketing technique has been applied to solve the nonlinear Troesch problem arising in the modelling of a plasma confinement problem and gas porous electrodes The method was found to yield faithful results for large values of the sensitivity parameter λ in contrast to other more complicated numerical techniques.

References

- [1]. Weibel, E.S. (1959) On the confinement of a plasma by magnetostatic fields *Physics of fluids* 2(1) , 52-56
- [2]. Markin, V.S., Chernenko, A.A., Chizmadehev, Y.A., Chirkov, Y.G. (1966) Aspects of the theory of gas porous electrodes, Fuel Cells: Their Electrochemical Kinetics; Bagotskii, V.S., Vasilev, Y.B., Eds., Consultants Bureau: New York, N.Y. USA 21-33
- [3]. Gidaspor, D. and Baker, B.S. (1973) A model for discharge of storage batteries. *Journal of Electrochemical Society,* 120 (8) 1005- 1010
- [4]. Roberts, S.M., Shipman, J.S.(1976) On the closed form solution of Troesch Problem. *Journal of Computational Physics,* 21(3) 291- 304
- [5]. Khalid, M., Faheem, Z., Sultana, M., Zaib, A., 2016 A numerical solution of Troesch problem via optimal homiotopy asymptotic method, *Int. Jnl. Computer Applications,* 140 (5) (0975-8887
- [6]. Miele. A. Aggarwal. A.K. Tietze, J.L., (1974) Solution of two-point boundary value problems with Jacobian matrix characterized by large positive eigenvalue *Jnl. Comput. Physics,* 15 117-133
- [7]. Khuri, S.A., (2003) A numerical algorithm for solving Troesch problem, *Int. Jnl. Comput. Math.* 80 493-498
- [8]. Izadi, M. (2020) A comparative study of two Legendre-collocation schemes applied to fractional logistic equation, *Int. Jnl. Appld. Comput. Math.* 6, 71
- [9]. Chang, S.H. Chang, I.I. (2008) A new algorithm for calculating one-dimensional differential transform of nonlinear functions. *Appld. Math. Comput.* 195
- [10]. Chang, S., (2010) Numerical solution of Troesch's problem by simple shooting method, *Appld. Math. Comput.* 216, 3303-3306
- [11]. Feng, X., Mei, L., He, G. (2007) An efficient algorithm for solving Troesch problem, *Appld. Math. Comput.* 189 500-507, 3303-3306 [12]. Izadi, M., Yuzbasi, S., Noeiaghdam, S. (2021) Approximating solutions of nonlinear Troesch's problem via efficient quasilinearization Bessel approach, *Mathematics* 9 1841
- [13]. Nabati, M. Jalalvand, M., (2017) solution og Troesch problem through double exponential Sinc-Galerkin method, *Comput. Mthds Diff. Eqtns.* 5 141-157
- [14]. Strauss, M., King, T.A. Bowen, H.K. (1987) Osmotic pressure for concentrated suspensions of polydisperse particles with thick double layers. *Jnl. Colloid Interface Science* 118 (2) 326-334
- [15]. Efandiari, R.S. (2017) Numerical methods for engineers and scientists using MATLAB 2nd ed. CRC press Inc.